



SAN DIEGO COUNTY  
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY  
**STAFF REPORT**

Item No.  
**4**

Meeting Date: **JUNE 7, 2012**

**Subject:**

**June 2012 Legislative Report**

**Recommendation:**

Adopt Resolution No. 2012-0058, approving the June 2012 Legislative Report

**Background/Justification:**

The Legislative Advocacy Program Policy adopted by the Board on November 10, 2003, requires Authority staff to present the Board with monthly reports concerning the status of legislation with potential impact to the Authority. The June 2012 Legislative Report updates Board members on legislative activities that have taken place during the month of May. The Authority Board can give direction to staff on legislative issues contained in the attached Legislative Report.

**State Legislative Action**

The Authority's legislative team recommends that the Board adopt a Support position on SCR 90 (Anderson), legislation to designate a portion of State Highway Route 15 in the County of San Diego as the Tuskegee Airmen Highway. The legislative team also recommends the Board adopt an Oppose Unless Amended position on SB 1330 (Simitian). This legislation would place restrictions on the retention and use of license plate recognition technology and data.

On May 14th, Governor Brown released his revised \$91.4 billion budget for Fiscal Year 2012-13. The revised budget is based on a newly projected budget deficit of \$16 billion. The Governor has proposed reductions to health and welfare programs and furloughs for state workers to address the budget gap.

**Federal Legislative Action**

The Authority's legislative team recommends that the Board adopt a Support position on the Fiscal Year 2013 Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Bill.

On May 16th, the TSA Administrator John Pistole announced that beginning December 3, 2012, passenger air carriers must conduct 100 percent cargo screening on international flights bound for the U.S. This action is being taken to fulfill a requirement of the "Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007."

000020.1

**Authority Strategies:**

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy     Customer Strategy     Employee Strategy     Financial Strategy     Operations Strategy

**Environmental Review:**

- A. This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

**Equal Opportunity Program:**

Not applicable.

**Prepared by:**

MICHAEL KULIS  
DIRECTOR, INTER-GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

**RESOLUTION NO. 2012-0058**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN  
DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY  
APPROVING THE JUNE 2012 LEGISLATIVE  
REPORT**

**WHEREAS, the Authority operates San Diego International Airport as well as plans for necessary improvements to the regional air transportation system in San Diego County, including serving as the responsible agency for airport land use planning within the County; and**

**WHEREAS, the Authority has a responsibility to promote public policies consistent with the Authority's mandates and objectives; and**

**WHEREAS, Authority staff works locally and coordinates with legislative advocates in Sacramento and Washington, D.C. to identify and pursue legislative opportunities in defense and support of initiatives and programs of interest to the Authority; and**

**WHEREAS, under the Authority's Legislative Advocacy Program Policy, the Authority Board gives direction to Authority staff on pending legislation; and**

**WHEREAS, the Authority Board in directing staff may adopt positions on legislation that has been determined to have a potential impact on the Authority's operations and functions;**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves the June 2012 Legislative Report (Attachment A); and**

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority finds that this Board action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Pub. Res. Code Section 21065; and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act, Pub. Res. Code Section 30106.**

000020.3

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego  
County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 7th day of June,  
2012, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

---

TONY RUSSELL  
DIRECTOR CORPORATE SERVICES/  
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

---

BRETON K. LOBNER  
GENERAL COUNSEL

060020.4

June 2012 Legislative Report

State Legislation

**Legislation/Topic**

**SCR 90 (Anderson) – Tuskegee Airmen Highway**

**Background/Summary**

This resolution would officially designate a portion of State Highway Route 15 in the County of San Diego as the Tuskegee Airmen Highway. The section of highway that would be named is located between Miramar Road and Mercy Road. The Airport Authority has previously recognized the record of success and contributions of the Tuskegee Airmen by naming an Airport Authority conference room as the Tuskegee Conference Room. The Airport Authority Board also dedicated this conference room to Congressional Gold Medal recipient and former Airport Authority Board Member Robert Maxwell.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

If passed, this legislation would designate a section of State Highway Route 15 as the Tuskegee Airmen Highway and commence the process of identifying nonstate revenue to fund the necessary highway signage.

**Status:** 5/25/12 – Referred to the Senate Committee on Transportation and Housing

**Position:** Support

**Legislation/Topic**

**AB 2540 (Gatto) – Sales and Use Taxes**

**Background/Summary**

Prior to being amended, this bill would have imposed a state sales tax on several new services, including private aircraft pilot or private jet services. On April 25, 2012, AB 2540 was significantly amended and no longer applies to aviation-related activities.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Following amendment, AB 2540 would no longer have any impact on activities at San Diego International Airport.

**Status:** 5/14/12 – Referred to Committee on Rules

**Position:** Watch (5/3/12)

**Legislation/Topic**  
**SB 1330 (Simitian) – License Plate Recognition Technology**

**Background/Summary**

This legislation would restrict the retention, use, and sale of license plate recognition (LPR) technology by private entities. Specifically, this bill would restrict individuals from retaining LPR data for more than 60 days and prohibit them from selling or making LPR data available to others. SB 1330 would also require those using LPR technology to monitor the internal use of their LPR data, adopt a privacy policy, report annually to the Department of Justice on its LPR practices and usage. The bill would also authorize an individual to bring civil action and recover damages and fees from persons who sell or disclose the individual's LPR information.

The legislation provides exemptions to these restrictions for state and local law enforcement purposes.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

The Airport Authority's parking vendor records and retains the license plate numbers of vehicles entering airport parking lots. When parking violations occur, vehicle information is shared with the City of San Diego in order to process the collection of airport parking fines. If approved, this bill would limit the retention of LPR data to a maximum of 60 days. This could interfere with the ability of Airport Authority staff, and those acting on behalf of the Authority, to collect parking fines. The California Airports Council is working with the author to provide an exemption for airports similar to the exemption for law enforcement agencies and officers.

**Status:** 5/7/12 – Ordered to Third Reading in Senate

**Position:** Oppose Unless Amended

**Legislation/Topic**  
**AB 41 (Hill) – High-Speed Rail Authority-Conflicts of Interest and Ex Parte Communications**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would add members of the California High-Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) as officers under the Political Reform Act of 1974. They will be required to publicly identify any financial interest giving rise to a conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest, and recuse themselves accordingly.

000020.6

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This legislation would have no direct impact the Authority.

**Status:** 5/1/12 - Ordered to Third Reading in Senate

**Position:** Support (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**AB 492 (Galgiani) – High-Speed Rail Authority, Small Business Advisory Committee**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would require the California High-Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) to consider the creation of jobs and participation by small business enterprises in California when awarding major contracts or purchasing high-speed trains. The bill would also require the CHSRA to appoint a small business enterprise advisory committee.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This legislation could increase local participation by small businesses in San Diego County.

**Status:** 6/2/11 – Approved by Assembly on a vote of 64 to 12  
6/27/11 – Referred to the Senate Committee on Rules

**Position:** Support (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**AB 1574 (Galgiani) – High-Speed Rail**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would repeal all of the provisions of the California High-Speed Rail Act and enact a new one. The bill would continue the California High-Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) in existence with limited responsibilities and would place the authority within the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency.

The five members of the CHSRA appointed by the Governor would be subject to Senate confirmation, but existing members could continue to serve the remainder of their terms. The bill would authorize the CHSRA to appoint an executive director, and would provide for the Governor to appoint up to six additional individuals exempt from civil service as CHSRA staff.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This bill does not have a direct impact on the Authority, but could affect the timeline for the implementation of the High-Speed rail connection in San Diego.

**Status:** 2/9/12 – Introduced and referred to the Assembly Committee on Transportation

**Position:** Watch (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**AB 2182 (Torres) – Airports: Firearms**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would require a peace officer to arrest a person for illegally carrying a concealed firearm when the offense occurs within an airport sterile area without a valid permit. It would also require the peace officer to confiscate the firearm.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Following concerns expressed by individual airports and the California Airports Council, the author amended the legislation to exclude a provision that would have prohibited an individual found guilty of carrying a concealed firearm from ever subsequently entering that airport's property in the future. The amendments satisfy Authority concerns regarding this legislation.

**Status:** 5/21/12 – Approved by Assembly on a vote of 46 to 25

**Position:** Support if Amended (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**AB 1704 (Huffman) – Coal Tar Prohibition**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would prohibit a person from selling coal tar in California and would prohibit, after July 1, 2013, a person from applying a coal tar pavement product on driveways, parking areas, airport runways, and playgrounds.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

The Authority applied coal tar on SDIA's runway in 2006. The current alternative material to coal tar would require applications at least every five years, and has more limited availability, which could increase costs to the Authority. The California Airports Council is communicating to the author its concern that if coal tar is banned then a cost effective alternative would need to be ensured.

**Status:** 4/25/12 -- Approved by Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials on a vote of 5 to 4

**Position:** Watch (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**  
**AB 1455 (Harkey) – High-Speed Rail**

**Background/Summary**

The Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century, approved by the voters as Proposition 1A at the November 4, 2008 general election, provides for the issuance of \$9.95 billion in general obligation bonds for high-speed rail.

This bill would reduce the amount of general obligation debt authorized pursuant to the Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century to the amount contracted as of January 1, 2013.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This bill could impact the proposed construction for the section of High-Speed rail to San Diego County.

**Status:** 2/9/12 – Referred to the Assembly Committee on Transportation

**Position:** Watch (2/9/12)

**Legislation/Topic**  
**AB 973 (Vargas/Garrick) – California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA):  
Exemption for Limited Duration Events**

**Background/Summary**

As introduced, this bill would have exempted from CEQA the approval of a park use or special events permit for a limited duration event that has a specified purpose, is located on public property, within a public right of way, or within a defined event venue.

A limited duration event is defined as a recreational tournament, sporting event, youth tournament, racing or walking event, fireworks display, holiday celebration, concert, military appreciation event, block party, wedding, job fair, festival and parade, street fair, beach and neighborhood cleanup, farmers' market, art market, and other similar event lasting 48 hours or less.

As amended, this bill allows a lead agency to grant a CEQA exemption only for an annual fireworks display.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This Authority participates in and sponsors various community events that may require CEQA approval if this legislation is not approved.

**Status:** 5/29/12 – Approved by Senate on a vote of 36 to 1

**Position:** Support (3/1/12)

## Federal Legislation

### Legislation/Topic

#### **Fiscal Year 2013 Department of Homeland Security Appropriations**

### Background/Summary

This bill would fund Department of Homeland Security (DHS) operations and programs for Fiscal Year 2013. Highlights of the Senate version of the bill include:

- Overall funding level of \$45.2 billion
- \$11.9 billion for Customs and Border Patrol (CBP) (21,186 officers)
- Requires CBP to be more transparent with airport authorities in its staffing decisions
- Additional \$4.5 million to expand Global Entry Program to 5 additional airports
- \$7.6 billion for Transportation Security Administration (48,000 TSA screeners)
- A \$2.50 increase in airline passenger security fees

Highlights of the House version of the bill include:

- \$10.2 billion for Customs and Border Patrol (21,186 officers)
- Continues the current limit of 46,000 TSA screeners
- Does not include Administration-backed language allowing CBP to enter into reimbursable fee agreements for CBP services
- Does not include an increase in the passenger security fee
- Includes an additional \$15 million for the Screening Partnership Program

### Anticipated Impact/Discussion

Passage of a Fiscal Year 2013 DHS Appropriations bill will ensure annual funding levels for TSA and CBP operations for the year and will assist the Authority to ensure adequate operation and staffing levels at SDIA.

**Status:** 5/22/12 – Senate Appropriations Committee approved  
5/16/12 – House Appropriations Committee approved

**Position:** Support

### Legislation/Topic

#### **H.R. 4166 (Doggett) – Coal Tar Sealants Reduction Act of 2012**

### Background/Summary

This bill would prohibit the manufacturing of coal tar sealant one-year after the bill is enacted into law, the processing or sale of coal tar sealant one and one-half years after the bill is enacted into law and would prohibit the use of coal tar sealant two and one-half years after the bill is enacted into law.

000020.11

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

The Authority applied coal tar on SDIA's runway in 2006 and doesn't anticipate needing to re-apply until 2014. The current alternative material to coal tar would require applications at least every five years, and has more limited availability, which could increase costs to the Authority.

**Status:** 3/9/12 – Referred to the House Committee on Energy and Commerce

**Position:** Watch (5/3/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 2179 (Miller) – Transportation Security Administration (TSA) Transfer of Unclaimed Funds Act**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would direct the Assistant Secretary of Homeland Security to annually transfer unclaimed money recovered at airport security checkpoints to the local United Service Organizations (USO). These funds would be used for activities supporting the local USO airport centers. The funds are currently used by the TSA for civil aviation security.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This legislation would provide a direct financial benefit to SDIA's own USO facility instead of funding a large federal program.

**Status:** 5/8/12 – Approved by the House Committee on Homeland Security

**Position:** Support (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**S. 1813 (Boxer) – Highway Transportation Bill**

**Background/Summary**

This two-year, \$109 billion authorization bill focuses resources on preserving and improving the condition of highways and bridges around the country by renewing highway taxes at their current levels. The bill also provides funding for intermodal connections.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

The bill includes a provision which would exempt private activity bonds (PABs) from the alternative minimum tax (AMT) for bonds issued after the date of

enactment and before January 1, 2013, which could benefit the Authority in any bond issuances occurring in 2012.

**Status:** 3/14/12 – Approved by the Senate by a vote of 74 to 22

**Position:** Support (4/12/12)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 2469 (Cohen) - End Discriminatory State Taxes for Automobile Renters Act of 2011**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would prohibit most state and local governments from imposing taxes on the rental of motor vehicles.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Because the bill exempts federally assisted airports from the proposed tax prohibition if a concession fee is involved, there would be no direct impact to SDIA or the Authority.

**Status:** 7/8/11 – Introduced and referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

**Position:** Watch (8/4/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 1691 (Richardson) - Prevention of Unreasonable Fees Act**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would prohibit the operator of a “transportation terminal” (e.g. airport) from charging fees to providers of limousine and other prearranged ground transportation, unless the fee is pre-approved by the U.S. Secretary of Transportation. Under this bill, transportation terminal operators would be limited to the collection of fees charged to the general public for access to, or use of, the terminal, and for the availability of ancillary facilities. Transportation terminal operators would still be allowed to require vehicles for hire to use, and pay for, segregated parking facilities if the fee is the same as that charged to the public. The bill would also allow the state or its political subdivisions to require a license or fee (other than a prohibited transportation terminal fee) for a motor vehicle providing certain other prearranged ground transportation.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

According to the author’s staff, this bill was introduced to prevent excessive fees such as those collected for repeated circling and registration for limited use of

airport facilities. San Francisco International Airport was specifically identified as an example by the author. This bill is opposed by Airports Council International-North America (ACI-NA), and the American Association of Airport Executives (AAAE). Limousine and taxi drivers contribute to the wear and tear on airport roads, including SDIA, and can legally be charged for their usage.

**Status:** 5/3/11 – Introduced and referred to the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

**Position:** Oppose (7/7/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 1474/S. 785 (Duncan/Thune) - Freedom from Government Competition Act of 2011**

**Background/Summary**

This bill requires that any government entity receiving federal funds contract out all federally-funded work – except in cases of national defense/homeland security and where there is no private source - to the private sector. This bill would require airports to contract with a private fixed base operator (FBO) to provide services at their airport.

This legislation was initiated on behalf of the National Air Transport Association in an effort to prevent airports from serving as FBO's. It is opposed by Airports Council International-North America (ACI-NA), and the American Association of Airport Executives (AAAE).

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Although the Authority currently uses a private company for SDIA's FBO, this bill would mandate that the airport contract with a private FBO in the future, eliminating our option to provide our own FBO services, should the Authority choose to do so.

**Status:** 4/12/11- H.R. 1474 - Introduced and referred to the House Committee on Oversight Reform  
4/12/11 – S. 785 - Introduced and referred to the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs

**Position:** Oppose (6/2/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 1586 (King) - Expanding Airport Security Screening Opt-Out Programs**

**Background/Summary**

The bill would authorize the Secretary of Homeland Security to approve applications submitted by airports for private screening programs if such applications have not been acted on by the TSA within 120 days of receipt. The Secretary must approve the application unless it can demonstrate that doing so would hurt the effectiveness of screening or otherwise harm aviation security.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This legislation would result in an expedited review of a Screening Partnership Program application should the Authority decide to use this program in the future.

**Status:** 5/5/11 – Referred to the House Committee on Homeland Security

**Position:** Watch (5/5/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 386 (Lungren) - Securing Cockpits Against Lasers Act of 2011**

**Background/Summary**

This Act amends the federal criminal code to prohibit the aiming of the beam of a laser pointer at an aircraft or in its flight path, and imposes a fine and/or prison term of up to five years for such action.

The following would be exempt from the prohibition:

- Individuals conducting research and development or flight test operations for an aircraft manufacturer or the Federal Aviation Administration
- Department of Defense or Department of Homeland Security personnel conducting research, development, operations, testing or training
- Individuals using a laser emergency signaling device to send a distress signal

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Although this legislation would have no direct impact to the Authority or SDIA, it could enhance aviation safety by protecting pilots from laser interferences.

**Status:** 2/28/11 – Approved by the House on a voice vote and referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee

**Position:** Support (4/7/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 235 (Brady) - Cut Unsustainable and Top-Heavy Spending Act of 2011**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would eliminate several federal programs including grants to large and medium hub airports under the Airport Improvement Program (AIP). This bill would also rescind any unobligated funds made available for such grants.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Because SDIA relies on AIP funding for its capital improvements program, the elimination of this funding could limit the Authority from moving forward on some airport projects.

**Status:** 1/7/11 – Introduced and referred to thirteen House Committees

**Position:** Oppose (4/7/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 3011 (Rogers) - Transportation Security Administration Reauthorization**

**Background/Summary**

This bill would reauthorize for two years the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) and TSA programs. If approved, this would be the first TSA reauthorization since the creation of the agency in 2001. Specifically, this bill would fund the TSA at \$7.8 billion in FY 2012 and at \$7.5 billion in FY 2013. It would also establish a “trusted traveler” program at airports to expedite passengers meeting certain security criteria through security checkpoints.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

If passed, this legislation would help to ensure that SDIA remains adequately staffed by TSA personnel and could assist in reducing security checkpoint wait times for some passengers.

**Status:** 9/22/11 - Introduced and referred to the House Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on the Judiciary

**Position:** Support (10/6/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**S. 1660 (Reid)/ H.R. 12 (Larson) - The American Jobs Act of 2011**

**Background/Summary**

This legislation proposes spending \$447 billion for the purpose of creating jobs. Specifically, the bill would alter the Internal Revenue Code by reducing

employment and unemployment tax rates, providing new tax credits and through other actions. The Senate has proposed funding the proposal with by imposing a surtax on individuals with annual incomes of \$1 million or more.

The bill includes \$50 billion for investment in transportation infrastructure. Of that amount, \$2 billion would go toward airport infrastructure projects. Airport development grants funded through this legislation would not require a local match.

This legislation would also exempt private activity bonds issued by airports in 2011 and 2012 from the alternative minimum tax. In addition, the bill would create a \$10 billion for a National Infrastructure Bank and provide \$1 billion for the Next Generation Air Transportation system.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

If enacted into law, this legislation could provide new funding for SDIA projects.

**Status:** 10/5/11 - Introduced in the Senate  
9/21/11 – Introduced in the House and referred to eleven House Committees

**Position:** Watch (11/3/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 2594 (Mica) – European Union Emissions Trading Scheme Prohibition Act of 2011**

**Background/Summary**

This legislation would direct the Department of Transportation to prohibit operators of U.S. civil aircraft from participating in any emissions trading scheme unilaterally established by the European Union. Under the European Union's carbon-emissions trading system, beginning in January 2012, U.S. airlines flying into or out of Europe must reduce their carbon dioxide emissions or pay a fine. The U.S. airline industry is opposed to the program based on its belief that it violates international agreements and infringes on U.S. sovereignty.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

This legislation is not expected to have any direct impact on SDIA or the Authority.

**Status:** 10/24/11 – Approved by the House by voice vote  
12/17/11 – Referred to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation

**Position:** Watch (11/3/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**H.R. 3116 (King) – Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012**

**Background/Summary**

This legislation would reauthorize Department of Homeland Security (DHS) operations and programs and DHS programs for the first time since DHS was created in 2003. Provisions in the bill would require an internal review by Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to ensure there is adequate staffing at each of the ten international airports in the U.S. with the largest volume of international travelers. The legislation would also require implementation of the exit component of the U.S. Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology (US-VISIT) program at airports.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Approval of this bill could help ensure that SDIA continues to have an adequate level of federal security and sufficient CBP staffing for international flight passenger processing.

**Status:** 10/13/11 – Approved by the House Committee on Homeland Security by a vote of 20 to 12

**Position:** Watch (12/1/11)

**Legislation/Topic**

**S. 2322 (Murray) - Fiscal Year 2013 Transportation Appropriations Act**

**Background/Summary**

This legislation provides annual funding for the Department of Transportation and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) operations and programs. The bill would fund the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) at \$3.35 billion – the full amount authorized for this program in the recently enacted FAA Reauthorization bill.

**Anticipated Impact/Discussion**

Approval of this bill would assist the Authority by providing AIP funding for which the Authority could compete.

**Status:** 4/19/12 – Passed by Senate Appropriations Committee

**Position:** Support (5/3/12)