

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

Board Members

Robert H. Gleason
Board Chair

David Alvarez
C. April Boling
Greg Cox

Jim Desmond
Lloyd B. Hubbs
Jim Janney

Paul Robinson
Mary Sesson

BOARD **AGENDA**

Thursday, December 17, 2015
9:00 A.M.

San Diego International Airport
SDCRAA Administration Building – Third Floor
(Formerly Commuter Terminal)
Board Room
3225 N. Harbor Drive
San Diego, California 92101

Ex-Officio Board Members

Laurie Berman
Eraina Ortega
Col. Jason G. Woodworth

President / CEO

Thella F. Bowens

Live webcasts of Authority Board meetings can be accessed at
<http://www.san.org/Airport-Authority/Meetings-Agendas/Authority-Board>

This Agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. The indication of a recommended action does not indicate what action (if any) may be taken. ***Please note that agenda items may be taken out of order.*** If comments are made to the Board without prior notice or are not listed on the Agenda, no specific answers or responses should be expected at this meeting pursuant to State law.

Staff Reports and documentation relating to each item of business on the Agenda are on file in Corporate & Information Governance and are available for public inspection.

NOTE: Pursuant to Authority Code Section 2.15, all Lobbyists shall register as an Authority Lobbyist with the Authority Clerk within ten (10) days of qualifying as a lobbyist. A qualifying lobbyist is any individual who receives \$100 or more in any calendar month to lobby any Board Member or employee of the Authority for the purpose of influencing any action of the Authority. To obtain Lobbyist Registration Statement Forms, contact the Corporate & Information Governance/Authority Clerk Department.

PLEASE COMPLETE A "REQUEST TO SPEAK" FORM PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE MEETING AND SUBMIT IT TO THE AUTHORITY CLERK. ***PLEASE REVIEW THE POLICY FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN BOARD AND BOARD COMMITTEE MEETINGS (PUBLIC COMMENT) LOCATED AT THE END OF THE AGENDA.***

The Authority has identified a local company to provide oral interpreter and translation services for public meetings. If you require oral interpreter or translation services, please telephone the Corporate & Information Governance /Authority Clerk Department with your request at (619) 400-2400 at least three (3) working days prior to the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER:

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL:

PRESENTATION:

REPORTS FROM BOARD COMMITTEES, AD HOC COMMITTEES, AND CITIZEN COMMITTEES AND LIAISONS:

STANDING BOARD COMMITTEES

- **AUDIT COMMITTEE:**
Committee Members: Gleason, Hollingworth, Hubbs, Robinson (Chair), Sessom, Tartre, Van Sambeek
- **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE:**
Committee Members: Alvarez, Boling, Gleason, Hubbs (Chair), Robinson
- **EXECUTIVE PERSONNEL AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE:**
Committee Members: Cox, Desmond (Chair), Hubbs, Janney, Sessom
- **FINANCE COMMITTEE:**
Committee Members: Alvarez, Boling (Chair), Cox, Janney, Sessom

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

- **AUTHORITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE:**
Liaison: Robinson (Primary), Boling
- **ART ADVISORY COMMITTEE:**
Committee Member: Gleason

LIAISONS

- **AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN:**
Liaison: Janney
- **CALTRANS:**
Liaison: Berman
- **INTER-GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS:**
Liaison: Cox

- **MILITARY AFFAIRS:**
Liaison: Woodworth
- **PORT:**
Liaisons: Cox, Gleason (Primary), Robinson
- **WORLD TRADE CENTER:**
Representatives: Alvarez, Gleason (Primary)

BOARD REPRESENTATIVES (EXTERNAL)

- **SANDAG TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE:**
Representatives: Alvarez (Primary), Hubbs

CHAIR'S REPORT:

PRESIDENT/CEO'S REPORT:

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT:

Non-Agenda Public Comment is reserved for members of the public wishing to address the Board on matters for which another opportunity to speak **is not provided on the Agenda**, and which is within the jurisdiction of the Board. Please submit a completed speaker slip to the Authority Clerk. *Each individual speaker is limited to three (3) minutes. Applicants, groups and jurisdictions referring items to the Board for action are limited to five (5) minutes.*

Note: Persons wishing to speak on specific items should reserve their comments until the specific item is taken up by the Board.

CONSENT AGENDA (Items 1-12):

The consent agenda contains items that are routine in nature and non-controversial. Some items may be referred by a standing Board Committee or approved as part of the budget process. The matters listed under 'Consent Agenda' may be approved by one motion. Any Board Member may remove an item for separate consideration. Items so removed will be heard before the scheduled New Business Items, unless otherwise directed by the Chair.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

The Board is requested to approve minutes of prior Board meetings.

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the minutes of the November 19, 2015, regular meeting.

2. ACCEPTANCE OF BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS WRITTEN REPORTS ON THEIR ATTENDANCE AT APPROVED MEETINGS AND PRE-APPROVAL OF ATTENDANCE AT OTHER MEETINGS NOT COVERED BY THE CURRENT RESOLUTION:

The Board is requested to accept the reports.

RECOMMENDATION: Accept the reports and pre-approve Board member attendance at other meetings, trainings and events not covered by the current resolution.

(Corporate & Information Governance: Tony Russell, Director/Authority Clerk)

3. AWARDED CONTRACTS, APPROVED CHANGE ORDERS FROM OCTOBER 26, 2015 THROUGH NOVEMBER 22, 2015, AND REAL PROPERTY AGREEMENTS GRANTED AND ACCEPTED FROM OCTOBER 26, 2015, THROUGH NOVEMBER 22, 2015:

The Board is requested to receive the report.

RECOMMENDATION: Receive the report.

(Procurement: Jana Vargas, Director)

4. DISPOSITION OF SURPLUS PROPERTY:

The Board is requested to authorize the disposition of surplus property.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0126, authorizing the disposition of surplus property (materials and/or equipment) by: 1) donating electronic surplus to San Diego Futures Foundation (SDFF), 2) sale to the highest bidder, and 3) recycling and disposing of unwanted items as scrap.

(Procurement: Jana Vargas, Director)

CLAIMS

- 5. REJECT THE CLAIM OF MALCOM DAVIDSON:**
The Board is requested to reject a claim.
RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0127, rejecting the claim of Malcom Davidson.
(Legal: Breton Lobner, General Counsel)

- 6. REJECT THE CLAIM OF ELIZABETH DELGADO:**
The Board is requested to reject a claim.
RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0128, rejecting the claim of Elizabeth Delgado.
(Legal: Breton Lobner, General Counsel)

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7. EXTERNAL AUDITOR'S FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015, REPORTS: A) AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, B) COMPLIANCE (SINGLE AUDIT) REPORT, C) PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGE COMPLIANCE REPORT, D) CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGE COMPLIANCE REPORT, AND E) REPORT TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE:**
The Board is requested to accept the report.
RECOMMENDATION: The Audit Committee recommends that Board accept the reports.
(Finance & Asset Management: Kathy Kiefer, Senior Director, and Mark A. Burchyett, Chief Auditor)

- 8. REVIEW OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (CAFR) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015:**
The Board is requested to accept the information.
RECOMMENDATION: The Audit Committee recommends that the Board accept the information.
(Finance & Asset Management: Kathy Kiefer, Senior Director)

- 9. FISCAL YEAR 2016 FIRST QUARTER ACTIVITIES REPORT AND AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS ISSUED BY THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR:**
The Board is requested to accept the information.
RECOMMENDATION: The Audit Committee recommends that the Board accept the information.
(Audit: Mark Burchyett, Chief Auditor)

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS AND/OR AMENDMENTS TO CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS EXCEEDING \$1 MILLION

10. AUTHORIZE THE PRESIDENT/CEO TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE A LETTER OF CREDIT AND REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT WITH US BANK, NA TO CONTINUE THE AUTHORITY'S BONDING AND CONTRACT FINANCING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM:

The Board is requested to authorize the President/CEO to execute a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0129, approving and authorizing the President/CEO to negotiate and execute a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 with US Bank, NA in order to continue the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program.

(Finance & Asset Management: Geoff Bryant, Manager)

11. AWARD OF CONTRACT TO HAZARD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR REHABILITATE CROSS TAXIWAY B8 AND TERMINAL APRONS AT SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:

The Board is requested to award a contract.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0130, awarding a contract to Hazard Construction Company in the amount of \$4,766,600 for Project No. 104177, Rehabilitate Cross Taxiway B8 and Terminal Aprons at San Diego International Airport.

(Facilities Development: Iraj Ghaemi, Director)

12. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE AMENDMENT 4 TO THE CONTRACT WITH LEIGH FISHER INCREASING THE CONTRACT DURATION AND NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT FOR THE AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT PLAN (ADP) ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES:

The Board is requested to increase the contract duration.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0131, approving and authorizing Amendment 4 to the Leigh Fisher contract increasing the term by four (4) years to expire on February 21, 2020 and increasing the amount by \$2,570,000 for a new total not-to-exceed amount of \$8,692,145 for the Airport Development Plan (ADP) Environmental Analyses.

(Airport Planning & Noise Mitigation: Keith Wilschetz, Director)

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

OLD BUSINESS:

NEW BUSINESS:

13. DECEMBER 2015 LEGISLATIVE REPORT AND 2016 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA:

The Board is requested to approve the report and agenda.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0132, approving the December 2015 Legislative Report and 2016 Legislative Agenda.

(Inter-Governmental and Community Relations: Michael Kulis, Director)

14. ADOPT AUTHORITY POLICY 8.63 - PRIVACY OF PERSONAL INFORMATION:

The Board is requested to adopt the policy.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0124, approving the adoption of Authority Policy 8.63 - Privacy of Personal Information.

(Corporate & Information Governance: Tony Russell, Director/Authority Clerk)

15. ADOPT A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE PUBLIC COMMENT LETTER ON THE DRAFT SOCAL METROPLEX ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT:

The Board is requested to adopt a resolution.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0133, supporting the attached September 3, 2015, public comment letter signed by Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO, San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, regarding the draft SoCal Metroplex Environmental Assessment.

(Airport Planning & Noise Mitigation: Keith Wilschetz, Director)

CLOSED SESSION:

16. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS:

(Real property negotiations pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code § 54954.5(b) and § 54956.8.)

Property: Salt Plant – 17 acre parcel located at 1470 Bay Boulevard, San Diego.

Agency Negotiators: Scott Brickner, Finance & Asset Management, Vice President/Treasurer.

Negotiating Parties: San Diego Gas & Electric, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, GGTW, LLC (current tenant) and/or other interested parties.

Under Negotiation: Sale – terms and conditions.

17. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION:

(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)

Diego Concession Group, Inc. v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority,
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2012-00088083-CU-BT-CTL

- 18. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)
Dryden Oaks, LLC v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, et al.,
San Diego Superior Court, North County, Case No. 37-2014-00004077-CU-EI-NC
- 19. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)
Donna Wilson; John Wilson v. San Diego Port Authority; San Diego International Airport; San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00015326-CU-PO-CTL (Meyer)
- 20. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code §54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)
Joan M. Ward v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, et al
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00022181-CU-WT-CTL
- 21. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a)):
Maria Paula Bermudez v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, American Airlines, Inc., et al.
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00022911-CU-PO-CTL.
- 22. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code §54956.9(a) and (d)(1))
Stanley Moore v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, et al
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00030676-CU-OE-CTL
- 23. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code §54956.9(a) and (d)(1)):
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority v. The Judicial Council of California, et al
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00035258-CU-WM-CTL
- 24. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d).
In the matter of the Petition of San Diego County Regional Airport Authority for Review of Action by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board in Issuing Order No. R9-2013-0001, as amended by Orders Nos. R9-2015-0001 and R9-2015-0100 (NPDES NO. CAS0109266) [Water Code §§ 13320(a) and 13321(a)]
- 25. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION AND EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§ 54956.9(a) and 54956.9(b).)
Jay A. Bass, et al/v. San Diego City Employees' Retirement System, et al.,
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2013-00077566-CU-OE-CTL

- 26. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION:**
(Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§ 54956.9 (b) and 54954.5.)
Re: Investigative Order No. R9-2012-0009 by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board regarding submission of technical reports pertaining to an investigation of bay sediments at the Downtown Anchorage Area in San Diego.
Number of potential cases: 1
- 27. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION:**
(Initiation of litigation pursuant to Cal. Government Code § 54956.9(d).)
Number of cases: 2
- 28. THREAT TO PUBLIC SERVICES OR FACILITIES:**
Cal. Gov. Code §54957
Consultation with: Transportation Security Administration (TSA)
Federal Security Director and Harbor Police Chief

REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION:

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT:

Non-Agenda Public Comment is reserved for members of the public wishing to address the Board on matters for which another opportunity to speak **is not provided on the Agenda**, and which is within the jurisdiction of the Board. Please submit a completed speaker slip to the Authority Clerk. *Each individual speaker is limited to three (3) minutes. Applicants, groups and jurisdictions referring items to the Board for action are limited to five (5) minutes.*

Note: Persons wishing to speak on specific items should reserve their comments until the specific item is taken up by the Board.

GENERAL COUNSEL REPORT:

BUSINESS AND TRAVEL EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REPORTS FOR BOARD MEMBERS, PRESIDENT/CEO, CHIEF AUDITOR AND GENERAL COUNSEL WHEN ATTENDING CONFERENCES, MEETINGS, AND TRAINING AT THE EXPENSE OF THE AUTHORITY:

BOARD COMMENT:

ADJOURNMENT:

Policy for Public Participation in Board, Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC), and Committee Meetings (Public Comment)

- 1) Persons wishing to address the Board, ALUC, and Committees shall complete a "Request to Speak" form prior to the initiation of the portion of the agenda containing the item to be addressed (e.g., Public Comment and General Items). Failure to complete a form shall not preclude testimony, if permission to address the Board is granted by the Chair.
- 2) The Public Comment Section at the beginning of the agenda is limited to eighteen (18) minutes and is reserved for persons wishing to address the Board, ALUC, and Committees on any matter for which another opportunity to speak is not provided on the Agenda, and on matters that are within the jurisdiction of the Board. A second Public Comment period is reserved for general public comment later in the meeting for those who could not be heard during the first Public Comment period.
- 3) Persons wishing to speak on specific items listed on the agenda will be afforded an opportunity to speak during the presentation of individual items. Persons wishing to speak on specific items should reserve their comments until the specific item is taken up by the Board, ALUC and Committees. Public comment on specific items is limited to twenty (20) minutes – ten (10) minutes for those in favor and ten (10) minutes for those in opposition of an item. Each individual speaker will be allowed three (3) minutes, and applicants and groups will be allowed five (5) minutes.
- 4) If many persons have indicated a desire to address the Board, ALUC and Committees on the same issue, then the Chair may suggest that these persons consolidate their respective testimonies. Testimony by members of the public on any item shall be limited to **three (3) minutes per individual speaker and five (5) minutes for applicants, groups and referring jurisdictions.**
- 5) Pursuant to Authority Policy 1.33 (8), recognized groups must register with the Authority Clerk prior to the meeting.
- 6) After a public hearing or the public comment portion of the meeting has been closed, no person shall address the Board, ALUC, and Committees without first obtaining permission to do so.

Additional Meeting Information

NOTE: This information is available in alternative formats upon request. To request an Agenda in an alternative format, or to request a sign language or oral interpreter, or an Assistive Listening Device (ALD) for the meeting, please telephone the Authority Clerk's Office at (619) 400-2400 at least three (3) working days prior to the meeting to ensure availability.

For your convenience, the agenda is also available to you on our website at www.san.org.

For those planning to attend the Board meeting, parking is available in the public parking lot located directly in front of the Administration Building (formerly the Commuter Terminal). Bring your ticket to the third floor receptionist for validation.

You may also reach the Administration Building by using public transit via the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, Route 992. The MTS bus stop at Terminal 1 is a very short walking distance from the Administration Building. ADA paratransit operations will continue to serve the Administration Building as required by Federal regulation. For MTS route, fare and paratransit information, please call the San Diego MTS at (619) 233-3004 or 511. For other Airport related ground transportation questions, please call (619) 400- 2685.

UPCOMING MEETING SCHEDULE

<i>Date</i>	<i>Day</i>	<i>Time</i>	<i>Meeting Type</i>	<i>Location</i>
January 21	Thursday	9:00 a.m.	Regular	Board Room
February 18	Thursday	9:00 a.m.	Regular	Board Room

DRAFT
SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD
MINUTES
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2015
SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
BOARD ROOM

CALL TO ORDER: Chair Gleason called the regular meeting of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Board to order at 9:07 a.m. on Thursday, November 19, 2015, in the Board Room at the San Diego International Airport, Administration Building (formerly the Commuter Terminal), 3225 North Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92101.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Board Member Cox led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

PRESENT: Board Members: Alvarez, Berman (Ex Officio), Boling, Cox, Desmond, Gleason, Hubbs, Janney, Robinson, Sessom, Woodworth (Ex Officio)

ABSENT: Board Members: Ortega (Ex Officio)

ALSO PRESENT: Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO; Breton K. Lobner, General Counsel; Tony R. Russell, Director, Corporate and Information Governance/Authority Clerk, Lorraine Bennett, Assistant Authority Clerk II

Board Members Desmond, Robinson and Sessom arrived during the course of the meeting.

Chair Gleason reported that November is National Aviation History Month. He stated that he recently accepted on behalf of the Authority, resolutions from the City of San Diego and the County of San Diego, to honor National Aviation History Month.

Mike Kulis, Director, Inter-Governmental Relations, introduced Brian Elliott, Field Representative, Office of Congressman Scott Peters, Mabi Castro, Legislative Analyst, Office of Senator Ben Hueso, and Myrna Zambrano, Special Assistant, Office of Assembly Speaker Toni Atkins, who each presented a proclamation to the Authority, in recognition of National Aviation History Month.

Board Members Desmond and Sessom arrived at the meeting at 9:12 a.m.

PRESENTATIONS:

**A. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CALTRANS)
UPDATE ON TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS:**

Bill Figge, Deputy District Director, Caltrans District 11, provided a presentation on the California Department of Transportation Airport Ground Transportation Improvements, which included Airport Ground Access Components, Recommended Airport Access Improvements from Central I-5 Corridor Study, Washington Street Interchange Improvements, Airport Master Plan – 2008, Mitigation of Impacts Associated with the Airport Master Plan, 2009 – Destination Lindbergh, SANDAG Airport Intermodal Transit Center – Phase 1 Final Report, Central I-5 Conceptual Improvement Program Recommendations – 2010, Surface Street Access, San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan, Improving Transit Connections to the Airport, Middletown Trolley Station, Improving Airport Ground Access through Signage, and New Roadway Signage.

Board Member Boling requested that staff provide the Board with SANDAG's funding allocation for the Intermodal Transportation Center.

In response to Board Member Boling regarding why the Middletown Trolley Station stop is not called the "Airport" stop, Dave Schumacher, Principal Regional Planner for SANDAG stated that this was discussed with the Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) who expressed concern about potential confusion with Route 992 airport bus from the Santa Fe Depot to the Airport.

Board Member Boling recommended that the name of this stop be called the Airport Stop.

Board Member Cox suggested that the Authority work with other agencies regarding combining shuttle services to achieve better cost efficiencies.

Chair Gleason requested that staff meet with regional agencies to regarding coordinating shuttle services.

Board Member Sessom suggested that the Authority send a letter to the MTS requesting that the Middletown Trolley Station stop be renamed "Airport" stop.

Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO, stated that staff previously submitted a letter to the MTS and SANDAG regarding the naming of this stop, with no response. She stated that staff will send a follow-up letter.

In response to Board Member Alvarez's suggestion to re-route the 992 bus to the Middletown Trolley stop, Ms. Bowens stated that staff will look into this suggestion and report back to the Board.

Board Member Hubbs suggested that the design and landscape upgrades between the Palm Street station to the shuttle station be further enhanced to draw the public down to the airport.

Chair Gleason requested that staff coordinate efforts regarding these upgrades.

B. PROPOSED AIRPORT INNOVATION LAB:

Rick Belliotti, Director, Information Technology, provided a presentation on the Proposed Airport Innovation Lab, which included The Background, The Why, The What, The How, Benefits to Authority, and Next Steps.

In response to Board Member Sessom regarding an App being developed to provide notification of passenger baggage arrival using beacon technology, and what personal data is being collected, Mr. Belliotti stated that a beacon is only a trigger to send a signal to the user's phone, and that there is no collection of data.

Board Members Boling and Hubbs expressed concern about additional budget demands associated with this project.

Board Member Berman reported that Caltrans staff has developed innovation teams, and offered to share information about what Caltrans is doing.

The Board recessed at 10:26 a.m. and reconvened at 10:34 a.m.

C. FINANCIAL UPDATE OF THE UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015:

Kathy Kiefer, Senior Director, Finance & Asset Management, provided a presentation on the Financial Update of the Unaudited Financial Statements for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015, which included Operating Revenues (Unaudited), Operating Expenses (Unaudited), Non-operating Revenue & Expenses (Unaudited), Financial Summary, Statement of Net Position (Unaudited), Total Portfolio Summary, and Bond Proceeds Summary.

REPORTS FROM BOARD COMMITTEES, AD HOC COMMITTEES, AND CITIZEN COMMITTEES AND LIAISONS:

STANDING BOARD COMMITTEES

• **AUDIT COMMITTEE:**

Tony R. Russell, Director, Corporate and Information Governance/Authority Clerk, reported that the Audit Committee will convene for a Special Meeting on December 7th, immediately following the Executive/Finance Committee Meeting.

- **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE:**
Board Member Hubbs announced that the next Committee meeting is scheduled on January 28, 2016.
- **EXECUTIVE PERSONNEL AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE:**
Board Member Desmond announced that the next Committee meeting is scheduled on January 19, 2016.
- **FINANCE COMMITTEE:**
None.

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

- **AUTHORITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE:**
None.
- **ART ADVISORY COMMITTEE:**
Chair Gleason reported that the Fern Street Circus has been awarded the Residency Project for Performing Arts at the Airport. He reported that the selected artwork for the Rental Car Center will be installed during November and December.

LIAISONS

- **AIRPORT LAND USE COMPATIBILITY PLAN:**
Board Member Janney reported that ALUC staff has begun working with City of Coronado staff to prepare a public outreach plan for the NASNI ALUCP.
- **CALTRANS:**
Board Member Berman reported that a groundbreaking will place in the South Bay for the SR-905, SR-125, and SR-11 connectors, with the opening of the first phase of SR-11 later this year.
- **INTER-GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS:**
Board Member Cox reported that on November 5th, the House of Representatives passed a six-year Surface Transportation Reauthorization Bill. Once a final bill is approved, Congress is expected to begin its consideration of a Federal Aviation Administration Reauthorization Bill. He reported that on November 16th, Chair Gleason, along with Authority staff, participated in a meeting with San Diego Mayor Kevin Faulconer, FAA Air Traffic Control staff, and community representatives to discuss San Diego International Airport flight operations. He reported that Authority staff will provide airport briefings and airfield tours to Vista Councilmember Cody Campbell on November 23rd, Assemblyman Brian Jones on December 1st, and to Rear Admiral Markham Rich, on December 11th.
- **MILITARY AFFAIRS:**
None.

- **PORT:**
Chair Gleason reported that the Port leadership team met recently to discuss the Harbor Island visioning plan.
- **WORLD TRADE CENTER:**
Chair Gleason reported that the three license holders will meet today to discuss the World Trade Center license for San Diego.

BOARD REPRESENTATIVES (EXTERNAL)

- **SANDAG TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE:**
Board Member Alvarez reported on a recent visit to Vancouver Airport to learn about its pre-clearance program for passengers, and he suggested that the Authority look at joining an advocacy for more pre-clearance programs with other airports to increase international inbound flights to San Diego International Airport.

Chair Gleason requested that staff provide a brief report to the Board regarding the pre-clearance program.

CHAIR'S REPORT:

Chair Gleason reported that he recently met with the Federal Aviation Administration and the Mayor of San Diego to discuss issues related to flights out of San Diego International Airport.

PRESIDENT/CEO'S REPORT:

Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO, reported that construction will soon begin in Terminal 2 West for the Hudson News concessions site. She reported that Japan Airlines is on track and doing well. She also reported that Air Canada recently announced its new seasonal summer flights to Vancouver, BC; and British Airways will be upgrading its aircrafts to increase passenger capacity out of San Diego International Airport. She reported that the Authority was the recipient of the Award of Excellence by ACI-NA at its 2015 Marketing & Communications Conference, for customer service outreach related to the Airport Development Plan. She reported that the rental car roadway on Harbor Drive is scheduled to open on November 20th. She also reported that projections for travel during the Thanksgiving holiday are at capacity and that additional information will be forthcoming regarding National Aviation History Month.

Board Member Cox spoke regarding written communications received by the Board from concerned residents related to the proposed Metroplex plan, and he requested that this matter be placed on the next Board agenda for discussion and potential action by the Board in opposition to the Metroplex plan. He also requested that staff coordinate meetings in the Point Loma community for outreach, and to provide the opportunity for the residents to register their concerns.

Board Member Sessom expressed concern with sending staff out in the Point Loma community regarding this issue and suggested that this matter be discussed at the next Executive/Finance Committee Meeting before adding it to the Board agenda.

In response to Chair Gleason regarding a request that staff provide a report about additional outreach, Thella Bowers, President/CEO stated that this information is included in her written report to the Board, and that staff will provide feedback to the Board on an outreach plan.

Chair Gleason requested that staff also provide information about whether the FAA is willing to be involved with community outreach, and to have staff distribute to the Board, a report provided at a recent meeting between the San Diego Mayor's Office and representatives from the FAA Tower.

Board Member Boling requested that staff also provide the Board with statistics being compiled for the community groups who attended the recent Airport Noise Advisory Committee.

Board Member Desmond requested that staff bring him up to speed as to what changes are being proposed by the FAA.

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT:

CHRISTINA CHADWICK, SAN DIEGO, representing Downtown San Diego Partnership, thanked the Board for its work to ensure efficiencies with access in and around Downtown San Diego and the Airport.

ROBERT G. DELAURENTIS, SAN DIEGO, small business owner and pilot of "Spirit of San Diego", requested Board consideration for the Authority's participation in the City of San Diego's "Spirit of San Diego" Day, "Spirit of San Diego" airport community art projects and exhibits, create a permanent "Spirit of San Diego" mural in Terminal 1, and an annual circumnavigation partnership.

Chair Gleason referred the matter to staff.

CONSENT AGENDA (Items 1-13):

Chair Gleason requested that Item 4 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

Tony R. Russell, Director, Corporate and Information Governance/Authority Clerk, noted that additional reports for Board Members Alvarez, Cox and Hubbs were added to Item 2.

ACTION: Moved by Board Member Sessom and seconded by Board Member Janney to approve the Consent Agenda as amended. Motion carried by the following vote: YES – Alvarez, Boling, Cox, Desmond, Gleason, Hubbs, Janney, Sessom; NO – None; ABSENT – Robinson. (Weighted Vote Points: YES – 92; NO – 0; ABSENT – 8)

1. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**
RECOMMENDATION: Approve the minutes of the October 15, 2015, regular meeting.
2. **ACCEPTANCE OF BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS WRITTEN REPORTS ON THEIR ATTENDANCE AT APPROVED MEETINGS AND PRE-APPROVAL OF ATTENDANCE AT OTHER MEETINGS NOT COVERED BY THE CURRENT RESOLUTION:**
RECOMMENDATION: Accept the reports and pre-approve Board member attendance at other meetings, trainings and events not covered by the current resolution.
3. **AWARDED CONTRACTS, APPROVED CHANGE ORDERS FROM SEPTEMBER 21, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 25, 2015 AND REAL PROPERTY AGREEMENTS GRANTED AND ACCEPTED FROM SEPTEMBER 21, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 25, 2015:**
RECOMMENDATION: Receive the report.
4. **NOVEMBER 2015 LEGISLATIVE REPORT:**
ACTION: This item was removed from the consent agenda for discussion.
5. **APPROVE ESTABLISHING THE DATE AND TIME OF EXECUTIVE PERSONNEL AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR 2016, AS INDICATED ON THE PROPOSED 2016 MASTER CALENDAR OF BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS:**
RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0117, establishing the date and time of Executive Personnel and Compensation Committee meetings for 2016.

CLAIMS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

6. **ACCEPT THE UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015:**
RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends that the Board accept the report.
7. **ACCEPT THE AUTHORITY'S INVESTMENT REPORT AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2015:**
RECOMMENDATION: The Finance Committee recommends that the Board accept the report.

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

8. AWARD AND AUTHORIZE THE PRESIDENT/CEO TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH LEGGE LEWIS LEGGE, LLC TO DESIGN INTEGRATED ARTWORK FOR PALM STREET PARK:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0118, awarding and authorizing the President/CEO to execute a Public Artwork Opportunity contract to Legge Lewis Legge, LLC to design integrated artwork for Palm Street Park in an amount not to exceed \$500,000.

9. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE AN INCREASE IN THE PRESIDENT/CEO'S CHANGE ORDER AUTHORITY FOR RECONFIGURE SECURITY CHECKPOINT 2/REFURBISH TERMINAL 1 ROTUNDA AND BAGGAGE CLAIM AREA AT SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0119, approving and authorizing an increase in the President/CEO's change order authority from \$229,777.38 to an amount not to exceed \$500,586.49, for Project No. 104179, Reconfigure Security Checkpoint 2/Refurbish Terminal 1 Rotunda and Baggage Claim Area at San Diego International Airport.

10. AUTHORIZE THE PRESIDENT/CEO TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH PAUL, PLEVIN, SULLIVAN & CONNAUGHTON LLP FOR LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LEGAL SERVICES:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0120, authorizing the President/CEO to negotiate and execute an agreement with Paul, Plevin, Sullivan & Connaughton LLP for labor and employment legal services for a term of three years with two one-year options to renew for an amount not-to-exceed \$500,000.

11. AUTHORIZE THE PRESIDENT/CEO TO CONSENT TO A DELI SPACE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN LANDMARK AVIATION GSO-SAN, LLC AND INJAI CORPORATION DBA STEVIE'S CATERING WITHIN LANDMARK AVIATION'S PREMISES:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0121, authorizing the President/CEO to negotiate and consent to a Deli Space Lease Agreement between Landmark Aviation GSO-SAN, LLC and INJAI Corporation dba Stevie's Catering within Landmark Aviation's premises.

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS AND/OR AMENDMENTS TO CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS EXCEEDING \$1 MILLION

12. AWARD A CONTRACT TO HAZARD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, FOR CONSTRUCT TAXI HOLD LOT AT SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0122, awarding a contract to Hazard Construction Company in an amount of \$3,083,417 for Project 104190, Construct Taxi Hold Lot at San Diego International Airport.

- 13. AWARD A CONTRACT TO S&L SPECIALTY CONTRACTING, INC., FOR QUIETER HOME PROGRAM PHASE 8, GROUP 9, PROJECT NO. 380809 (39 HISTORIC AND NON-HISTORIC SINGLE AND MULTI-FAMILY UNITS ON 16 RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES LOCATED EAST AND WEST OF THE AIRPORT):**
RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0123, awarding a contract to S&L Specialty Contracting, Inc., in the amount of \$1,338,550, for Phase 8, Group 9, Project No. 380809, of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority's Quieter Home Program.

ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR DISCUSSION

- 4. NOVEMBER 2015 LEGISLATIVE REPORT:**
Chair Gleason moved to change the position for legislation on the FAA Community Accountability Act of 2015, from a "Watch" position to a "Support" position.

Board Member Desmond requested more information on the bill before changing the position and offered a substitute motion.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0116, approving the November 2015 Legislative Report.

ACTION: Moved by Board Member Desmond and seconded by Board Member Janney to approve staff's recommendation, and direct staff to provide more information on the FAA Community Accountability Act of 2015 bill at the next Board Meeting. Motion carried by the following vote: YES – Alvarez, Boling, Cox, Desmond, Gleason, Hubbs, Janney, Sessom; NO – None; ABSENT – Robinson. (Weighted Vote Points: YES – 92; NO – 0; ABSENT – 8)

PUBLIC HEARINGS: None.

OLD BUSINESS: None.

The Board recessed at 11:10 a.m. and reconvened at 11:13 a.m.

Board Member Robinson arrived at the meeting at 11:18 a.m.

NEW BUSINESS:

14. PRESENTATION AND SELECTION OF THE PREFERRED AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT PLAN CONCEPT AND APPROVAL OF THE START OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT PROCESS:

Keith Wilschetz, Director, Planning & Noise Mitigation, and Scott Brickner, Vice President, Finance & Asset Management, provided a presentation on the Selection of the Preferred Airport Development Plan Concept and Approval of the Start of the Environmental Impact Report Process, which included Stakeholder Outreach, Authority Advisory Committee Comments, Airport Access Road Next Steps, Terminal Alternatives, Alternatives Evaluation Summary Results (PAL 12), Alternatives Evaluation Summary Results (Ultimate Build-Out), Primary Characteristics, ADP Rough Order of Magnitude Preliminary Costs, Preliminary Financial Plan, Financing and Development Options, Tenant Financing Summary, Public Private Partnerships (P3) Overview, Current Financial Position, and Impact on SDCRAA Credit Ratings.

Scott Brickner, Vice President, Finance & Asset Management, read in to the record, a letter from Steve Hubbell, Chair, Airline Airport Affairs Committee for San Diego International Airport, dated November 18, 2015, in support of the Authority's process to select a preferred alternative and approve the environmental review of the Airport Development Plan (ADP).

In response to Board Member Alvarez regarding what is the cost benefit analysis of moving forward, given the uncertainty with funding, Mr. Wilschetz stated that when the environmental documents are prepared, the entire program will be looked at with a logical phasing plan.

In response to Board Member Desmond regarding receiving further financial analyses for the project, Mr. Brickner stated that staff would update the Board periodically.

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0125, directing staff to continue the ADP process by refining the financial feasibility plan, performing the environmental analysis, and developing a regionally-accepted Airport Access Road concept, based on the preferred alternative identified in the Board meeting.

Board Member Robinson encouraged limiting the study to Alternatives 1, 4 and 5.

Board Member Janney stated that the real goal should be to replace the 19 gates at Terminal 1, and more importantly making the runway operational.

Board Member Hubbs stated that he is a little uncomfortable with selecting an alternative without addressing the roadway issue, but could support staff's recommendation.

Board Member Cox suggested that staff look at evaluating the feasibility of open space areas in the design for Alternative 5.

ACTION: Moved by Board Member Desmond and seconded by Board Member Cox selecting Alternative 5 as the preferred alternative and approving staff's recommendation. Motion carried by the following vote: YES – Alvarez, Boling, Cox, Desmond, Gleason, Hubbs, Janney, Robinson, Sessom; NO – None; ABSENT – None. (Weighted Vote Points: YES – 100; NO – 0; ABSENT – 0)

15. ADOPT AUTHORITY POLICY 8.63 - PRIVACY OF PERSONAL INFORMATION:

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0124, approving the adoption of Authority Policy 8.63 - Privacy of Personal Information.

ACTION: This item was not heard.

CLOSED SESSION: The Board did not recess in to Closed Session.

16. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS:

(Real property negotiations pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code § 54954.5(b) and § 54956.8.)

Property: Salt Plant – 17 acre parcel located at 1470 Bay Boulevard, San Diego.

Agency Negotiators: Scott Brickner, Finance & Asset Management, Vice President/Treasurer.

Negotiating Parties: San Diego Gas & Electric, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, GGTW, LLC (current tenant) and/or other interested parties.

Under Negotiation: Sale – terms and conditions.

17. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION:

(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)

Diego Concession Group, Inc. v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2012-00088083-CU-BT-CTL

18. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION:

(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)

Dryden Oaks, LLC v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, et al., San Diego Superior Court, North County, Case No. 37-2014-00004077-CU-EI-NC

19. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:

(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)

Donna Wilson; John Wilson v. San Diego Port Authority; San Diego International Airport; San Diego County Regional Airport Authority San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00015326-CU-PO-CTL (Meyer)

- 20. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code §54956.9(a) and (d)(1).)
Joan M. Ward v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, et al
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2014-00022181-CU-WT-CTL
- 21. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code § 54956.9(a)):
Maria Paula Bermudez v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority,
American Airlines, Inc., et al.
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00022911-CU-PO-CTL.
- 22. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code §54956.9(a) and (d)(1))
Stanley Moore v. San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, et al
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00030676-CU-OE-CTL
- 23. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Cal. Gov. Code §54956.9(a) and (d)(1)):
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority v. The Judicial Council of
California, et al
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2015-00035258-CU-WM-CTL
- 24. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION AND EXISTING LITIGATION:**
(Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§ 54956.9(a) and 54956.9(b).)
Jay A. Bass, et al v. San Diego City Employees' Retirement System, et al.,
San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2013-00077566-CU-OE-CTL
- 25. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION:**
(Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code §§ 54956.9 (b) and 54954.5.)
Re: Investigative Order No. R9-2012-0009 by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board regarding submission of technical reports pertaining to an investigation of bay sediments at the Downtown Anchorage Area in San Diego.
Number of potential cases: 1
- 26. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION:**
(Initiation of litigation pursuant to Cal. Government Code § 54956.9(d).)
Number of cases: 2

REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION: None.

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

GENERAL COUNSEL REPORT: None.

BUSINESS AND TRAVEL EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REPORTS FOR BOARD MEMBERS, PRESIDENT/CEO, CHIEF AUDITOR AND GENERAL COUNSEL WHEN ATTENDING CONFERENCES, MEETINGS, AND TRAINING AT THE EXPENSE OF THE AUTHORITY:

BOARD COMMENT: None.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 12:39 p.m.

APPROVED BY A MOTION OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD THIS 17th DAY OF DECEMBER, 2015.

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE /
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
2

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Acceptance of Board and Committee Members' Written Reports on Their Attendance at Approved Meetings and Pre-Approval of Attendance at other Meetings not Covered by the Current Resolution

Recommendation:

Accept the reports and pre-approve Board Member attendance at other meetings, trainings and events not covered by the current resolution.

Background/Justification:

Authority Policy 1.10 defines a "day of service" for Board Member compensation and outlines the requirements for Board Member attendance at meetings.

Pursuant to Authority Policy 1.10, Board Members are required to deliver to the Board a written report regarding their participation in meetings for which they are compensated. Their report is to be delivered at the next Board meeting following the specific meeting and/or training attended. The reports (Attachment A) were reviewed pursuant to Authority Policy 1.10 Section 5 (g), which defines a "day of service". The reports were also reviewed pursuant to Board Resolution No. 2009-0149R, which granted approval of Board Member representation for attending events and meetings.

The attached reports are being presented to comply with the requirements of Policy 1.10 and the Authority Act.

The Board is also being requested to pre-approve Board Member attendance at briefings by representatives of a local police department or a state or federal governmental agency regarding safety, security, immigration or customs affecting San Diego International Airport.

Fiscal Impact:

Board and Committee Member Compensation is included in the FY 2016 Budget.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Pub. Res. Code Section 21065.

- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act, Pub. Res. Code Section 30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not applicable.

Prepared by:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE & INFORMATION GOVERNANCE/AUTHORITY CLERK

ROBERT H. GLEASON


SDCRAA
 DEC 10 2015
 Corporate & Information Governance

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Board Member Event/Meeting/Training Report Summary
 Period Covered: NOVEMBER 2015

Directions: This Form permits Board Members to report their attendance at meetings, events, and training that qualify for "day of service" compensation pursuant to Cal. Pub. Util. Code §170017, Board Policy 1.10 and Board Resolution 2009-0149R. Unless attending a meeting held pursuant to the Brown Act, attendance must be pre-approved by the Board prior to attendance and a written report delivered at the next Board meeting. After completing this Form, please forward it to Tony Russell, Authority Clerk.

BOARD MEMBER NAME: (Please print)		DATE OF THIS REPORT:
ROBERT H. GLEASON		December 7, 2015
TYPE OF MEETING	DATE/TIME/LOCATION OF EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING	SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: November 9, 2015 Time: 9:00 am Location: SDCRAA offices	Executive / Finance Committee meeting
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: November 11, 2015 Time: 9:00 am Location: Hard Rock Hotel	BiCoastal International Real Estate Congress panel discussion; spoke on behalf of the Airport Authority
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: November 16, 2015 Time: 3:45 pm Location: City Hall	Meeting with Mayor Faulconer and FAA Air Traffic Control representatives
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: November 17, 2015 Time: 10:00 am & 2:00 pm Location: City Hall & County Admin Bldg	National Aviation Proclamations from City of San Diego and County of San Diego; spoke and received proclamation on behalf of Airport Authority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: November 19, 2015 Time: 9:00 am Location: SDCRAA offices	ALUC / Board meeting
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	

I certify that I was present for at least half of the time set for each meeting, event and training listed herein.

Signature: 

**ANDREW
HOLLINGWORTH**

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Board Member Event/Meeting/Training Report Summary
 Period Covered: _____

Directions: This Form permits Board Members to report their attendance at meetings, events, and training that qualify for "day of service" compensation pursuant to Cal. Pub. Util. Code §170017, Board Policy 1.10 and Board Resolution 2009-0149R. Unless attending a meeting held pursuant to the Brown Act, attendance must be pre-approved by the Board prior to attendance and a written report delivered at the next Board meeting. After completing this Form, please forward it to Tony Russell, Authority Clerk.

BOARD MEMBER NAME: (Please print)		DATE OF THIS REPORT:
<i>Andrew Hollingworth</i>		<i>12/7/2015</i>
TYPE OF MEETING	DATE/TIME/LOCATION OF EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING	SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: <i>12/7/2015</i> Time: <i>10:00</i> Location: <i>11:20</i>	<i>Arch Commitee mctg</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	

I certify that I was present for at least half of the time set for each meeting, event and training listed herein.

Signature: *Andrew Hollingworth*

JIM JANNEY

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Board Member Event/Meeting/Training Report Summary


Period Covered: Nov 2015


SDCRAA
 DEC 07 2015
 Corporate & Information Governance

Directions: This Form permits Board Members to report their attendance at meetings, events, and training that qualify for "day of service" compensation pursuant to Cal. Pub. Util. Code §170017, Board Policy 1.10 and Board Resolution 2009-0007. Unless attending a meeting held pursuant to the Brown Act, attendance must be pre-approved by the Board prior to attendance and a written report delivered at the next Board meeting. After completing this Form, please forward it to Tony Russell, Authority Clerk.

BOARD MEMBER NAME: (Please print)		DATE OF THIS REPORT
James Janney		12-7-2015
TYPE OF MEETING	DATE/TIME/LOCATION OF EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING	SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: 11-9 Time: 0900 Location: SDCRAA	Finance mtg
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: 11-19 Time: 0900 Location: SDCRAA	Board mtg
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	

I certify that I was present for at least half of the time set for each meeting, event and training listed herein.

Signature: 



**SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Board Member Event/Meeting/Training Report Summary**

Period Covered: Dec 2015

Directions: This Form permits Board Members to report their attendance at meetings, events, and training that qualify for "day of service" compensation pursuant to Cal. Pub. Util. Code §170017, Board Policy 1.10 and Board Resolution 2009-0007. Unless attending a meeting held pursuant to the Brown Act, attendance must be pre-approved by the Board prior to attendance and a written report delivered at the next Board meeting. After completing this Form, please forward it to Tony Russell, Authority Clerk.

BOARD MEMBER NAME (Please Print)		DATE OF THIS REPORT
Jim Sanner		12-11
TYPE OF MEETING	DATE/TIME/LOCATION OF EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING	SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: 12-7 Time: 0900 Location: SDCRAA	Finance mtg
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: 12-7 Time: 1000 Location: SDCRAA	Audit mtg
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: 12-17 Time: 0900 Location: SDCRAA	Board mtg
Brown Act Pre-approved Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	

I certify that I was present for at least half of the time set for each meeting, event and training listed herein.

Signature:

PAUL ROBINSON

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Board Member Event/Meeting/Training Report Summary

Period Covered: 11/30/15

Directions: This Form permits Board Members to report their attendance at meetings, events, and training that qualify for "day of service" compensation pursuant to Cal. Pub. Util. Code §170017, Board Policy 1.10 and Board Resolution 2009-0149R. Unless attending a meeting held pursuant to the Brown Act, attendance must be pre-approved by the Board prior to attendance and a written report delivered at the next Board meeting. After completing this Form, please forward it to Tony Russell, Authority Clerk.

BOARD MEMBER NAME: (Please print)		DATE OF THIS REPORT:
Paul E. Robinson		
TYPE OF MEETING	DATE/TIME/LOCATION OF EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING	SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: <u>11/19/15</u> Time: <u>9:00 - 10:00 am</u> Location: <u>SDCRAA Bd Rm</u>	<u>SDCRAA Exec/Finance Comm Mtg</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: <u>11/19/15</u> Time: <u>11:00 - 12:30 p.m.</u> Location: <u>SDCRAA Bd Rm</u>	<u>SDCRAA Bd/ALVC Mtgs</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	

I certify that I was present for at least half of the time set for each meeting, event and training listed herein.

Signature: 

DON TARTRE

SDCRAA
DEC 07 2015
Corporate & Information Governance

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Board Member Event/Meeting/Training Report Summary

Period Covered: 12/7-15

Directions: This Form permits Board Members to report their attendance at meetings, events, and training that qualify for "day of service" compensation pursuant to Cal. Pub. Util. Code §170017, Board Policy 1.10 and Board Resolution 2009-0149R. Unless attending a meeting held pursuant to the Brown Act, attendance must be pre-approved by the Board prior to attendance and a written report delivered at the next Board meeting. After completing this Form, please forward it to Tony Russell, Authority Clerk.

BOARD MEMBER NAME: (Please print)		DATE OF THIS REPORT:
<i>Don Tautou</i>		12/7-15
TYPE OF MEETING	DATE/TIME/LOCATION OF EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING	SUMMARY AND DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT/MEETING/TRAINING
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: <i>12/7-15</i> Time: <i>10AM</i> Location: <i>Bob Room</i>	<i>AC Mtg</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Brown Act <input type="checkbox"/> Pre-approved <input type="checkbox"/> Res. 2009-0149R	Date: Time: Location:	

I certify that I was present for at least half of the time set for each meeting, event and training listed herein.

Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
3

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Awarded Contracts, Approved Change Orders from October 26, 2015 through November 22, 2015, and Real Property Agreements Granted and Accepted from October 26, 2015 through November 22, 2015

Recommendation:

Receive the report.

Background/Justification:

Policy Section Nos. 5.01, Procurement of Services, Consulting, Materials, and Equipment, 5.02, Procurement of Contracts for Public Works, and 6.01, Leasing Policy, require staff to provide a list of contracts, change orders, and real property agreements that were awarded and approved by the President/CEO or her designee. Staff has compiled a list of all contracts, change orders (Attachment A) and real property agreements (Attachment B) that were awarded, granted, accepted, or approved by the President/CEO or her designee since the previous Board meeting.

Fiscal Impact:

The fiscal impact of these contracts and change orders are reflected in the individual program budget for the execution year and on the next fiscal year budget submission. Amount to vary depending upon the following factors:

1. Contracts issued on a multi-year basis; and
2. Contracts issued on a Not-to-Exceed basis.
3. General fiscal impact of lease agreements reflects market conditions.

The fiscal impact of each reported real property agreement is identified for consideration on Attachment B.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Inclusionary Policy requirements were included during the solicitation process prior to the contract award.

Prepared by:

JANA VARGAS
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT

Attachment "A"

AWARDED CONTRACTS AND CHANGE ORDERS SIGNED BETWEEN October 26, 2015 - November 22, 2015



New Contracts

Date Signed	CIP #	Company	Description	Solicitation Method	Owner	Contract Value	End Date
10/30/2015	N/A	Transcore, LP	The Contractor will provide Automated Vehicle Identification (AVI) readers for San Diego International Airport. The AVI readers work with existing software used by the Ground Transportation department and are consistent with other readers throughout San Diego International Airport.	Single Source	Ground Transportation	\$274,090.00	9/30/2016
11/5/2015	N/A	Good Earth Living Architecture, Inc.	The Contractor will design, fabricate and install a living wall memorial to be sited at the Rental Car Center at San Diego International Airport.	Informal RFP	Vision, Voice & Engagement	\$20,000.00	2/14/2018
11/16/2015	N/A	Stanley G. Alexander Inc. dba Alexander's Mobility Services	The Contractor will provide relocation services to move furniture and equipment from offices located throughout San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Administration Offices.	RFB	Facilities Development	\$14,542.00	11/7/2016
11/20/2015	N/A	Bee Factory LLC	The Contractor will provide Lost and Found Software Services for San Diego International Airport.	Informal RFP	Ground Transportation	\$9,899.95	6/29/2016



New Contracts Approved by the Board

Date Signed	CIP #	Company	Description	Solicitation Method	Owner	Contract Value	End Date
10/23/2015	104168C-2	BC Rentals dba BC Traffic Specialist	This contract was approved by the Board at the September 17, 2015 Board Meeting. The Contractor will complete Project 104168C-2, Rental Car Center (RCC) Wayfinding Signage- CALTRANS for San Diego International Airport.	RFB	Facilities Development	\$137,754.71	1/14/2016
10/23/2015	104168B	Sign Age Identity Systems, Inc.	This contract was approved by the Board at the September 17, 2015 Board Meeting. The Contractor will complete Project 104168B, Terminal Parking Wayfinding Signage at San Diego International Airport.	RFB	Facilities Development	\$287,986.00	1/11/2016
10/27/2015	N/A	HSS, Inc.	This contract was approved by the Board at the September 17, 2015 Board Meeting. The Contractor will provide Security Officer Services at San Diego International Airport.	RFP	Aviation Security & Public Safety	\$19,000,000.00	11/16/2018
11/4/2015	N/A	Ball-Nogues Design Studio, LLC	This contract was approved by the Board at the September 17, 2015 Board Meeting. The Artist will complete the Parking Plaza Public Art Project located at San Diego International Airport.	RFQ	Vision, Voice & Engagement	\$900,000.00	1/31/2018
11/16/2015	N/A	Nossaman LLP	This contract was approved by the Board at the October 15, 2015 Board Meeting. The Contractor will provide State Legislative Consulting Services for San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.	RFP	Inter-Governmental Relations	\$723,000.00	10/31/2018
11/16/2015	N/A	Atkins North America, Inc.	This contract was approved by the Board at the September 17, 2015 Board Meeting. The Contractor will provide On-Call Airside and Landside Engineering Consulting Services for San Diego International Airport.	RFQ	Facilities Development	\$5,000,000.00	10/31/2018
11/20/2015	N/A	AB Engineering Inc.	This contract was approved by the Board at the September 17, 2015 Board Meeting. The Contractor will provide On-Call Architectural and Engineering Consulting Services for San Diego International Airport	RFQ	Facilities Management	\$5,000,000.00	10/17/2018

Attachment "A"

AWARDED CONTRACTS AND CHANGE ORDERS SIGNED BETWEEN October 26, 2015 - November 22, 2015



Amendments and Change Orders

Date Signed	CIP #	Company	Description of Change	Owner	Previous Contract Amount	Change Order Value (+ / -)	Change Order Value (%) (+ / -)	New Contract Value	New End Date
11/4/2015	N/A	Rackspace US, Inc.	The Second Amendment extends the term of the contract by five months and increases the maximum amount payable by \$24,861.24 for managed hosting services for the Authority's websites.	Information & Technology Services	\$60,000.00	\$24,861.24	41%	\$84,861.24	10/31/2016
11/16/2015	N/A	David C. Allen, doing business as Allen Analytics	The First Amendment increases the maximum amount payable by \$6,000 to complete a pulse survey at San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.	Talent, Culture & Capability	\$44,000.00	\$6,000.00	14%	\$50,000.00	12/31/2016
<u>Amendments and Change Orders - Approved by the Board</u>									
			None						

Attachment "B"

REAL PROPERTY AGREEMENTS EXECUTED FROM OCTOBER 26, 2015 TO NOVEMBER 22, 2015



Real Property Agreements

Begin/End Dates	Authority Doc. #	Tenant/Company	Agreement Type	Property Location	Use	Property Area (s.f)	Consideration	Comments
10/1/2015 - 9/30/2020	LE-0873	Aeronautical Radio, Inc.	Rental Agreement	Terminal 1 West, 2nd Floor and Authority Building (CT), 2nd Floor	New Rental Agreement for ARINC's rental spaces to store equipment associated with air/ground aviation communication	T1W - 16 s.f. Authority Building (CT) - 25 s.f.	\$5,904 per year	N/A



Real Property Agreement Amendments and Assignments

Effective Date	Authority Doc. #	Tenant/Company	Agreement Type	Property Location	Use	Property Area (s.f)	Consideration	Comments
No Agreements or Assignments to Report								



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
4

Meeting Date: **December 17, 2015**

Subject:

Disposition of Surplus Property

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0126, authorizing the disposition of surplus property (materials and/or equipment) by: 1) donating electronic surplus to San Diego Futures Foundation (SDFF), 2) sale to the highest bidder, and 3) recycling and disposing of unwanted items as scrap.

Background/Justification:

Authority Policy 8.21, *Surplus Materials and Equipment*, requires that a listing of all surplus items be submitted to the Authority's Board for approval to dispose of items which are no longer needed by the Authority.

Surplus items in excess of the Authority's needs are sent to the Procurement Department for final disposition. The Authority has surplus property stored in various locations. The surplus property is listed on Exhibits A and B. These items occupy much-needed space and interfere with day-to-day operations.

Competitive solicitations were conducted for auction and electronic recycling services, resulting in agreements awarded to Public Surplus, LLC and Ken Porter Auctions for auction and IMS Electronic Recycling Incorporated for the recycling of surplus electronic equipment and accessories not donated to SDFF.

Items listed in Exhibit A, *San Diego Futures Foundation Computer-Related Equipment*, are in poor condition or are obsolete and past their useful life. In accordance with Board Policy, the items will be donated to the San Diego Futures Foundation. The San Diego Futures Foundation will provide a certificate of acknowledgement and recognition to the Authority for the donation.

Items listed in Exhibit B, *Surplus Items*, are in mostly poor or fair condition, or obsolete, and past their useful life. A few items on Exhibit B remain in good condition but are no longer required by the Authority and are occupying needed space. These items have been determined to contain some residual value and would be sold at auction or e-auction.

Fiscal Impact:

Fees and associated costs for the transportation of miscellaneous surplus property will be paid from the gross sales received by the auction services contractors, Ken Porter Auctions and Public Surplus, LLC. There is no cost associated with the donation or recycling of surplus electronic equipment.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

A. California Environmental Quality Act. This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Pub. Res. Code §21065.

B. California Coastal Act Review. This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

The Authority has the following inclusionary programs/policies: a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program, an Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) Program, Policy 5.12 and Policy 5.14. These programs/policies are intended to promote the inclusion of small, local, service disabled veteran owned, historically underrepresented businesses and other business enterprises, on all contracts. Only one of the programs/policies named above can be used in any single contracting opportunity.

There is no cost to the Authority associated with the provision of this service, an informal selection process was used to identify the service provider.

Prepared by:

JANA VARGAS
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0126

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE DISPOSITION OF SURPLUS PROPERTY (MATERIALS AND OR EQUIPMENT) BY: 1) DONATING ELECTRONIC SURPLUS TO THE SAN DIEGO FUTURES FOUNDATION (SDFF), 2) SALE TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, AND 3) RECYCLING AND DISPOSING OF UNWANTED ITEMS AS SCRAP

WHEREAS, Authority Policy 8.21, Surplus Materials and Equipment, requires that before the disposal of surplus items, a list of said items shall be submitted to the Authority's Board for approval to sell and dispose of the items; and

WHEREAS, Exhibits A and B, attached hereto, contain descriptions of the items for disposal following the Board's approval; and

WHEREAS, these items are in mostly poor or fair condition, are either broken or obsolete, or past their useful life. A few items remain in good condition but are no longer required at the Authority and are occupying needed space; and

WHEREAS, under these circumstances, using authorized contractors for recycling and auction services is the most efficient and economical way to dispose of surplus items; and

WHEREAS, Ken Porter Auctions, Public Surplus, LLC., and IMS Recycling Inc. meet the Authority's objectives to facilitate ongoing requirements for the disposition of surplus materials and/or equipment; and

WHEREAS, San Diego Futures Foundation is a state –certified collection point for electronic hazardous waste and a non-profit 501(c)3 organization benefiting the San Diego County region.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby authorizes the disposition of surplus property (materials and/or equipment) by: 1) donating electronic surplus to San Diego Futures Foundation (SDFF), 2) sale to the highest bidder, and 3) recycling and disposing of unwanted items as scrap.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board that it finds that this Board action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code §21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code §30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015 by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE/
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL

Exhibit A**San Diego Futures Foundation Computer Related Equipment**

SURPLUS EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION	CONDITION OF ITEM	Qty.
Nortel office phone	POOR	1
Video monitor kiosk	FAIR	1
Epson projector	BROKEN	1
Nortel office phone	BROKEN	1
Nortel office phone	BROKEN	1
Nortel office phone	BROKEN	1
Sred Star paper shreder	BROKEN	1
Sylvania TV	BROKEN	1
Panasonic TV	FAIR	1
Emerson microwave	BROKEN	1
Cisco WAP	OBSOLETE	1
Cisco Switch	BROKEN	1
Cisco Switch	BROKEN	1
Cisco compact Switch	OBSOLETE	1
Cisco Switch	OBSOLETE	1
Cisco Switch	BROKEN	1
UPS battery	OBSOLETE	1
Nortel power supply PSV	OBSOLETE	1
Nortel CS1000	OBSOLETE	1
Nortel compact flash cards	OBSOLETE	1
Nortel compact flash cards	OBSOLETE	1
Nortel Switch	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
Itronex Hand held computers	OBSOLETE	1
HP laser jet printer 1200	BROKEN	1
HP laser jet printer 1200	BROKEN	1
HP laser jet printer K60	BROKEN	1
HP laser jet Printer	BROKEN	1
Brothers intellifax	BROKEN	1
NEC multisync V321 Monitor	BROKEN	1
LG monitor	BROKEN	1
NEC multisync V321 Monitor	BROKEN	1
NEC multisync V321 Monitor	POOR	1

Dell CPU 755	BROKEN	1
Dell CPU 790	BROKEN	1
Dell Laptop	BROKEN	1
Gateway Laptop	BROKEN	1

Exhibit B - Surplus Items

SURPLUS EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION	CONDITION OF ITEM	Qty.
Flagstone tile,mixed sizes	Good, cannot use	1 pallet, 88 pcs
Green Marble Tile,mixed sizes	Good, cannot use	1 pallet, 24 pcs
Red Marble tile,mixed sizes	Good, cannot use	1 pallet, 88 pcs
18x18 Pocelain tile	Good, cannot use	6 pallets, 724 pcs
Large planters	Good, cannot use	2
2002 Ford Think Neighbor electric cart	Poor	1
1992 Ford F350 AirStair truck	VERY OLD	1
2002 Ford F350 w/utiity bed	POOR	1
2004 Lift a Lot APX16 DPL	FAIR	1
Pre Condition unit from gate 35	POOR	1



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
5

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Reject the Claim of Malcom Davidson

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0127, rejecting the claim of Malcom Davidson.

Background/Justification:

On November 2, 2015, Malcom Davidson filed a claim ("Attachment A") with the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Authority") alleging that he sustained damages to his car as a result of temporary fencing falling on it in the parking lot in front of Terminal Two at San Diego International Airport. Davidson claims damages in the amount of \$750 to \$1,000 to repair his vehicle.

On October 8, 2015, Davidson arrived at San Diego International Airport and parked his car in the parking lot in front of Terminal Two. He returned to San Diego on October 12, 2015, and noticed temporary fencing around his vehicle. He claimed he had to maneuver around it to exit. He claims the next day he noticed a scratch on the side of the vehicle closest to some downed fencing in the airport parking lot. He believes it may have been scratched by the fencing.

Davidson's claim should be denied. An investigation into the incident revealed no dangerous or unsafe condition. There was no longer any temporary fencing in the area and the Authority was unable to conclude that any employee was involved or had knowledge of the incident.

Fiscal Impact:

Not Applicable.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §15065.

B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not Applicable.

Prepared by:

SUZIE JOHNSON
GENERAL COUNSEL

ATTACHMENT A

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
ACCIDENT OR DAMAGE CLAIM FORM

Please complete all sections.
Incomplete submittals will be returned, unprocessed.
Use a typewriter or print in ink.

CLAIM FORM SUBMITTED MUST HAVE AN ORIGINAL "WET" SIGNATURE

FOR AUTHORITY CLERK USE ONLY	
Document No.:	04-255
Filed:	11-2-15


SDCRAA
NOV 02 2015
Corporate & Information Governance

1) Claimant Name: Malcom Davidson	
2) Address to which correspondence regarding this claim should be sent: 12189 Sage View Road Poway, CA 92064	
Telephone No.: 858-486-5205	Date: 10/14/15
3) Date and time of incident: Assumed date 10/12/15	
4) Location of incident: Terminal 2 parking lot	
5) Description of incident resulting in claim: I parked car my car in east corner of the western side of Terminal 2 parking lot at approximately 7 pm on Thursday, October 8th. When we returned to the car on Monday evening, October 12th, it was surrounded by temporary fencing, with a section of fence laying on the ground next to the car. I had to back out of an opening in the fence enclosure to leave the lot. On Wednesday morning, walking to my car parked in our garage, I noticed a long scratch (about 30") on the back left quarter panel. This happened to be the same side and area nearest the fencing panel on the ground in the parking lot. I believe the fence was dropped and hit my car, causing the scratch. I didn't notice the scratch due to darkness when getting in the car on Monday evening.	
6) Name(s) of the Authority employee(s) causing the injury, damage or loss, if known:	
7) Persons having firsthand knowledge of incident:	
Witness (es)	Physician(s):
Name: Jan Davidson	Name:
Address:	Address:
Same	
Phone:	Phone:
Same	

ATTACHMENT A

8) Describe property damage or personal injury claimed:
9) Owner and location of damaged property or name/address of person injured:
10) Detailed list and amount of damages claimed as of date of presentation of claim, including prospective damages. If amount exceeds \$10,000.00, a specific amount need not be included.
Verbal repair quote from Poway Valley Collision is between \$750 and \$1,000

Dated: 10/27/15

Claimant: 
(Signature)

Notice to Claimant:

Where space is insufficient, please use additional paper and identify information by proper section number.

Mail completed original form to:

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Tony Russell, Director, Corporate & Information Governance/Authority Clerk
Corporate & Information Governance
P.O. Box 82776
San Diego, CA 92138-2776

ATTACHMENT A



RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0127

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE
SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT
AUTHORITY REJECTING THE CLAIM OF MALCOM
DAVIDSON

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2015, Malcom Davidson filed a claim with the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority for damages he claims were the result of temporary fencing falling on his car in the parking lot in front of Terminal Two at San Diego International Airport on October 12, 2015; and

WHEREAS, at its regular meeting on December 17, 2015, the Board considered the claim filed by Malcom Davidson and the report submitted to the Board, and found that the claim should be rejected.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board rejects the claim of Malcom Davidson; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the Board finds that this action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code § 21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code § 30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at its regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE /
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
7

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

External Auditor's Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015, Reports: A) Audited Financial Statements, B) Compliance (Single Audit) Report, C) Passenger Facility Charge Compliance Report, D) Customer Facility Charge Compliance Report, and E) Report to the Audit Committee

Recommendation:

The Audit Committee recommends that the Board approve the reports.

Background/Justification:

The government auditing standards and generally accepted auditing standards require that an independent external auditor perform an annual audit of the Airport Authority's financial statements.

As per Section 170018 (f) (5) of the *Public Utilities Code*, the Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing the annual audit by the external auditors and any internal audits.

In Fiscal Year 2014, Authority staff conducted a search for a new external auditor. On May 1, 2014, the Authority Board adopted Resolution No. 2014-0039, approving and authorizing the President/CEO to execute an agreement with BKD, LLP, for an amount not to exceed \$950,000 for a three year term with an option for two (2) one year extensions.

On December 7, 2015, a presentation was given to the Audit Committee by two BKD, LLP, partners on the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015, audited financial reports (Attachments A through E), during which BKD and the Finance Department provided an explanation of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) 68 requirements that direct how annual pension expenses and liabilities are to be reported on the financial statements.

The Audit Committee voted unanimously to forward this item to the Board for approval. Subsequently, the Audit Committee voted unanimously to forward the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) item to the Board, with the condition of the correction of the parenthetical references to (Note 9) and (Note 10) on page 29 of the CAFR, titled "Note 1 – Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)". Staff was advised by BKD to remove the references all together. The resulting identical revision was made to Attachment A, page 22, of the External Auditor's Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015, Audited Financial Statements.

Fiscal Impact:

Adequate funding for the audit conducted by BKD, LLP, is included in the adopted Fiscal Year 2016 and the conceptually approved Fiscal Year 2017 Operating Expense Budgets within the Accounting Department Services – Auditing line item.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy
- Customer Strategy
- Employee Strategy
- Financial Strategy
- Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

The Authority has the following inclusionary programs/policies: a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program, an Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) Program, Policy 5.12 and Policy 5.14. These programs/policies are intended to promote the inclusion of small, local, service disabled veteran owned, historically underrepresented businesses and other business enterprises, on all contracts. Only one of the programs/policies named above can be used in any single contracting opportunity.

This contract does not utilize federal funds and provides limited opportunities for sub-contractor participation; therefore; at the option of the Authority, Policy 5.12 was applied to promote the participation of qualified small businesses. Policy 5.12 provides a preference of up to five percent (5%) to small businesses in the award of selected Authority contracts. When bid price is the primary selection criteria, the maximum amount of the preference cannot exceed \$200,000. The preference is only applied in measuring the bid. The final contract award is based on the amount of the original bid.

In accordance to Policy 5.12, the recommended firm, BKD, LLP received 0% small business preference.

Prepared by:

MARK A. BURCHYETT
CHIEF AUDITOR

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Financial Statement

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

June 30, 2015 and 2014

Contents

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)	3-14
Financial Statements	
Statements of Net Position	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	16
Statements of Cash Flows.....	17
Notes to Financial Statements.....	18-51
Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)	52

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) which are comprised of a statement of net position as of June 30, 2015, and statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and of cash flows for the year then ended and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Airport Authority as of June 30, 2015, and the changes in financial position and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2015, the Airport Authority changed its method of accounting for pensions with the adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*, as amended. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and pension and other postemployment benefit information listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 4, 2015, on our consideration of the Airport Authority's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Airport Authority's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

BKD, LLP

Dallas, Texas
November 4, 2015

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For The Period July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

INTRODUCTION

The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) was established on January 1, 2002, as an independent agency. On January 1, 2003, the operations and assets of San Diego International Airport (SDIA) transferred from the San Diego Unified Port District (District) to the Airport Authority.

The Airport Authority is a self-sustaining entity receiving most of its revenues through user fees and rents from airline and non-airline business partners operating at SDIA. Since the Airport Authority is not funded by tax revenues, accounts are maintained in an enterprise fund on the accrual basis of accounting. Under accrual accounting, revenues are recognized as soon as they are earned, and expenses are recognized as soon as a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash inflows and outflows. Users of SDIA's facilities provide most of the revenues to operate, maintain, and acquire necessary services and facilities.

SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

History of Ownership

The public policy decision to transfer responsibility for SDIA from the District to the newly created Airport Authority emanated from recommendations made by the San Diego Regional Efficiency Commission (Commission). The Commission was established to evaluate regional governance in San Diego County and report recommended improvement measures to the California State Legislature.

Because of the significant regional consequences of airport development and operations, the Commission concluded that a regional decision-making process should address the future development of airport facilities in San Diego County. In October 2001, the enabling legislation, Assembly Bill 93 (AB 93) established the composition and jurisdiction of the Airport Authority's governing body in a manner that is designed to reflect the collective interests of the entire San Diego region.

Legislative Background

AB 93 was signed into California State law in October 2001. The Act established the Airport Authority on January 1, 2002, as a local agency of regional government with jurisdiction throughout the County of San Diego. Subsequent legislative changes to AB 93 were introduced and passed in California Senate Bill 1896 (Act). The amendment addresses several points pertaining to the transfer of aviation employees, date of transfer, property leases, property acquisition and purchase of services from the District.

On January 1, 2008, Senate Bill 10 (SB 10), the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Reform Act, was enacted into law expanding the responsibilities of the Airport Authority. The Airport Authority is vested with five principal responsibilities:

- (1) Operation of SDIA;
- (2) Planning and operation of any future airport that could be developed as a supplement or replacement to SDIA;
- (3) Development of comprehensive airport land use plans for the airports in the county;
- (4) Serving as the region's Airport Land Use Commission; and
- (5) In accordance with SB 10, preparing a Regional Aviation Strategic Plan (completed in fiscal year 2011).

In August 2013, Assembly Bill 1058 was signed into law. This bill made minor clarifying and technical changes to the Airport Authority Act.

Airport Activities Highlights (2013 – 2015)

The Airport Authority experienced continued growth in all areas during the current and prior two fiscal years. This followed the trend seen at many commercial airports reflecting the gradual improvements in the economy.

The changes in the SDIA's major activities for the three years are as follows:

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Enplaned passengers	8,737,617	9,082,244	9,713,066
% increase	1.9%	3.9%	6.9%
Total passengers	17,440,968	18,145,130	19,409,683
% increase	1.8%	4.0%	7.0%
Aircraft operations	188,304	187,790	195,268
% increase	0.0%	(0.3%)	4.0%
Freight and mail (in tons)	157,025	164,966	178,615
% increase	18.5%	5.1%	8.3%
Landed weight (in thousands)	11,016	11,187	11,535
% increase	1.8%	1.6%	3.1%

Overall, the improving economy is having a positive effect on aircraft operations at SDIA. There was a significant increase in enplaned passengers in fiscal year 2015 with a 6.9 percent increase in enplanements. Also, total passengers increased by 7.0 percent and freight and mail tons increased 8.3 percent. Overall, it appears the improving economy continues to have a positive effect on aircraft operations at SDIA.

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position (in thousands)

The metric 'Changes in Net Position' is an indicator of whether the Airport Authority's overall financial condition has improved or deteriorated during the fiscal year. Net position increased by 10.0 percent in 2013 and decreased slightly in 2014, followed by 2.2 percent increase in 2015. Following is a summary of the statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position (in thousands):

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Operating revenues	\$ 177,498	\$ 195,737	\$ 210,505
Operating expenses	(168,420)	(214,026)	(217,808)
Nonoperating revenues, net	41,020	14,318	20,255
Capital contributions and grants	16,077	3,924	10,765
Increase (decrease) in net position	66,175	(47)	23,717
Net position, beginning of year	660,889	727,064	727,017
Prior-period adjustment GASB 68	-	-	(7,993)
Net position, end of year	\$ 727,064	\$ 727,017	\$ 742,741

Detailed descriptions of the components of operating revenues and expenses, and nonoperating revenues and expenses are described in the sections that follow. See Note 7 for further explanation of the GASB 68 implementation and prior-period adjustment.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Operating Revenues (in thousands)

	FY 2014	FY 2015	From 2014 to 2015	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Airline revenue:				
Landing fees	\$ 19,107	\$ 21,390	\$ 2,283	11.9%
Aircraft parking fees	2,503	2,716	213	8.5%
Building rentals	46,001	48,153	2,152	4.7%
Security surcharge	25,777	25,180	(597)	(2.3%)
Other aviation revenue	4,488	4,893	405	9.0%
Total airline revenue	97,876	102,332	4,456	4.6%
Non-airline terminal rent	1,158	1,505	347	30.0%
Concession revenue	47,770	52,496	4,726	9.9%
Parking and ground transportation revenue	38,959	41,633	2,674	6.9%
Ground rentals	8,445	11,568	3,123	37.0%
Other operating revenue	1,529	971	(558)	(36.5%)
Total operating revenue	\$ 195,737	\$ 210,505	\$ 14,768	7.5%


	FY 2013	FY 2014	From 2013 to 2014	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Airline revenue:				
Landing fees	\$ 19,658	\$ 19,107	\$ (551)	(2.8%)
Aircraft parking fees	3,191	2,503	(688)	(21.6%)
Building rentals	41,840	46,001	4,161	9.9%
Security surcharge	23,360	25,777	2,417	10.3%
Other aviation revenue	1,591	4,488	2,897	182.1%
Total airline revenue	89,640	97,876	8,236	9.2%
Non-airline terminal rent	972	1,158	186	19.1%
Concession revenue	42,041	47,770	5,729	13.6%
Parking and ground transportation revenue	35,750	38,959	3,209	9.0%
Ground rentals	8,190	8,445	255	3.1%
Other operating revenue	905	1,529	624	69.0%
Total operating revenue	\$ 177,498	\$ 195,737	\$ 18,239	10.3%

Operating Revenues, Continued

Fiscal year 2015 compared to 2014: Total airline revenues increased by \$4.5 million or 4.6 percent, primarily reflecting an increased cost recovery for the airlines which was higher in fiscal year 2015, compared to 2014. Landing fees increased by \$2.3 million or 11.9 percent and aircraft parking fees increased by \$213 thousand or 8.5 percent, both due to increased airfield costs. Building rentals increased by \$2.2 million or 4.7 percent reflecting a recovery of higher service debt, maintenance, and operating costs for the new and existing facility. The increase in other aviation revenue of \$405 thousand reflects an increased volume in fuel delivered by the Fixed Base Operator. Offsetting the airline revenue was a decrease in the security surcharge of \$597 thousand, primarily reflecting a decrease of airline security charges. Concession revenue increased by \$4.7 million or 9.9 percent, mainly due to a full year of the expanded concession development program. Parking and ground transportation revenue increased by \$2.7 million or 6.9 percent, primarily due to a full year of expanded parking capacity after the Green Build completion and increased enplanements. Ground rentals revenue increased \$3.1 million or 37 percent, primarily due to rent from the new Fixed Base Operator facility which opened in the summer of 2014. Non-airline terminal rent increased by \$347 thousand, due to renewed leases with a higher rental rate. Offsetting the non-airline revenue was a decrease in other operating revenue of \$558 thousand, primarily due to lower miscellaneous one-time charges.

Fiscal year 2014 compared to 2013: Total airline revenues increased by \$8.2 million or 9.2 percent, primarily reflecting the cost recovery system for the airlines which was higher in fiscal year 2014, compared to 2013. Building rentals increased due to the implementation of the new airline use and lease agreement and the additional costs incurred by the fiscal year 2014 grand opening of the airport expansion. Security surcharge revenue increased due to additional costs of services and expanded facilities. Combined in other aviation revenue, common use system support charges were implemented in fiscal year 2014. Offsetting the airline revenue were decreased landing fees due to lower maintenance costs and decreased aircraft parking fees due to vacant parking positions. Concession revenue increased by \$5.7 million or 13.6 percent due to the new expanded concession program and the airport expansion. Parking and ground transportation revenue increased \$3.2 million and 9.0 percent due to the reopening of Terminal 2 parking lot in front of the new expanded terminal and the increased enplanements.

**San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015
Operating Revenues**



Operating Expenses (in thousands)

	FY 2014	FY 2015	From 2014 to 2015	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Salaries and benefits	\$ 39,135	\$ 39,212	\$ 77	0.2%
Contractual services	31,559	32,422	863	2.7%
Safety and security	24,151	23,466	(685)	(2.8%)
Space rental	10,478	10,433	(45)	(0.4%)
Utilities	8,680	10,152	1,472	17.0%
Maintenance	13,982	14,516	534	3.8%
Equipment and systems	643	1,805	1,162	180.7%
Materials and supplies	440	519	79	18.0%
Insurance	988	1,145	157	15.9%
Employee development and support	1,171	1,136	(35)	(3.0%)
Business development	2,661	2,493	(168)	(6.3%)
Equipment rentals and repairs	2,932	2,951	19	0.6%
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	136,820	140,250	3,430	2.5%
Depreciation and amortization	77,205	77,559	354	0.5%
Total operating expense	\$ 214,025	\$ 217,809	3,784	1.8%

	FY 2013	FY 2014	From 2013 to 2014	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Salaries and benefits	\$ 38,092	\$ 39,135	\$ 1,043	2.7%
Contractual services	29,284	31,559	2,275	7.8%
Safety and security	23,994	24,151	157	0.7%
Space rental	10,897	10,478	(419)	(3.8%)
Utilities	6,659	8,680	2,021	30.3%
Maintenance	11,204	13,982	2,778	24.8%
Equipment and systems	469	643	174	37.1%
Materials and supplies	406	440	34	8.4%
Insurance	795	988	193	24.3%
Employee development and support	1,235	1,171	(64)	(5.2%)
Business development	2,444	2,661	217	8.9%
Equipment rentals and repairs	1,317	2,932	1,615	122.6%
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	126,796	136,820	10,024	7.9%
Depreciation and amortization	41,624	77,205	35,581	85.5%
Total operating expense	\$ 168,420	\$ 214,025	\$ 45,605	27.1%


Fiscal year 2015 compared to 2014: Total fiscal year 2015 operating expenses increased by \$3.8 million or 1.8 percent. Salaries and benefits had a slight increase of \$77 thousand or 0.2 percent, mostly due to planned wage and benefit increases. Contractual services increased by \$863 thousand or 2.7 percent, resulting from increased cleaning costs of the new terminal facilities and increased aviation planning costs. Utilities increased by \$1.5 million or 17.0 percent, reflecting a full year of the Green Build expansion. Maintenance increased by \$534 thousand or 3.8 percent, reflecting increased operating and maintenance costs of new facilities. Equipment and systems increased by \$1.2 million or 180.7 percent, reflecting increased purchases of non-capital equipment. Materials and supplies increased by \$79 thousand or 18.0 percent, reflecting additional safety equipment and operating supplies. Insurance increased by \$157 thousand or 15.9 percent, largely due to higher property insurance premiums and equipment rentals and repairs had a slight increase.

Offsetting this increase in operating expenses were the following decreases: safety and security of \$685 thousand, due to lower City of San Diego Aircraft Rescue Fire Fighting (AARF) costs; space rental of \$45 thousand due to a lease cancellation; a minor reduction in employee development and support: business development of \$168 thousand or 6.3 percent, reflecting a reduction of international and domestic air services marketing costs.

Fiscal year 2014 compared to 2013: Total fiscal year 2014 operating expenses increased by \$45.6 million, or 27.1 percent. The primary increase was due to the full year's depreciation of the terminal expansion that was partially placed in service in fiscal year 2013 and continued to increase as the expansion was completed in fiscal year 2014, \$35.6 million or 85.5 percent. Additionally contributing to the increase were the increased salaries and benefits of \$1.0 million, primarily resulting from increased head count due to expansion, salary increases and higher costs for medical benefits. There were also increased contractual services of \$2.2 million, primarily resulting from increased shuttles and parking contract costs; safety and security increased \$157 thousand due to greater usage from the terminal expansion; utilities increased \$2.0 million due to increased rates and usage; maintenance increased by \$2.8 million, reflecting costs of airfield repairs, elevator and escalator repairs and runway restriping. Additional support was provided to a new common use passenger processing system, contributing to the \$174 thousand increase. Insurance increased by \$193 thousand, business development increased \$217 thousand and equipment rental and repairs increased \$1.6 million due to amortization of new system warranties on baggage handling systems and common use passenger systems.

Offsetting this increase were the following decreases: space rental of \$419 thousand reflecting the cancellation of an employee parking lease, and other minor reductions in employee development and support.

**San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015
Operating Expenses**



Nonoperating Revenues and Expenses (in thousands)

	FY 2014	FY 2015	From 2014 to 2015	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Passenger facility charges	\$ 35,770	\$ 38,517	\$ 2,747	7.7%
Customer facility charges	27,545	32,465	4,920	17.9%
Quieter Home Program, net	(2,750)	(2,811)	(61)	2.2%
Joint studies program	(152)	(145)	7	(4.6%)
Interest income	5,211	5,747	536	10.3%
Interest expense, net	(51,740)	(54,885)	(3,145)	(6.1%)
Other nonoperating income (expenses)	434	1,367	933	(215.0%)
Nonoperating revenues, net	\$ 14,318	\$ 20,255	\$ 5,937	41.5%

	FY 2013	FY 2014	From 2013 to 2014	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Passenger facility charges	\$ 35,438	\$ 35,770	\$ 332	0.9%
Customer facility charges	19,117	27,545	8,428	44.1%
Quieter Home Program, net	(1,589)	(2,750)	(1,161)	(73.1%)
Joint studies program	(55)	(152)	(97)	(176.4%)
Interest income	4,140	5,211	1,071	25.9%
Interest expense, net	(11,752)	(51,740)	(39,988)	(340.3%)
Other nonoperating income (expenses)	(4,279)	434	4,713	110.1%
Nonoperating revenues, net	\$ 41,020	\$ 14,318	\$ (26,702)	(65.1%)

Passenger Facility Charges (PFCs) were established by Congress in 1990 as part of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990. The Airport Authority collects a \$4.50 PFC from revenue enplaned passengers to pay for the cost to design and construct eligible Airport capital projects or to repay debt service issued to build such projects. PFCs are collected by the air carriers when passengers purchase their tickets and are remitted to the Airport Authority the month following collection less a \$0.11 administration fee.

Customer Facility Charges (CFCs) are authorized under Section 1936 of the California Civil Code and approved by legislation under Senate Bill 1510. The Airport Authority began collecting a \$10 per contract CFC on rental cars in May 2009. The revenues collected are being used to plan and construct a consolidated rental car facility and related ground transportation system. The rental car agencies remit to the Airport Authority collection of the fee monthly. In November 2012, the rate of \$10 per contract was changed to \$6.00 per day up to five days. The fee was again increased in January 2014, to \$7.50 per day up to five days. The fee is scheduled to increase to \$9.00 per day in January 2017.

Quieter Home Program includes sound attenuation construction improvements at all eligible single-family and multifamily dwellings with six or fewer units located in the Year 2000 65 dB Community Noise Equivalent Level contour. The project is eligible for the Airport Improvement Program (AIP). From inception to fiscal year 2015, the Airport Authority has spent \$177.8 million and received reimbursement for \$144.8 million.

Interest income is derived from interest earned by the Airport Authority on investments, commercial paper reserves, bond reserves and notes receivable from the District.

Interest expense includes interest paid and accrued on the 2010, 2013 and 2014 Series Bonds, the Revolving Line of Credit Series A, B and C, and Commercial Paper Series A, B and C. This is netted with the capitalization of bond interest to the construction in progress assets that the debt finances. The capitalized interest in fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$9.0 million and \$7.0 million, respectively. Also included in interest expense is the Series C Bonds that were issued as Build America Bonds and include a cash subsidy from the U.S. Treasury equal to 31.96 percent of the interest payable. During fiscal year 2013 the 35 percent subsidy ended due to the federal government's sequestration measures and was replaced with a reduced rate of 31.96 percent. The interest subsidy for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$4.6 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

Other nonoperating income (expense) includes proceeds and expenses for legal settlements, gain (loss) on the sale of assets, unrealized gain (loss) on investments, and other miscellaneous revenue and expenses.

Fiscal year 2015 compared to 2014: Nonoperating revenues (net) increased by \$5.9 million or 41.5 percent. Passenger facility charges increased by \$2.7 million or 7.7 percent, due to a 6.9 percent increase in enplaned passengers. Customer facility charges increased by \$4.9 million or 17.9 percent, due to a full year at the higher CFC collection rate. Interest income increased by \$536 thousand or 10.3 percent, primarily due to higher investment interest income. Other nonoperating income increased by \$933 thousand or 215.0 percent, primarily due to unrealized gains on sale of investments. There was also a slight decrease in Joint Studies Program program expense as well.

Offsetting the nonoperating income was a slightly higher net expense in the Quieter Home Program, reflecting increased program activity. An increase in net interest expense of \$3.1 million or 6.1 percent was primarily due to a full year of interest expense paid on the 2014 Series bond.

Fiscal year 2014 compared to 2013: Nonoperating revenues (net) decreased by \$26.7 million or 65.1 percent. This is primarily due to the \$40 million increased interest expense that no longer was capitalized in fiscal year 2014 due to the completion of the Green Build assets and the additional 2014 Series bond debt. Additionally, there was a net \$1.1 million in reduced Quieter Home Program.

Offsetting the decrease was the \$8.4 million in customer facility charges due to the January 1, 2014 increase from \$6.00 to \$7.50 per day per transaction up to five days. Other nonoperating income increased by \$4.7 million due to fiscal year 2013 unrealized investment losses of \$2.3 million and loss on disposal of assets, \$2.3 million, due to the Green Build expansion and replacement of assets.

Capital Grant Contributions are comprised of AIP entitlement and discretionary grants through the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and other Federal and state organizations. These funds are recognized as revenue as the work is completed on the eligible projects. Variances relate to the amount of work completed on eligible projects during the fiscal year.

Assets, Liabilities and Net Position (in thousands)

The statements of net position present the financial position of the Airport Authority as of a period in time. The statements include all assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows and net position of the Airport Authority. A summary comparison of the Airport Authority's assets, liabilities and net position at June 30, 2013, 2014 and 2015 is as follows:

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Assets			
Current assets	\$ 224,303	\$ 214,853	\$ 204,491
Capital assets, net	1,178,144	1,310,973	1,486,710
Noncurrent assets	528,336	695,698	540,472
Total assets	1,930,783	2,221,524	2,231,673
Deferred outflows of resources	4,397	758	5,853
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 1,935,180	\$ 2,222,282	\$ 2,237,526
Liabilities			
Current liabilities	\$ 121,384	\$ 119,088	\$ 131,457
Long-term liabilities	1,086,732	1,376,177	1,355,160
Total liabilities	1,208,116	1,495,265	1,486,617
Deferred inflows of resources	-	-	8,168
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	\$ 1,208,116	\$ 1,495,265	\$ 1,494,785
Net Position			
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 359,640	\$ 312,780	\$ 316,251
Restricted	167,384	204,643	215,968
Unrestricted	200,040	209,594	210,522
Total net position	727,064	727,017	742,741
Total liabilities and net position	\$ 1,935,180	\$ 2,222,282	\$ 2,237,526


As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority's assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities by \$742.7 million. This reflects a \$23.9 million increase in net position from June 30, 2014 and a prior period adjustment of \$8.0 million due to GASB 68. The largest portion of the Airport Authority's net position represents its net investment in capital assets. The Airport Authority uses these capital assets to provide services to its passengers and other users of SDIA; consequently, these assets cannot be sold or otherwise liquidated. Although the Airport Authority's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it is noted that the funds required to repay this debt must be provided annually from operations. The unrestricted net position of \$210.5 million as of June 30, 2015, \$209.6 million in 2014, and \$200.0 million as of 2013 may be used to meet any of the Airport Authority's ongoing obligations. As of June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, management has designated unrestricted funds in the amount of \$22.6 million, \$17.1 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, for capital contract commitments funded by Airport Authority cash, earthquake insurance and operating contingency.

Capital Asset and Capital Improvement Program

The capital program at SDIA consists of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and the Green Build. The CIP is a rolling five-year program that provides critical improvements and asset preservation. The program includes capital improvement projects that address federal security requirements, airfield security, environmental remediation, terminal upgrades and development. Funding sources for the projects include the Federal Aviation Administration’s Airport Improvement Program, Transportation Security Agency grants, Passenger Facility Charges, Customer Facility Charges, airport operating revenues, airport revenue bonds, special facility bonds and short-term borrowing using commercial paper/revolving line of credit.

In February 2014, \$305 million of Senior Special Facilities Revenue Bonds were issued to be used for the Rental Car Center scheduled for completion January 2016. The current CIP, which includes projects through 2020, consists of \$117.4 million for airside projects, \$552.0 million for landside projects, \$124.0 million for terminal projects, and \$21.9 million for administrative projects. The current SDIA CIP does not include noise reduction and related projects.

Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Projects by Type



Additional information of the Airport Authority’s capital assets can be found in Note 4 to the financial statements.

Capital Financing and Debt Management

On October 5, 2010, the Airport Authority issued \$572.6 million of Subordinate Airport Revenue Bonds Series 2010 A, B and C (Series 2010 Bonds). The bonds are rated A/A2/A by Standard & Poor’s, Moody’s Investors Service and Fitch Ratings, respectively. The Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds through and including January 1, 2013, refund \$142.2 million of the Airport Authority’s outstanding commercial paper notes, fund the subordinate bond reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds.

The Series 2010 A and B bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2012 to 2041. The Series 2010 C Bonds were issued as Build America Bonds and include a cash subsidy payment from the U. S. Treasury; currently, 32.45 percent of interest payable. The interest rate on the Series 2010 C Bonds, net of subsidy, is 4.48 percent and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2041.

The Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable from and secured by (a) a pledge of subordinate net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system and all amounts necessary to pay debt service on and fund the reserves for the senior bonds; and (b) certain funds and accounts held by the subordinate trustee under the subordinate indenture. The Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued with a pledge of and lien on subordinate net revenues on parity with the Airport Authority's subordinate commercial paper notes. In addition, the Airport Authority has irrevocably committed a portion of the PFCs; it has received and expects to receive through 2016. The amounts of irrevocably committed PFCs are \$19.2 million annually for fiscal years 2014 through 2016. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds was \$564.1 million.

On January 30 2013, the Airport Authority issued \$379.6 million of Senior Airport Revenue Bonds Series 2013 A and B (Series 2013 Bonds). The Series 2013 Bonds are rated A+/A1/A+ by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings, respectively. The Senior Series 2013 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the senior Series 2013 Bonds through and including July 1, 2015, fund the senior reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Senior Series 2013 Bonds.

The Series 2013 Bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 3.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2016 to 2044. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$55.9 million, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the Senior Series 2013 Bonds is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Interest expense for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 amounted to \$18.5 million, including accrued interest of \$9.2 million. The principal balance on the Series 2013 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$379.6 million.

The Senior Series 2013 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by (a) a pledge of net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system (b) certain funds and accounts held by the senior trustee under the senior indenture.

As senior lien bonds, the Series 2013 Bonds require that charges for services be set each fiscal year at rates sufficient to produce pledged revenues at least 125 percent times the senior debt service for that year. In addition, the Series 2013 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a reserve account with the bond trustee and to reserve certain amounts in the Airport Authority's books, as shown in Note 2.

On February 1, 2014, the Airport Authority issued \$305,285,000 of Series A and B Senior Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2014 Bonds). The Series 2014 Bonds were issued to finance a portion of the costs of the development and construction of a consolidated rental car facility and related improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Series 2014 Bonds through January 1, 2016, fund deposits to the senior reserve fund, the rolling coverage fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2014 Bonds.

The Series 2014 A Bonds were structured as tax-exempt non-AMT term bonds that bear interest at 5.00 percent. The Series 2014 B Bonds were structured as federally taxable bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.54 percent to 5.59 percent.

The Series 2014 Bonds are special limited obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Trust Estate, which includes, among other things, Customer Facility Charges collected from the rental car companies operating at the Airport and remitted to the Trustee. No revenues of the Airport Authority other than the Customer Facility Charges and the Bond Funding Supplemental Consideration (as defined in the Indenture), are pledged to the payment of the Series 2014 Bonds.

On September 5, 2014, the Airport Authority replaced the commercial paper program with a \$125,000,000 revolving line of credit, issued by US Bank, which was used to refund the outstanding Series B and Series C commercial paper balances. The revolving line of credit is a three year facility. As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority's outstanding debt under this agreement consists of \$17.9 million of Series B (AMT) and \$20.8 million Series C (taxable).

The revolving line of credit is payable solely from and secured by a pledge of subordinate net revenues. Subordinate net revenues are generally defined as all revenues and other cash receipts of the Airport Authority's airport operations remaining after Senior Lien payments have been deposited by the Trustee in accordance with the Senior Lien Trust Indenture.

Additional information of the Airport Authority's long-term debt can be found in Note 5 to the financial statements.

The SDIA's PFC program was established in 1994, and currently authorizes the imposition of a \$4.50 fee on enplaning passengers. There are currently three active applications which provide authority to impose and use PFC revenue through November 1, 2037.

FAA entitlement and discretionary grants are awarded on a federal fiscal year running October 1 through September 30. The Airport Authority has received approximately \$17.9 million in grant awards for the federal fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, as compared to \$18.0 million for 2014. Grant awards are recognized as nonoperating revenue or capital contributions as eligible expenses are incurred.

REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Airport Authority's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or request for additional information should be addressed in writing to the Accounting Department, P.O. Box 82776, San Diego, CA 92138. The Accounting Department can also be reached at (619) 400-2807. A copy of the financial report is available at www.san.org.

Basic Financial Statements:

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Statements of Net Position June 30, 2015 and 2014

Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources	2015	2014
Current Assets		
Unrestricted:		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 7,873,038	\$ 26,510,543
Investments (Note 2)	76,065,212	70,752,557
Tenant lease receivables, net	9,162,322	9,112,683
Grants receivable	10,909,798	5,937,346
Note receivable, current portion (Note 3)	1,608,986	1,528,512
Other current assets	3,954,115	4,265,960
Total unrestricted current assets	109,573,471	118,107,601
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments with trustees (Notes 2 and 5)	94,917,215	96,745,172
Total current assets	204,490,686	214,852,773
Noncurrent Assets		
Restricted assets (Notes 2 and 5):		
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments not with trustees	166,050,576	161,369,744
Restricted investments with trustees	219,382,771	406,579,651
Passenger facility charges receivable (Note 1)	6,303,994	4,066,248
Customer facility charges receivable (Note 1)	3,851,910	3,394,812
Other restricted assets	4,273,584	4,908,711
Total restricted assets	399,862,835	580,319,166
Other noncurrent assets:		
Investments, noncurrent (Note 2)	77,419,978	52,455,753
Note receivable, long-term portion (Note 3)	36,749,270	38,358,256
Cash and cash equivalents designated for specific capital projects and other commitments (Notes 2 and 12)	22,588,383	17,144,996
Net pension asset (Notes 6)*	-	6,919,775
Net pension asset (Notes 7)**	3,351,341	-
Workers' compensation security deposits	500,367	500,367
Total other noncurrent assets	140,609,339	115,379,147
Capital assets (Note 4):		
Land, land improvements and nondepreciable assets	72,563,518	71,081,846
Buildings and structures	1,115,452,539	1,026,068,015
Machinery and equipment	53,700,294	51,618,837
Runways, roads and parking lots	590,459,084	568,935,877
Construction in progress	387,054,944	250,103,154
	2,219,230,379	1,967,807,729
Less accumulated depreciation	(732,520,841)	(656,835,195)
Capital assets, net	1,486,709,538	1,310,972,534
Total noncurrent assets	2,027,181,712	2,006,670,847
Total assets	2,231,672,398	2,221,523,620
Deferred outflows of resources		
Deferred loss on debt refunding	-	758,047
Deferred pension contributions	5,852,753	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 2,237,525,151	\$ 2,222,281,667

*As calculated under GASB Statement No. 27

**As calculated under GASB Statement No. 68

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Net Position	2015	2014
Current Liabilities		
Payable from unrestricted assets:		
Accounts payable	\$ 9,483,545	\$ 12,690,539
Accrued liabilities	23,747,096	5,365,100
Compensated absences, current portion (Note 5)	2,652,960	2,659,580
Other current liabilities	401,644	1,447,098
Long-term debt, current portion (Note 5)	254,259	180,559
Total payable from unrestricted assets	36,539,504	22,342,876
Payable from restricted assets:		
Accounts payable	19,342,618	18,451,369
Accrued liabilities	31,729,043	41,420,014
Long-term debt, current portion (Note 5)	10,695,000	5,785,000
Accrued interest on bonds and commercial paper (Note 5)	33,150,554	31,088,789
Total payable from restricted assets	94,917,215	96,745,172
Total current liabilities	131,456,719	119,088,048
Long-Term Liabilities		
Compensated absences, net of current portion (Note 5)	523,551	435,105
Other noncurrent liabilities	1,124,560	1,115,109
Long-term debt, net of current portion (Note 5)	1,353,512,025	1,374,626,959
Total long-term liabilities	1,355,160,136	1,376,177,173
Total liabilities	1,486,616,855	1,495,265,221
Deferred inflows of resources		
Deferred pension investment gains	8,167,978	-
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	\$ 1,494,784,833	\$ 1,495,265,221
Net Position		
Net investment in capital assets (Note 1)	316,249,853	312,780,398
Restricted:		
Debt Service	79,488,690	73,153,425
Construction	115,338,776	110,194,470
Operation and maintenance expenses	12,866,926	12,385,784
Small business bond guarantee	4,000,000	4,000,000
OCIP loss reserve	4,273,585	4,908,711
Total restricted net position	215,967,977	204,642,390
Unrestricted net position	210,522,488	209,593,658
Total net position	\$ 742,740,318	\$ 727,016,446

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
Operating revenues:		
Airline revenue:		
Landing fees	\$ 21,390,056	\$ 19,107,258
Aircraft parking fees	2,715,854	2,503,180
Building rentals (Note 11)	48,152,670	46,001,154
Security surcharge	25,179,679	25,776,517
Other aviation revenue	4,892,840	4,488,115
Concession revenue	52,496,390	47,769,865
Parking and ground transportation revenue	41,632,530	38,959,020
Ground and non-airline terminal rentals (Note 11)	13,074,453	9,602,842
Other operating revenue	970,775	1,528,886
Total operating revenues	210,505,247	195,736,837
Operating expenses:		
Salaries and benefits (Notes 6, 7, 8 and 9)	39,211,536	39,135,299
Contractual services (Note 13)	32,422,054	31,559,247
Safety and security	23,464,483	24,150,563
Space rental (Note 12)	10,433,251	10,478,262
Utilities	10,151,922	8,680,410
Maintenance	14,515,949	13,981,689
Equipment and systems	1,805,203	643,225
Materials and supplies	519,220	440,007
Insurance	1,145,376	988,382
Employee development and support	1,136,077	1,170,551
Business development	2,493,402	2,661,224
Equipment rentals and repairs	2,951,408	2,931,796
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	140,249,881	136,820,655
Income from operations before depreciation and amortization	70,255,366	58,916,182
Depreciation and amortization	77,558,961	77,205,256
Operating loss	(7,303,595)	(18,289,074)

(Continued)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

	2015	2014
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):		
Passenger facility charges	\$ 38,517,355	\$ 35,769,515
Customer facility charges	32,464,843	27,545,001
Quieter Home Program grant revenue (Note 1)	12,073,809	12,373,861
Quieter Home Program expenses (Note 1)	(14,885,182)	(15,124,141)
Joint Studies Program	(144,780)	(151,855)
Interest income	5,747,325	5,210,853
Interest expense (Note 5)	(59,516,437)	(56,375,726)
Build America Bonds subsidy (Note 5)	4,631,219	4,636,215
Other revenues (expenses), net	1,367,095	434,097
Nonoperating revenue, net	20,255,247	14,317,820
Income (loss) before capital contributions and grants	12,951,652	(3,971,254)
Capital contributions and grants (Note 1)	10,764,946	3,924,332
Change in net position	23,716,598	(46,922)
Net position, as previously reported*	727,016,446	727,063,368
Prior-period adjustment	(7,992,726)	-
Net position, beginning of year	719,023,720	-
Net position, end of year	\$ 742,740,318	\$ 727,016,446

*Net position as of July 1, 2014 was restated for the effects of GASB Statement No. 68; refer to Note 1.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Statements of Cash Flows June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Receipts from customers	\$ 212,946,236	\$ 193,452,612
Payments to suppliers	(92,486,794)	(90,999,011)
Payments to employees	(38,904,804)	(40,315,057)
Other receipts (payments)	3,696,188	(1,821,619)
Net cash provided by operating activities	85,250,826	60,316,925
Cash Flows From Noncapital Financing Activities		
Settlement receipts	16,075	434,097
Quieter Home Program grant receipts	10,318,509	10,265,087
Quieter Home Program payments	(14,885,182)	(15,124,141)
Joint Studies Program payments	(144,780)	(151,855)
Net cash used in noncapital financing activities	(4,695,378)	(4,576,812)
Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities		
Capital outlay	(262,033,260)	(224,557,658)
Proceeds on Build America Bonds subsidy	4,631,219	4,636,215
Proceeds from sale of capital assets	11,273	11,273
Federal grants received (excluding Quieter Home Program)	7,547,794	3,924,332
Proceeds from passenger facility charges	36,279,609	37,248,983
Proceeds from customer facility charges	32,007,745	26,451,216
Payment of principal on bonds	(11,964,000)	(7,085,000)
Proceeds from issuance of Series 2014 Bonds	-	305,879,266
Payment of capital lease	(188,356)	(341,661)
Interest and debt fees paid	(61,384,552)	(49,674,023)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities	(255,092,528)	96,492,943
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Sales and maturities of investments	317,888,179	209,856,636
Purchases of investments	(163,821,054)	(398,284,418)
Interest received on investments and note receivable	5,747,325	5,210,853
Principal payments received on notes receivable	1,528,512	1,446,896
Net cash provided by (used) in investing activities	161,342,962	(181,770,033)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(13,194,118)	(29,536,977)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	43,655,539	73,192,516
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 30,461,421	\$ 43,655,539

(Continued)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

	2015	2014
Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents to the Statements of Net Position		
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,873,038	\$ 26,510,543
Cash and cash equivalents designated for specific capital projects and other commitments	22,588,383	17,144,996
	\$ 30,461,421	\$ 43,655,539
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		
Operating loss	\$ (7,303,595)	\$ (18,289,074)
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	77,558,961	77,321,384
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Tenant lease receivables	(49,639)	(1,075,018)
Net pension asset	-	(271,633)
Other assets	824,274	2,641,527
Accounts payable	(3,206,994)	2,860,131
Accrued liabilities	18,381,996	(3,183,207)
Compensated absences	81,826	4,929
Other liabilities	(1,036,003)	307,886
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 85,250,826	\$ 60,316,925
Supplemental Disclosure of Noncash Investing, Capital and Financing Activities		
Additions to capital assets included in accounts payable	\$ 51,071,661	\$ 74,236,334
Additions to capital lease obligations	\$ 349,422	\$ -

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reporting entity: The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (the Airport Authority), an autonomous public agency, was established in accordance with, Assembly Bill 93 (2001), as modified by Senate Bill 1896 (2002), which together comprise the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Act (the Act). The Act required, among other things, the transfer of the assets and operations of the San Diego International Airport (SDIA) from the San Diego Unified Port District (the District) to the Airport Authority. Effective January 1, 2003 (inception), the District transferred all airport operations and certain related assets and liabilities to the Airport Authority, pursuant to the Act and the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated as of December 31, 2002, between the Airport Authority and the District, which implemented the Act.

Senate Bill 10 (SB 10), the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Reform Act, was effective January 1, 2008. Responsibilities of the Airport Authority include, among other things, the operation, maintenance, development, management and regulation of SDIA and its facilities. In addition, the Airport Authority has the responsibility to plan or to expand the existing SDIA. Under one of the requirements of SB 10, the Airport Authority completed a Regional Aviation Strategic Plan and the Airport Authority prepared and adopted an Airport Multimodal Accessibility Plan. In addition, the Airport Authority acts as the Airport Land Use Commission within San Diego County.

In accordance with the Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, the basic financial statements should include all organizations, agencies, boards, commissions and authorities for which the Airport Authority is financially accountable. The Airport Authority has also considered all other potential organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationships with the Airport Authority are such that exclusion would cause the Airport Authority's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. Based on these criteria, there are no other organizations or agencies which should be included in these basic financial statements.

The Airport Authority is governed by a nine-member, appointed Board of Directors (Board), representing all areas of San Diego County and three additional members serving as non-voting, ex-officio Board members. Three Board members are appointed by the Mayor of the City of San Diego (the City). Two Board members are appointed by the San Diego County Board of Supervisors. The remaining four Board members are each appointed by the Mayors of the following defined jurisdictions: the east county cities, south county cities, north coastal area cities and north county inland cities. The Board members serve three year terms in accordance with California SB 10.

Measurement focus and basis of accounting: The accounting policies of the Airport Authority conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to state and local government agencies, and as such, the Airport Authority is accounted for as a proprietary fund. The basic financial statements presented are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred. This measurement focus emphasizes the determination of the change in Airport Authority net position.

Use of estimates: The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Cash and cash equivalents: For purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents includes unrestricted (including designated) cash on hand, demand deposits and investment securities with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

Investments: Investments in the state and county investment pools are recorded at fair value based upon the Airport Authority's pro rata share of the fair value provided by the state and county investment pools for the entire respective pool. All other investments are stated at fair market value based on quoted market prices.

Tenant lease receivables: Tenant lease receivables are carried at the original invoice amount for fixed-rent tenants and at estimated invoice amount for concession (variable) tenants, less an estimate made for doubtful receivables for both fixed-rent and concession tenants. Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by evaluating individual tenant receivables and considering a tenant's financial condition and credit history and current economic conditions. Tenant lease receivables are written off when deemed uncollectible. Recoveries of tenant lease receivables previously written off are recorded when received.

Federal grants: Outlays for airport capital improvements and certain airport nonoperating expenses, primarily those relating to the Airport Authority's Quieter Home Program, are subject to reimbursement from federal grant programs. Funding provided from government grants is considered earned as the related approved capital outlays or expenses are incurred. Costs claimed for reimbursement are subject to audit and acceptance by the granting agency.

Airport Improvement Program (AIP): AIP grants are authorized and disbursed by the FAA under the Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended, which provides funding for airport planning and development projects at airports included in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems. As such, the AIP grants must be used to pay for the allowable costs of approved projects. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority recovered \$10,764,946 and \$3,924,332, respectively, for approved capital projects and \$12,073,809 and \$12,373,861, respectively, for the Quieter Home Program. Related recoverable costs as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$12,926,028 and \$4,633,107, respectively, for capital projects and \$14,885,179 and \$15,124,141, respectively, for the Quieter Home Program.

Passenger facility charges (PFC): The PFC program is authorized by the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (the Expansion Act). In accordance with the Expansion Act, the Airport Authority's AIP Passenger Entitlement Apportionment is reduced by certain percentages, dependent upon the level of PFC received by the Airport Authority.

In accordance with the program, PFC revenue must be used to pay allowable costs for approved capital projects. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, accrued PFC receivables totaled \$6,303,994 and \$4,066,248, respectively, and there were \$63,361,948 and \$60,769,935 PFC amounts collected but not yet applied for approved capital projects as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

On May 20, 2003, the FAA approved an increase in the Airport Authority's PFC charge per enplaned passenger from \$3.00 to \$4.50, beginning August 1, 2003. Currently, there are three active applications that allow the Airport Authority to impose and use \$1.2 billion in PFC revenue through November 1, 2037. The Airport Authority has formally closed five previously approved applications and withdrawn one pending application which has been integrated in a ninth application to impose and use approximately \$32 million in PFC revenue. The latest application was approved by the FAA in July 2012. In accordance with the Aviation Investment Reform Act (AIR-21), airports imposing a \$4.50 collection level are required to reduce AIP Passenger Entitlement Apportionment to 75 percent.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Customer facility charges (CFC): The Airport Authority received approval in May 2009 from the State of California under Section 1936 of the California Civil Code to impose a \$10.00 CFC per contract on rental cars at SDIA.

In accordance with the program, the CFC revenue must be used to pay allowable costs for approved capital projects. Effective January 1, 2014, the CFC rate went from \$6.00 to \$7.50 per day for a maximum of five days. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, accrued CFC receivables totaled \$3,851,910 and \$3,394,812, respectively. CFC amounts collected, including interest, but not yet applied for approved capital projects as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$41,820,925 and \$41,652,322, respectively.

Deferred inflows of resources: The Airport Authority defers recognition of losses incurred on net differences on projected and actual earnings associated with its pension investments held with its pension plan and reports such losses as deferred inflows of resources in the statements of net position. Deferred gains on these investments are recognized over a five year period.

Deferred outflows of resources: The Airport Authority defers recognition of losses incurred on debt refundings and reports such losses as deferred outflows of resources in the statements of net position. Deferred losses on debt refundings are amortized using the effective interest method over the lesser of the remaining life of the original bonds or the life of the new bonds. The Airport Authority also defers recognition of contributions to its pension plan made between the measurement date of the pension liability and the Airport Authority's fiscal year-end. Deferred pension contributions are reported as deferred outflows in the statements of net position.

Capital assets: Capital assets are recorded at cost, except for property contributed by third parties, which is recorded at fair market value at the date of contribution, less an allowance for accumulated depreciation. The Airport Authority capitalizes incremental overhead costs and interest cost associated with the construction of capital assets. Capital assets are defined by the Airport Authority as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an initial useful life of one year or greater.

The Airport Authority recognizes lessee-financed improvements as capital assets based upon the asset's estimated value at the time the asset reverts to the Airport Authority.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Depreciation is computed by use of the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Asset Category	Useful Life (Years)
Land improvements	30-40
Runways, roadways and parking lots	
Lighting, security and minor improvements	3-10
Airfield and parking lots and improvements	12-25
Drainage systems, gas lines, pedestrian bridges	30
Roadways, bridges and infrastructure	40-50
Buildings and structures	
Passenger loading bridges, security systems, general upgrades and remodels	3-10
Baggage handling systems, HVAC, structural improvements, fuel and storage facility	12-20
Buildings and smart curb improvements	25-50
Machinery and equipment	
Vehicles and emergency vehicles	3-15
Office furniture and equipment	3-10
Communication and electronic systems	3-20
Works of art	15-30

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend the life of the asset are expensed as incurred. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as construction in progress as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority capitalized interest of \$8,964,526 and \$6,962,979, respectively.

Capital asset impairment: The Airport Authority's capital assets include property, equipment and infrastructure assets. A capital asset is considered impaired if both the decline in service utility of the capital asset is large in magnitude and the event or change in circumstances is outside the normal life cycle of the capital asset. The Airport Authority evaluates prominent events or changes in circumstances affecting capital assets to determine whether impairment of a capital asset has occurred. Common indicators of impairment include evidence of physical damage where restoration efforts are needed to restore service utility, enactment or approval of laws or regulations setting standards that the capital asset would not be able to meet, technological development or evidence of obsolescence, a change in the manner or expected duration of use of a capital asset or construction stoppage. The Airport Authority reports the effects of capital asset impairments in its financial statements when they occur and accounts for insurance recoveries in the same manner. The Airport Authority's management has determined that no impairments of capital assets currently exist.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Retentions payable: The Airport Authority enters into construction contracts that may include retention provisions such that a certain percentage of the contract amount is held for payment until completion of the contract and acceptance by the Airport Authority. The Airport Authority's policy is to record the retention payable only after completion of the work and acceptance of the contractor invoices have occurred. Retentions payable on completed contracts are included with accounts payable on the accompanying statements of net position. Amounts related to unpaid retentions on uncompleted contracts are included in accrued liabilities.

Compensated absences: All employees of the Airport Authority earn annual leave that is paid upon termination or retirement. Annual leave is accrued at current rates of compensation and based on assumptions concerning the probability that certain employees will become eligible to receive these benefits in the future.

Bond discounts, premiums and issuance costs: Bond discounts and premiums are deferred and amortized over the term of the respective bonds using the effective interest method. Bond issuance costs are expensed as incurred.

Airport Authority net position: Net investment in capital assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net investment in capital assets excludes unspent debt proceeds.

Restricted net position represents amounts that are appropriated or legally segregated for a specific purpose. The Airport Authority's net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on its use, either through the enabling legislation adopted by the Airport Authority or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.

Unrestricted net position as of June 30, 2015, includes designations of net position that represent tentative management plans that are subject to change, consisting of:

	2015		2014
Operating contingency	\$ 2,000,000	\$	2,000,000
Insurance contingency	8,095,974		7,377,978
Capital projects and other commitments	12,492,409		7,767,018
Total designated net position	<u>\$ 22,588,383</u>	\$	<u>17,144,996</u>

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Airport Authority's policy to use restricted resources first and then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Revenue and expense recognition: Revenues from airlines, concessionaires, lessees and parking are reported as operating revenues. Operating expenses include the cost of administering the airport system, including depreciation and amortization of capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses or capital contributions and grants.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 1. Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Concentrations: A significant portion of the Airport Authority's earnings and revenues are directly or indirectly attributed to the activity of a number of major airlines. The Airport Authority's earnings and revenues could be materially and adversely affected should any of these major airlines discontinue operations and should the Airport Authority be unable to replace those airlines with similar activity. The level of operations is determined based upon the relative share of enplaned passengers.

The three largest airlines in terms of enplaned passengers are as follows:

	2015	2014
Southwest Airlines	38.5%	36.9%
United Airlines	11.5%	12.5%
Delta Airlines	10.2%	10.1%

Defined Benefit Pension Plan: The Airport Authority has a single-employer defined benefit pension plan (Plan) administered through San Diego City Employee Retirement System (SDCERS). For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Plan and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Plan. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Pronouncements issued but not yet adopted: GASB has issued several pronouncements that may impact future financial presentations. Management has not currently determined what, if any, impact implementation of the following statements may have on the financial statements of the Airport Authority:

- GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, effective for the Airport Authority's year ending June 30, 2016.
- GASB Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, effective for the Airport Authority's year ending June 30, 2018.
- GASB Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*, effective for the Airport Authority's year ending June 30, 2016.

Pronouncements adopted: The Airport Authority has adopted and implemented the following GASB statements during the year ended June 30, 2015:

- GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*;
- GASB Statement No. 69, *Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations*; and
- GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68*

The implementation of GASB Statements No. 69 did not impact net position or the change in net position of the Airport Authority as of or for the year ended June 30, 2015.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Summary of cash, cash equivalents and investments: Cash, cash equivalents and investments are reported in the accompanying statements of net position as follows at June 30:

	2015	2014
Unrestricted and undesignated:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,873,038	\$ 26,510,543
Current investments	76,065,212	70,752,557
Noncurrent investments	77,419,978	52,455,753
Total unrestricted and undesignated	161,358,228	149,718,853
Designated for specific capital projects and other commitments: cash and cash equivalents	22,588,383	17,144,996
Restricted:		
Current cash, cash equivalents and investments, with trustees	94,917,215	96,745,172
Noncurrent cash, cash equivalents and investments, not with trustees	166,050,576	161,369,744
Noncurrent investments, with trustees	219,382,771	406,579,651
Total restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments	480,350,562	664,694,567
Total cash, cash equivalents and investments	\$ 664,297,173	\$ 831,558,416

The components of restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments at June 30 are summarized below:

	2015	2014
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments:		
Bond reserves:		
Operation and maintenance reserve subaccount	\$ 38,600,777	\$ 37,157,351
Operation and maintenance subaccount	12,866,926	12,385,784
Renewal and replacement account	5,400,000	5,400,000
Total reserves:	56,867,703	54,943,135
Passenger facility charges unapplied	63,361,948	60,769,935
Customer facility charges unapplied	41,820,925	41,652,322
Small business development bond guarantee	4,000,000	4,000,000
Commercial paper reserve	-	4,352
Commercial paper interest	-	12,906
Customer facility charges	-	311,153
2010 Series debt service reserve fund	51,191,339	50,988,876
2010 Series debt service account	24,424,169	21,640,387
2010 Series construction fund	-	2,204
2013 Series construction fund	42,856,631	100,500,234
2013 Series debt service reserve fund	33,213,393	32,993,011
2013 Series debt service account	11,063,748	8,938,429
2013 Series capitalized interest account	220,469	752,446
2014 Series construction fund	106,253,356	228,270,006
2014 Series debt service reserve fund	22,040,300	21,926,369
2014 Series capitalized interest account	16,436,026	30,432,045
2014 Series rolling coverage fund	6,600,555	6,556,757
Total restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments	\$ 480,350,562	\$ 664,694,567

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments (Continued)

Investments authorized in accordance with California Government Code Section 53601 and under the provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy: The table that follows identifies the investment types that are authorized by the Airport Authority's investment policy and State Government Code. The table also identifies certain provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy that address interest rate risk, credit risk and concentration of credit risk.

This table does not address investments of bond proceeds held by the bond trustee that are governed by provisions of debt agreements of the Airport Authority, in addition to the general provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy and State Government Code.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Minimum Quality Requirements	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury obligations	5 years	N/A	None	None
U.S. agency securities	5 years	N/A	None	None
Supranationals	5 years	AA	30 percent	None
Bankers' acceptances	180 days	AAA/Aaa	40 percent	10 percent
Commercial paper	270 days	A-1; P-1; F-1	25 percent	10 percent
Negotiable certificates of deposit	5 years	A	30 percent	10 percent
Medium-term notes	5 years	A	15 percent	10 percent
Money market mutual funds	N/A	AAA/Aaa	20 percent	10 percent
Repurchase agreements	1 year	A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund	N/A	N/A	None	\$50 million
San Diego County Investment Pool	N/A	N/A	None	\$50 million
Local Government Investment Pool	N/A	N/A	None	\$50 million
U.S. State and California agency indebtedness	5 years	A	20 percent	5 percent
Placement service certificates of deposits	3 years	N/A	30 percent	10 percent
Time certificates of deposit	3 years	*	20 percent	10 percent
Bank deposits	N/A	*	None	None

* Financial institution must have at least an overall satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act for meeting the credit needs of California communities in its most recent evaluation. Collateralization required per Cal. Gov. Code Section 53630 et seq.

Investment in state investment pools: The Airport Authority is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The Airport Authority's investments in this pool are reported in the accompanying financial statements at fair value based upon the Airport Authority's pro rata share of the amortized cost basis provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of each portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF.

Investment in county investment pool: The Airport Authority is a voluntary participant in the San Diego County Investment Pool (SDCIP) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the County Treasurer of San Diego. The Airport Authority's investments in this pool are reported in the accompanying financial statements at fair value based upon the Airport Authority's pro rata share of the amortized cost basis provided by SDCIP for the entire SDCIP portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by SDCIP.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments (Continued)

Investments authorized by debt agreements: Investments held by the bond trustee are governed by the provisions of the debt agreement, in addition to the general provisions of the California Government Code and the Airport Authority’s investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by the bond trustee, according to the Master Trust Indenture. In the event of a conflict between the Airport Authority’s investment policy and permitted investments associated with any Airport Authority debt issuance, the debt agreement shall control. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk and concentration of credit risk.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Minimum Quality Requirements	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury obligations	None	N/A	None	None
U.S. agency securities	None	N/A	None	None
State obligations	None	AAA/Aaa	None	None
Commercial paper	None	A-1; P-1; F-1	None	None
Negotiable certificates of deposit	None	AAA/Aaa	None	None
Long-term and medium-term notes	None	Two highest ratings	None	None
Money market mutual funds	None	Two highest ratings	None	None
Municipal bonds	None	Two highest ratings	None	None
Repurchase agreements	None	BBB*	None	None
Investment agreements	None	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund	None	N/A	None	None
San Diego County Investment Pool	None	N/A	None	None
Deposit accounts	None	N/A	None	None

Any other investment which is a permitted investment of the Authority in accordance with the laws of the state.

*Investment requires collateralization

The primary objective of the Airport Authority’s investment policy is to invest public funds in a manner that will provide the highest security of the funds under management while meeting the daily cash flow demands of the Airport Authority. Assets of the Airport Authority that are not bond proceeds, which are invested in securities as permitted in the bond indenture, are described in the preceding table. In addition, there are various credit criteria as defined in the Airport Authority’s investment policy as depicted in the previous section entitled “Investments authorized in accordance with California Government Code Section 53601 and under the provisions of the Airport Authority’s investment policy.”

Investments held by Trustee: The Airport Authority has monies held by trustees pledged for the security and payment of certain debt instruments, the payment of bond interest during construction and the payment of capital project costs.

Disclosures related to interest rate risk: Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, investments with longer maturities have greater fair value sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways the Airport Authority manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter-term and longer-term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities. These staggered maturities also provide consistent cash flow and fulfill liquidity needs for operations. The Airport Authority monitors interest rate risk inherent in its portfolio by measuring the segmented time distribution of its portfolio. The Airport Authority has no specific limitations with respect to this metric.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments (Continued)

Custodial credit risk (deposits): Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Airport Authority maintains deposits at several institutions in order to minimize custodial credit risk. These deposits are collateralized by various instruments such as U.S. government securities (guaranteed) or U.S. agency securities (government sponsored). California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by a state or local government by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law. The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110 percent of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure Airport Authority deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of the secured deposits.

Insurance through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) may be applicable to the first \$250,000 of institutional deposit accounts, with any balance above this amount covered by the collateralization requirement. Certificates of deposit held by the Airport Authority's third-party custodians are fully insured by the FDIC, as the individual amounts do not exceed the FDIC-insured limits, or are collateralized in accordance with the California Government Code.

Custodial credit risk (investments): Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that the Airport Authority will not be able to recover the value of its investments in the event of a counterparty failure. The Airport Authority uses third-party banks' custody and safekeeping services for its registered investment securities. Securities are held in custody at third-party banks registered in the name of the Airport Authority and are segregated from securities owned by those institutions or held in custody by those institutions.

Disclosures related to credit risk: Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of an investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. California Government Code Section 53601 (as referenced previously in this note) limits the types of investment instruments that may be purchased by the Airport Authority.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments (Continued)

The maturity ranges and credit ratings for the Airport Authority's investment securities as of June 30 are presented in the following tables:

Investment Type	2015				Ratings
	Total	Investment Maturities (in Years)			
		0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 5	
Investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:					
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 70,189,787	\$ -	\$ 22,525,974	\$ 47,663,813	AAA (1)
U.S. agency securities	46,898,857	4,999,650	22,358,342	19,540,865	AAA (1)
Commercial paper	3,999,800	3,999,800	-	-	A-1+/P-1
Negotiable certificates of deposit	8,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	-	A-1+/P-1
	17,000,280	-	13,002,520	3,997,760	AA
	9,000,000	-	9,000,000	-	A
Medium-term notes	19,801,690	5,014,900	800,680	13,986,110	AA
	4,827,981	4,827,981	-	-	A
Money market mutual funds	35,593,542	35,593,542	-	-	AAA
Local Agency Investment Fund	98,381,500	98,381,500	-	-	Unrated
San Diego County Investment Pool	241,042,718	241,042,718	-	-	AAA (1)
CalTrust Fund	15,074,776	15,074,776	-	-	AAA (1)
Total investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:	569,810,931	412,934,867	71,687,516	85,188,548	
Investments not subject to credit or interest rate risk:					
Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit	25,313,449				
Total Investments	\$ 595,124,380				

Investment Type	2014				Ratings
	Total	Investment Maturities (in Years)			
		0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 5	
Investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:					
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 64,082,562	\$ -	\$ 36,552,992	\$ 27,529,570	AAA(1)
U.S. agency securities	38,442,680	-	17,972,890	20,469,790	AAA(1)
Commercial paper	15,494,684	15,494,684	-	-	A-1+/P-1
Medium-term notes	17,011,542	-	16,212,270	799,272	AA
	5,007,100	-	5,007,100	-	A
Money market mutual funds	28,013,480	28,013,480	-	-	AAA(1)
Local Agency Investment Fund	183,293,665	183,293,665	-	-	Unrated
San Diego County Investment Pool	336,285,168	336,285,168	-	-	AAA(1)
CalTrust Fund	15,027,791	15,027,791	-	-	AAA(1)
Total investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:	702,658,672	578,114,788	75,745,252	48,798,632	
Investments not subject to credit or interest rate risk:					
Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit	35,808,518				
Total Investments	\$ 738,467,190				

Ratings per Standard and Poor's, Moody's and Fitch.

(1) Includes investments that have split ratings between S&P (AA+), Moody's (AAA) and Fitch (AAA)

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments (Continued)

Concentration of credit risk: The investment policy of the Airport Authority contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested by any one issuer beyond that stated in the table provided earlier in this note. The Airport Authority requires a diversified investment portfolio to avoid risk of losses resulting from an over-concentration of assets in a specific maturity, issuer or class of securities. The Airport Authority had no concentrations of credit risk at June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Foreign currency risk: The Airport Authority's investment policy does not allow investments in foreign securities.

Note 3. Note Receivable

As part of the transfer of airport operations from the District to the Airport Authority, and pursuant to the associated MOU, the District issued a \$50,000,000 unsecured promissory note to the Airport Authority. According to an agreement with the District that commenced on January 1, 2006, the note will be amortized over 25 years, maturing on December 31, 2030. The note is subordinate to all bond indebtedness of the District and carries a fixed interest rate of 5.5 percent per annum. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the balance of the note receivable was \$38,358,256 and \$39,886,768, respectively.

The required principal payments owed from the District for note receivable for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows (rounded):

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 1,609,000
2017	1,705,000
2018	1,802,000
2019	1,903,000
2020	2,006,000
2021-2025	11,884,000
2026-2030	15,637,000
2031	1,812,000
	<hr/>
	\$ 38,358,000

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 4. Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	Balance at June 30, 2014	Increases	Decreases	Balance at June 30, 2015
Nondepreciable assets:				
Land	\$ 22,415,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,415,850
Construction in progress	250,103,154	257,367,772	(120,415,982)	387,054,944
Intangible asset	440,000	-	-	440,000
Total nondepreciable assets	272,959,004	257,367,772	(120,415,982)	409,910,794
Depreciable assets:				
Land improvements	48,665,996	1,481,672	-	50,147,668
Buildings and structures (1)	1,025,628,015	94,821,353	(5,436,829)	1,115,012,539
Machinery and equipment (2)	51,618,837	3,000,209	(918,752)	53,700,294
Runways, roads and parking lots	568,935,877	21,523,207	-	590,459,084
Total capital assets being depreciated	1,694,848,725	120,826,441	(6,355,581)	1,809,319,585
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(4,114,899)	(2,134,763)	-	(6,249,662)
Building and structures	(393,898,118)	(53,054,000)	5,329,179	(441,622,939)
Machinery and equipment	(42,041,579)	(3,532,848)	872,440	(44,701,987)
Runways, roads and parking lots	(216,780,599)	(23,165,654)	-	(239,946,253)
Total accumulated depreciation	(656,835,195)	(81,887,265)	6,201,619	(732,520,841)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	1,038,013,530	38,939,176	(153,962)	1,076,798,744
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,310,972,534	\$ 296,306,948	\$ (120,569,944)	\$ 1,486,709,538

(1) Includes capitalized lease of building with a net present value of future lease payments of \$7,629,138

(2) Includes capitalized leases of office equipment with a net present value of future lease payments of \$342,855

Construction in progress contains projects such as the Green Build, upgrading certain major equipment, and improvements to the runway, parking lots and terminals. Current contracts with the Airport Authority related to these projects are discussed later in these notes.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 4. Capital Assets (Continued)

	Balance at June 30, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance at June 30, 2014
Nondepreciable assets:				
Land	\$ 22,415,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,415,850
Construction in progress	401,825,140	214,293,229	(366,015,215)	250,103,154
Intangible asset	440,000	-	-	440,000
Total nondepreciable assets	424,680,990	214,293,229	(366,015,215)	272,959,004
Depreciable assets:				
Land improvements	43,009,936	5,656,060	-	48,665,996
Buildings and structures (1)	715,421,387	317,174,867	(6,968,239)	1,025,628,015
Machinery and equipment (2)	50,717,389	1,573,410	(671,962)	51,618,837
Runways, roads and parking lots	526,061,707	43,041,675	(167,505)	568,935,877
Total capital assets being depreciated	1,335,210,419	367,446,012	(7,807,706)	1,694,848,725
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(2,298,540)	(1,816,359)	-	(4,114,899)
Building and structures	(346,153,840)	(52,962,879)	5,218,601	(393,898,118)
Machinery and equipment	(38,920,696)	(3,792,848)	671,965	(42,041,579)
Runways, roads and parking lots	(194,374,525)	(22,573,579)	167,505	(216,780,599)
Total accumulated depreciation	(581,747,601)	(81,145,665)	6,058,071	(656,835,195)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	753,462,818	653,746,359	(9,557,341)	1,038,013,530
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,178,143,808	\$ 1,082,332,817	\$ (741,587,771)	\$ 1,310,972,534

(1) Includes capitalized lease of building with initial net present value of future lease payments of \$8,040,531

(2) Includes capitalized leases of office equipment with initial net present value of future lease payments of \$760,332

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities

The following is a summary of changes in the long-term liability activity for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Principal Balance at June 30, 2014	Additions/ New Issuances	Reductions/ Repayments	Principal Balance at June 30, 2015	Due Within One Year
Debt obligations					
Commercial paper	\$ 44,884,000	\$ -	\$ (44,884,000)	\$ -	\$ -
Line of credit Series B tax-exempt	-	18,929,000	(1,020,000)	17,909,000	-
Line of credit Series C taxable	-	25,955,000	(5,159,000)	20,796,000	-
Total line of credit	44,884,000	44,884,000	(51,063,000)	38,705,000	-
Bonds payable:					
Series 2010 Bonds	569,870,000	-	(5,785,000)	564,085,000	8,665,000
Series 2013 Bonds	379,585,000	-	-	379,585,000	2,030,000
Series 2014 Bonds	305,285,000	-	-	305,285,000	-
Bond premiums	73,157,591	-	(4,328,300)	68,829,291	-
Total bonds payable	1,327,897,591	-	(10,113,300)	1,317,784,291	10,695,000
Capital Leases	7,810,927	349,422	(188,356)	7,971,993	254,259
Total debt obligations	1,380,592,518	45,233,422	(61,364,656)	1,364,461,284	10,949,259
Compensated absences	3,094,685	2,734,786	(2,652,960)	3,176,511	2,652,960
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 1,383,687,203	\$ 47,968,208	\$ (64,017,616)	\$ 1,367,637,795	\$ 13,602,219

	Principal Balance at June 30, 2013	Additions/ New Issuances	Reductions/ Repayments	Principal Balance at June 30, 2014	Due Within One Year
Debt obligations					
Commercial paper	\$ 50,969,000	\$ -	\$ (6,085,000)	\$ 44,884,000	\$ -
Bonds payable:					
Series 2010 Bonds	570,870,000	-	(1,000,000)	569,870,000	5,785,000
Series 2013 Bonds	379,585,000	-	-	379,585,000	-
Series 2014 Bonds	-	305,285,000	-	305,285,000	-
Bond premiums	76,956,188	594,266	(4,392,863)	73,157,591	-
Total bonds payable	1,027,411,188	305,879,266	(5,392,863)	1,327,897,591	5,785,000
Capital Leases	8,152,588	-	(341,661)	7,810,927	180,559
Total debt obligations	1,086,532,776	305,879,266	(11,819,524)	1,380,592,518	5,965,559
Compensated absences	3,089,756	2,664,509	(2,659,580)	3,094,685	2,659,580
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 1,089,622,532	\$ 308,543,775	\$ (14,479,104)	\$ 1,383,687,203	\$ 8,625,139

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)

Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 and Refunded Series 1995: The California Maritime Infrastructure Authority issued \$76,690,000 of Airport Revenue Bonds (Series 1995 Bonds) for the District, pursuant to a trust agreement dated December 1, 1995. The proceeds of the Series 1995 Bonds, together with investment income thereon, were used solely to pay a portion of the construction and installation of the West Terminal Expansion at SDIA, fund a Reserve Account and pay certain expenses in connection with the issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds. In conjunction with the transfer of airport operations to the Airport Authority on January 1, 2003, these bond obligations were assumed by the Airport Authority.

On December 21, 2012, the Airport Authority defeased all of its outstanding Series 2005 Bonds, by depositing proceeds of Subordinate CP Notes and certain other available monies into an irrevocable escrow fund. The amounts on deposit in the escrow fund will be used to pay the principal of and interest on the Series 2005 Bonds until their final maturity date of July 1, 2020. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held in escrow by the trustee was \$30,735,483 and \$35,775,109, respectively, and the amount of the defeased Series 2005 Bonds still outstanding was \$27,130,000 and \$30,920,000, respectively.

Commercial Paper Series A, B and C (CP Notes): On September 6, 2007, the Board authorized issuance of subordinate CP Notes with up to \$250,000,000 of principal outstanding at any time. The CP Notes were issued from time to time and proceeds from the issuance of the CP Notes were used, among other things, to finance improvements to SDIA. The CP Notes were obligations secured by a pledge of airport revenues subordinated to the pledge of net airport revenues securing payment of the senior lien Series 2013 and Series 2014 Bonds and on parity to the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds. Each commercial paper note matured at the end of a period not to exceed 270 days. The matured commercial paper was continually rolled into another issuance until the earlier of September 10, 2014, or five days prior to the irrevocable letter of credit expiration date. The commercial paper program was replaced by a revolving line of credit.

Revolving Line of Credit program in Fiscal Year 2015: During fiscal year 2015, the Airport Authority replaced its commercial paper program with a \$125,000,000 revolving line of credit issued by US Bank. The revolving line of credit was used to refund the outstanding Series B and Series C CP Note balances. The revolving line of credit is a three-year agreement and took effect on September 5, 2014.

The revolving line of credit is payable solely from and secured by a pledge of "Subordinate Net Revenues." Subordinate Net Revenues are generally defined as all revenues and other cash receipts of the Airport Authority's airport operations remaining after senior lien payments have been deposited by the Trustee in accordance with the Senior Lien Trust Indenture.

The Series B CP Notes of \$18,929,000 were reconstituted as the Series B Revolving Line of Credit, which bears interest at the tax-exempt LIBOR rate. The balance of the Series C CP Notes of \$25,955,000 were reconstituted as the Series C revolving line of credit and bears interest at the taxable LIBOR rate.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)

Subordinate Lien Series 2010 Bonds: On October 5, 2010, the Airport Authority issued \$572,565,000 of Series A, B and C Subordinate Airport Revenue Bonds (Series 2010 Bonds). The subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds through and including January 1, 2013, refund \$142,176,000 of the Airport Authority's then outstanding commercial paper notes, fund the subordinate reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds.

The Series 2010 A and 2010 B Bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2012 to 2041. The Series 2010 C Bonds were issued as taxable Build America Bonds (BAB), which benefit from periodic cash subsidy payments from the U.S. Treasury, originally equal to 35 percent of interest payable on such bonds. As a result of the automatic spending cuts imposed under the Budget Control Act of 2011, the Airport Authority's BAB subsidies were reduced 7.2 percent (the BAB Sequester) from October 2013 through September 2014. Due to the extension of the BAB Sequester, BAB subsidies for the remainder of fiscal year 2015 were reduced by 7.3 percent and such reduction will remain in place through September 2015. Unless further Congressional action is taken, the new sequesterian reduction rate will continue through September 2024. The BAB interest subsidies received by the Airport Authority for fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, amounted to \$4,631,219 and \$4,636,215, respectively. The interest rate on the Series 2010 C Bonds, net of the subsidy, is 4.48 percent and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2041. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$26,154,344, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1 of each year.

Interest for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 amounted to \$31,484,399 and \$31,705,498, respectively, including accrued interest of \$15,742,199 and \$15,852,749, respectively. The principal balance on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, was \$564,085,000 and \$569,870,000, respectively.

The subordinate Series 2010 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by (a) a pledge of subordinate net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system and all amounts necessary to pay debt service on and fund the reserves for the senior bonds; and (b) certain funds and accounts held by the subordinate trustee under the subordinate indenture. The subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued with a pledge of and lien on subordinate net revenues. In addition, the Airport Authority has irrevocably committed a portion of the PFCs it has received and expects to receive through 2016. The irrevocably committed PFC amount of \$19,206,113 was fully utilized in fiscal year 2015. The irrevocably committed PFC amounts for fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 was \$19,209,388.

As subordinate lien bonds, the Series 2010 Bonds require that charges for services be set each fiscal year at rates sufficient to produce pledged revenues at least 110 percent times the subordinate debt service for that year. In addition, the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a reserve account with the bond trustee. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held by the trustee was \$75,615,508 and \$72,631,467, respectively, which included the July 1 payment, unspent project fund proceeds and a debt service reserve fund.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)

The public ratings of the Series 2010 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 are A/A2/A by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings.

The required debt service payments for the Series 2010 Bonds for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$ 8,665,000	\$ 31,318,098	\$ 39,983,098
2017	9,000,000	30,934,023	39,934,023
2018	9,430,000	30,487,998	39,917,998
2019	9,890,000	30,020,298	39,910,298
2020	10,365,000	29,529,823	39,894,823
2021-2025	59,970,000	139,283,710	199,253,710
2026-2030	76,400,000	122,446,679	198,846,679
2031-2035	143,425,000	94,538,155	237,963,155
2036-2040	192,925,000	43,567,408	236,492,408
2041	44,015,000	1,331,692	45,346,692
	<u>\$ 564,085,000</u>	<u>\$ 553,457,884</u>	<u>\$1,117,542,884</u>

Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2013: On January 30, 2013, the Airport Authority issued \$379,585,000 of Series A and B Senior Airport Revenue Bonds (Series 2013 Bonds). The Series 2013 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Series 2013 Bonds through and including July 1, 2015, fund the senior reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2013 Bonds.

The Series 2013 Bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 3.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2016 to 2044. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$55,934,101, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the senior Series 2013 Bonds is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Interest for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$18,475,500 and \$18,475,501, respectively, including accrued interest of \$9,237,750 each year. The principal balance on the Series 2013 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$379,585,000.

The senior Series 2013 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by (a) a pledge of net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system (b) certain funds and accounts held by the senior trustee under the senior indenture.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)

As senior lien bonds, the Series 2013 Bonds require that charges for services be set each fiscal year at rates sufficient to produce pledged revenues at least 125 percent times the senior debt service for that year. In addition, the Series 2013 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a debt service reserve account with the bond trustee and to reserve certain additional amounts in the Airport Authority's books, as shown previously in the notes. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held by the trustee was \$87,354,241 and \$143,184,120, respectively, which included the July 1 payment, unspent project fund proceeds, the debt service reserve fund and capitalized interest funds. The total amount reserved by the Airport Authority for fiscal years 2015 and 2014 was \$56,867,703 and \$54,943,135, respectively. The public ratings of the Series 2013 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 are A+/A1/A+ by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings.

The required debt service payments for the Series 2013 Bonds for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$ 2,030,000	\$ 18,445,050	\$ 20,475,050
2017	2,090,000	18,382,275	20,472,275
2018	2,155,000	18,306,850	20,461,850
2019	2,240,000	18,218,950	20,458,950
2020	2,320,000	18,127,750	20,447,750
2021-2025	43,760,000	85,149,500	128,909,500
2026-2030	55,740,000	72,904,350	128,644,350
2031-2035	32,225,000	61,481,750	93,706,750
2036-2040	41,165,000	53,463,625	94,628,625
2041-2044	195,860,000	23,077,000	218,937,000
	<u>\$ 379,585,000</u>	<u>\$ 387,557,100</u>	<u>\$ 767,142,100</u>

Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2014: On February 1, 2014, the Airport Authority issued \$305,285,000 of Series A and B Senior Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2014 Bonds). The Series 2014 Bonds were issued to finance a portion of the costs of the development and construction of a consolidated rental car facility and related improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Series 2014 Bonds through January 1, 2016, fund deposits to the senior reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2014 Bonds.

The Series 2014 A Bonds were structured as tax-exempt and non-AMT term bonds that bear interest at 5.00 percent. The Series 2014 B Bonds were structured as federally taxable bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.54 percent to 5.59 percent. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$594,226, which is amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the Series 2014 Bonds is payable semiannual on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Interest for fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was \$16,341,210 and \$5,991,777, respectively, including accrued interest of \$8,170,605 and \$5,991,777. The principal balance on the Series 2014 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$305,285,000.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)

The Series 2014 Bonds are special limited obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Trust Estate, which includes, among other things, customer facility charges collected from the rental car companies operating at the Airport and remitted to the Trustee. No revenues of the Airport Authority other than the customer facility charges and the Bond Funding Supplemental Consideration (as defined in the bond indenture), are pledged to the payment of the Series 2014 Bonds. The Series 2014 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a debt service reserve account with the bond trustee and to reserve certain additional amounts in the Airport Authority's books, as show previously in the notes. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held by the trustee was \$151,330,237 and \$287,185,177, respectively, which included the July 1 payment, unspent project fund proceeds, the debt service reserve fund, capitalized interest funds and the rolling coverage fund.

The required debt service payments for the Series 2014 Bonds for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$ -	\$ 16,341,210	\$ 16,341,210
2017	-	16,341,210	16,341,210
2018	-	16,341,210	16,341,210
2019	5,580,000	16,270,428	21,850,428
2020	5,720,000	16,114,217	21,834,217
2021-2025	32,015,000	76,804,495	108,819,495
2026-2030	41,600,000	66,835,495	108,435,495
2031-2035	54,610,000	53,459,401	108,069,401
2036-2040	71,690,000	35,900,395	107,590,395
2041-2045	94,070,000	12,987,626	107,057,626
	<u>\$ 305,285,000</u>	<u>\$ 327,395,687</u>	<u>\$ 632,680,687</u>

Line of credit: In fiscal year 2013, the Airport Authority established a \$4,000,000 line of credit with Wells Fargo, which is collateralized with a certificate of deposit. This line is utilized to issue letters of credit to surety companies who are partnering with the Airport Authority to provide bonding assistance to contractors accepted into the bonding assistance program at the Airport Authority. As of June 30, 2015, nothing had been drawn on the line of credit and there are no outstanding letters of credit.

Capital Leases

Office equipment leases: The Airport Authority has entered into five year capital lease agreements for office equipment that require monthly lease payments of \$6,849.

Receiving distribution center lease: The Airport Authority entered into an installment purchase agreement for a receiving and distribution center (RDC) in fiscal year 2013. This agreement has been determined to be a capital lease and requires monthly lease payments of \$73,108. The Airport Authority will become the owner of the RDC at the conclusion of the 20 year installment purchase agreement.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 5. Long-Term Liabilities (Continued)

The following is a schedule of future lease payments applicable to the RDC installment purchase agreement, the office equipment capital leases, and the net present value of the future lease payments at June 30, 2015:

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 959,486
2017	959,486
2018	959,486
2019	959,486
2020	932,090
2021-2025	4,386,489
2026-2030	4,386,489
2031-2032	2,120,137
Total lease payments	<u>15,663,149</u>
Less amount representing interest	<u>(7,691,156)</u>
Present value of future lease payments	<u>\$ 7,971,993</u>

Note 6. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statements No. 27 and 50 Disclosures

The net pension asset as of June 30, 2014 was determined under the measurement principles of GASB Statement No. 27 using an actuarial valuation dated June 30, 2014.

Plan description: The Airport Authority's defined benefit pension plan is separately administered by SDCERS. SDCERS is governed by a 13-member Board, responsible for the administration of retirement benefits for the City, the District, and the Airport Authority and for overseeing the investment portfolio of the retirement system's trust fund. The Board is comprised of seven appointed members, four active members, one retired member and one ex-officio member.

SDCERS administers three separate single-employer defined benefit pension plans for the City, the District and Airport Authority, and SDCERS provides service retirement, disability retirement, death and survivor benefits to its participants.

From January 1, 2003 through June 30, 2007, SDCERS administered a qualified employer defined benefit plan for the City, the District and Airport Authority. However, as of July 1, 2007, the City, the District and the Airport Authority plans were separated into independent, qualified, single-employer governmental defined benefit plans and trusts. The assets of the three separate plans and trusts were pooled in the SDCERS Group Trust, which was established as of July 1, 2007. SDCERS invests and administers the Group Trust as a common investment fund and accounts separately for the proportional interest of each plan and trust that participates in the Group Trust.

SDCERS acts as a common, independent investment and administrative agent for the City, the District and the Airport Authority, whose plans cover all eligible employees. In a defined benefit plan, pension benefits are actuarially determined by a member's age at retirement, number of years of service credit and final compensation, typically based on the highest salary earned over a one-year or three-year period. Airport Authority safety members who are participants under the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) are subject to pensionable compensation caps.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 6. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statements No. 27 and 50 Disclosures (Continued)

The San Diego City Charter Section 144 and San Diego Municipal Code Sections 24.0100 et seq. assign the authority to establish and amend the benefit provisions of the plans that participate in SDCERS to the SDCERS Board. The Airport Authority contributes to the Federal Social Security Program. The SDCERS Board issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for SDCERS. The financial report may be obtained by writing to the San Diego City Employees' Retirement System, 401 B Street, Suite 400, San Diego, California 92101.

Funding policy: The City of San Diego municipal code requires member contributions to be actuarially determined to provide a specific level of benefit. Member contribution rates, as a percentage of salary, vary according to age at entry, benefit tier level and certain negotiated contracts, which provide for the Airport Authority to pay a portion of the employees' contributions. The Airport Authority contribution rate, as determined through actuarial valuation, was 14.13 percent for 2014, 10.47 percent for 2013, and 15.11 percent for 2012, and is expressed as a percentage of covered payroll.

Benefits provided: The Airport Authority provides retirement, disability and death benefits.

There are two types of participants, the classic participants and the PEPRA participants. A classic participant means any member who is not a PEPRA participant. A PEPRA participant is any member hired on or after January 1, 2013, who has never been a member of a public retirement system or who had a break in service of more than six months before their Airport Authority hire date.

The classic participant retirement benefit is calculated by using monthly salary amounts based on the highest continuous 26 bi-weekly pay periods divided by 12. The eligibility of the classic participants begins at age 62 with five years of service, or age 55 with 20 years of service.

The PEPRA participant's benefit is calculated by using monthly salary amounts based on the highest 36 consecutive months divided by 36. Base salary cannot exceed 100 percent of the Social Security contribution and benefit base, indexed to the CPI-U. The eligibility of the PEPRA participants begins at age 52 with five years of service.

The Airport Authority provides monthly payments for the life of the employee, with 50 percent continuance to the eligible spouse, domestic partner, or dependent child under 21 years of age upon the member's death. If there is no eligible spouse, the member may receive either a lump sum payment equal to the accumulated surviving spouse contributions or an actuarially equivalent annuity.

Employees with ten years of continuous service are eligible to receive non-industrial disability and employees with no service requirement can receive industrial disability.

The death benefit for non-industrial death before the employee is eligible to retire is a refund of the employee contributions, with interest plus one month's salary for each completed year of service to a maximum of six months' salary. A non-industrial death benefit after the employee is eligible to retire from service is 50 percent of earned benefit payable to eligible surviving spouse, domestic partner, or dependent child under 21 years of age. The industrial death benefit is 50 percent of the final average compensation preceding death, payable to eligible surviving spouse, domestic partner or dependent child under 21 years of age.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 6. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statements No. 27 and 50 Disclosures (Continued)

Annual pension cost: For the year ended June 30, 2014, the annual pension cost for the Airport Authority, as measured under GASB Statement No. 27 was \$4,882,000. Comparing the June 30, 2014 actuarial valuation to the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuation, total membership increased by 3.0 percent. The increase was attributable to both the growth in active membership, terminated vested, disabled, retirees and beneficiaries. Active member payroll increased by 1.8 percent. Additionally, active member total payroll increased by 6.2 percent, and the average pay per active member increased by 4.4 percent. The actuarial liability increased by 18.5 percent but the actuarial value of assets increased by 12.3 percent. The funding ratio decreased from 98.5 percent as of June 30, 2012 to 93.4 percent as of June 30, 2013.

SDCERS employed a commonly used actuarial smoothing method on the market value that dampens market volatility, so the actuarial value of assets did not decrease as much as the market value (94.6 percent).

Assumptions: As of the latest actuarial valuation determined in accordance with GASB Statement No. 27, dated June 30, 2013, significant actuarial assumptions used in the valuation included:

- The rates of retirement were based on age and service as opposed to just the service of a member.
- The percent married assumption was 55 percent for females and 80 percent for males, and the assumed age difference between husbands and wives was three years.
- The reciprocity assumption was 10 percent.
- Rates of termination were based on service as opposed to the age of a member.
- Disability rates were modified to reflect actual experience through June 30, 2010 and include a projection to 2013.
- Mortality rates for active Airport Authority members (RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table) were modified to reflect actual experience through June 30, 2010 and include a projection to 2013.
- Mortality rates for retired Airport Authority members were modified to reflect actual experience through June 30, 2010. No modifications have been made to project future mortality improvements.
- The investment return assumption was 7.25 percent.
- The inflation assumption was 3.3 percent
- Cost of living adjustment was assumed 2 percent.
- Actuarial funding method is entry age normal
- Amortization method is level percent closed
- Asset valuation method is expected value method

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 6. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statements No. 27 and 50 Disclosures (Continued)

Contributions: On September 16, 2004, the Airport Authority made a contribution payment in the amount of \$3,900,000, in addition to the annual required contribution (ARC), to reflect a desired funded ratio of 90 percent. On June 21, 2005, the Airport Authority made an additional contribution of \$1,000,000. During the year ended June 30, 2006, the Airport Authority made an additional contribution of \$513,627. On June 30, 2010, the Airport Authority made a contribution of \$4,600,000 to increase the funded rate reported in the January 2010 actuarial calculation from 86.9 percent to the desired funded ratio of 90 percent. In April 2014, the Airport Authority again made a contribution of \$827,945 to increase the funded ratio to 94 percent. At June 30, 2014, the total contribution of \$10,841,572 less amortization of \$3,365,485 is recorded as a net pension asset of \$6,919,775 in accordance with GASB Statement No. 27. The contributions were being amortized over an 18-year period.

The Airport Authority's contribution for fiscal year 2014 measured as a percentage of membership payroll increased from 11.9 percent to 14.29 percent. The required beginning of year contribution, paid July 1 2014, increased by \$918,149. Employee contributions to the plan were \$1,019,220 and \$1,044,798 for the years ending June 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively. The following is a schedule of the annual pension cost and net pension asset for the plan, as measured in accordance with GASB Statement No. 27 (dollars in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual Pension Cost (APC)	Airport Cost Funded	% of APC Contributed	ARC	Net Pension Asset (NPA) Balance	Increase (Decrease) NPA	Amortization of NPA	Interest on the NPA at 7.50%/7.25%
6/30/12	\$ 4,356	\$ 3,800	87%	\$ 3,800	\$ 7,204	\$ (556)	\$ (256)	\$ 751
6/30/13	4,582	2,600	57%	2,600	6,648	(556)	556	751
6/30/14	4,882	3,728	76%	2,904	6,920	272	556	786

Schedule of funding progress for the Plan (dollars in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Liability (AAL) Entry Age	Unfunded AAL/Asset UAAL/(Asset)	Funded Ratio	Annual Covered Payroll	UAAL/(Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
6/30/13	\$ 107,616	\$ 115,200	\$ 7,584	93.4%	\$ 26,380	28.7%

Note 7. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statement No. 68 Disclosures

GASB Statement No. 68 was used to account for the June 30, 2015 net pension asset. A measurement date of June 30, 2014 was used for the June 30, 2015 pension asset and expense. The information that follows was determined as of a valuation date of June 30, 2013, updated to June 30, 2014.

Membership in the Plan by membership class at June 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	2014	2013
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	55	41
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	91	80
Active employees	374	356
	<u>520</u>	<u>477</u>

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 7. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statement No. 68 Disclosures (Continued)

Actuarial Assumptions: Following the implementation of GASB Statement No. 68 through the June 30, 2014 actuarial report, the related assumptions therein were not changed from that of the June 30, 2013 actuarial report.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was based primarily on historical returns on plan assets, adjusted for changes in target portfolio allocations and recent changes in long-term interest rates based on publically available information. The target allocations and best estimates of rates of return for each major asset class are summarized below:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-term Expected Real Rate of Return
U.S. equity	21%	4.7%
Non-U.S. equity	14%	5.0%
Emerging market equity	1%	6.4%
Global equity	5%	5.0%
U.S. fixed income	22%	1.7%
Emerging market debt	5%	4.1%
Real estate	11%	4.3%
Private equity	10%	6.6%
Infrastructure	3%	5.4%
Opportunity fund	8%	4.6%
	<u>100%</u>	

Discount Rate: The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability (asset) was 7.25 percent. Based on plan funding expectations, no actuarial projection of cash flows was made as the plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of the projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability (asset).

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 7. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statement No. 68 Disclosures (Continued)

Changes in the total pension liability, plan fiduciary net position and the net pension liability (asset) through the year ended June 30, 2015 were as follows:

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability (a)	Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability/(Asset) (a) - (b)
Balances as of 6/30/14	\$ 115,200,048	\$ 108,456,250	\$ 6,743,798
Changes for the year:			
Service cost	6,099,481	-	6,099,481
Interest on total pension liability	8,465,485	-	8,465,485
Benefit payments	(2,913,221)	(2,913,221)	-
Administrative expenses	-	(332,645)	332,645
Member contributions	-	1,019,220	(1,019,220)
Employer contributions	-	5,670,847	(5,670,847)
Net investment income	-	18,302,683	(18,302,683)
Net changes	<u>11,651,745</u>	<u>21,746,884</u>	<u>(10,095,139)</u>
Balances as of 6/30/15	<u>\$ 126,851,793</u>	<u>\$ 130,203,134</u>	<u>\$ (3,351,341)</u>

Sensitivity to Interest Rate Changes: The following presents the resulting net pension liability (asset) calculated using the discount rate of 7.25 percent, as well as what the net pension liability (asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower or 1 percentage point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Current Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)
Total pension liability	\$ 144,844,121	\$ 126,851,793	\$ 111,951,262
Plan fiduciary net position	<u>130,203,135</u>	<u>130,203,134</u>	<u>130,203,135</u>
Net pension liability	<u>\$ 14,640,986</u>	<u>\$ (3,351,341)</u>	<u>\$ (18,251,873)</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	89.9%	102.6%	116.3%

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 7. Defined Benefit Plan, GASB Statement No. 68 Disclosures (Continued)

Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources

Related to the Plan: For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority recognized pension expense, as measured in accordance with GASB Statement No. 68, of \$3,743,686, and reported deferred inflows of resources and deferred outflows of resources related to the plan from the following sources:

	Deferred Inflows of Resources	Deferred Outflows of Resources
Net difference between projected and actual earnings	\$ (8,167,978)	\$ -
Employer contributions made subsequent to June 30, 2014 measurement date	\$ -	\$ 5,852,754

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority reported \$5,852,754 as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Airport Authority contributions subsequent to the measurement date and prior to year-end. This amount will be recognized as a reduction in the net pension liability (asset) at June 30, 2016.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to the plan will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ending June 30	
2016	\$ 2,041,994
2017	2,041,994
2018	2,041,994
2019	2,041,996

Note 8. Employees' Deferred Compensation Plan

The Airport Authority offers its employees a deferred compensation plan, which was created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 457. The plan, which is available to all full-time Airport Authority employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, total disability, death or unforeseeable emergency.

The plan is administered by the Airport Authority and contracted to an unrelated financial institution. Under the terms of an IRC Section 457 deferred compensation plan, all deferred compensation and income attributable to the investment of the deferred compensation amounts held by the financial institution, until paid or made available to the employees or beneficiaries, are held in trust for employees.

Employee assets to be held in the IRC Section 457 plans are not the property of the Airport Authority and are not subject to the claims of the Airport Authority's general creditors. Accordingly, employee assets are not reflected in the Airport Authority's financial statements.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 9. Other Postemployment Benefits

The Airport Authority provides a single-employer postemployment benefit plan (the OPEB Plan). The OPEB Plan provides postretirement medical, dental, vision and life insurance benefits for nonunion employees hired prior to May 1, 2006 and union employees hired prior to October 1, 2008. The employees are eligible for these benefits if they retire from active employment after age 55 with 20 years of service or age 62 with five years of service.

Plan description: As of May 8, 2009, the Board approved entering into an agreement with the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) fund. This is managed by California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS). CalPERS administers pension and health benefits for approximately 1.5 million California public employees, retirees and their families. CalPERS was founded in 1932 and is the largest public pension fund in the United States, managing more than \$250 billion in assets for more than 2,500 California employers. In 1988 and 2007, enabling statutes and regulations were enacted which permitted CalPERS to form the CERBT fund, an irrevocable Section 115 Trust, for the purpose of receiving employer contributions that will prefund health and other postemployment benefit costs for retirees and their beneficiaries. Financial statements for CERBT may be obtained from CalPERS at P.O. Box 942709, Sacramento, CA 94229-2709.

Funding policy: CERBT requires a valuation of the liabilities and annual costs for benefits by an approved actuarial consulting firm. It is the Airport Authority's intent to budget and prefund the annual required contributions (ARCs). As of May 9, 2009, the agreement with CERBT was approved. Retirees contribute 5 percent of plan costs for single coverage and the entire cost of vision benefits. Annually, the Airport Authority funds 100 percent of the actuarially calculated ARC for its OPEB. In previous years, the Airport Authority has made contributions above the annual ARC which has resulted in a net OPEB asset.

Annual OPEB cost and actuarial methods and assumptions: The Airport Authority's annual OPEB cost is calculated based on the ARC, an amount that is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The Airport Authority has elected to perform an actuarial valuation of the OPEB on a biennial basis, the most recent of which is dated as of July 1, 2013. According to the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation, the ARC was \$ 2,403,000 and \$2,328,000 for fiscal year 2015 and 2014, respectively. The ARC was determined using the entry age normal cost method with amortization of the unfunded accrued liability occurring over a 30-year period ending June 30, 2037.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial values of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations. The actuarial assumptions used by CERBT include (a) a 7.36 percent investment rate of return, (7.61 percent was used in the prior valuations), net of administrative expenses, RP-2014 Mortality Tables with fully generational projection using MP-2014 scale and (b) projected salary increases of 3.00 percent. The annual healthcare cost trend rate ranged from 5.0 to 9.5 percent for medical and assumes a 5.0 percent rate for dental and 3.0 percent rate for vision. In establishing the discount rate, an inflation rate of 2.75 percent was used.

The entry age normal cost method spreads plan costs for each participant from entry date to the expected retirement date. Under the entry age normal cost method, the plan's normal cost is developed as a level percentage of payroll spread over the participants' working lifetime. The actuarial accrued liability is the cumulative value, on the valuation date, of prior service costs. For retirees, the actuarial accrued liability is the present value of all projected benefits.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 9. Other Postemployment Benefits (Continued)

The plan costs are derived by making certain specific assumptions as to the rates of interest, mortality, turnover and the like, which are assumed to hold for many years into the future. Actual experience may differ somewhat from the assumptions and the effect of such differences is spread over all periods. Due to these differences, the costs determined by the valuation must be regarded as estimates of the true plan costs.

Development of the net OPEB obligation (NOO/Asset) and annual OPEB cost for the past three years is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Fiscal Year	ARCs	Employer Contribution	NOO/(Asset) End of Year	Interest on NOO/(Asset)	Adjustment to the ARC	Annual OPEB Cost
7/1/12	12/13	\$ 2,238	\$ 2,236	\$ (59)	\$ -	\$ 4	\$ 2,238
7/1/13	13/14	2,328	2,328	(59)	(4)	4	2,328
7/1/14	14/15	2,403	2,403	(59)	(4)	4	2,403

The Airport Authority's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan and the net OPEB obligation for 2015, 2014 and 2013 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Costs	Employer Contribution	Percentage of OPEB Cost Contributed	NOO/(Asset)
6/30/13	\$ 2,238	\$ 2,236	99.9%	\$ (59)
6/30/14	2,328	2,328	100.0%	(59)
6/30/15	2,403	2,403	100.0%	(59)

Funded status and funding progress: The projection of future benefit payments for an ongoing plan involves estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the accompanying notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The funded status of the plan based on the most recent biennial actuarial valuation for the plan, dated as of July 1, 2013, was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percent of Covered Payroll	Interest Rate	Salary Scale
7/1/13	\$ 12,667	\$ 31,553	\$ 18,886	40.1%	\$ 17,567	107.5%	7.4%	3.0%

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 10. Risk Management

The Airport Authority has a comprehensive Risk Management Program comprising commercial insurance, self-insurance, loss prevention, loss control and claims administration. The Airport Authority's coverage includes a variety of retentions or deductibles.

Commercially issued insurance:

- The Airport Authority maintains \$500 million in limits for owners' and operators' general liability insurance with a war, hijacking and other perils endorsement in the amount of \$150 million.
- The Airport Authority maintains a property insurance policy with limits of \$750 million providing all risk and flood coverage on physical assets.
- The Airport Authority also maintains policies for workers' compensation, commercial auto, fiduciary liability, privacy and network security, crime and public officials and employment practices liability, among others.

Self-insurance: Due to the exorbitant cost of earthquake insurance, the Airport Authority self-insures for losses due to earthquake damage. Effective July 1, 2007, the Airport Authority removed the purchase of commercial earthquake insurance from the Risk Management Program and increased reliance on the laws designed to assist public entities through the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the California Disaster Assistance Act. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority has designated \$8,095,974 and \$7,377,978, respectively, from its net position, as an insurance contingency.

A \$2,000,000 reserve has been established within unrestricted net position by the Airport Authority's management to respond to uninsured and underinsured catastrophic losses. This fund is maintained pursuant to Board action only; there is no requirement that it be maintained.

Loss prevention: The Airport Authority has an active loss prevention program, staffed by a full-time risk manager, two risk analysts, a safety manager and a safety analyst. In addition, insurer property and casualty loss control engineers conduct safety surveys on a periodic basis. Employees receive regular safety training and claims are monitored using a Web-based claims information system.

During fiscal year 2015, there were no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior year. For each of the past three fiscal years, settlements have not exceeded insurance coverage.

Note 11. Lease Revenues

The Airport Authority leases certain of its capital assets, such as loading bridges and building space, to signatory airlines and other tenants under operating leases. Substantially all capital assets are held by the Airport Authority for the purpose of rental or related use. A majority of the lease payments are determined each year based upon the actual costs of the airport. Such costs are allocated pro rata to each tenant based upon factors such as landed weights, enplanements, square footage, acres, etc. A majority of the Airport Authority's lease commitments are primarily on a month-to-month basis and accordingly are not reflected in the schedule below.

The Airport Authority's recent expansion of approximately 25,000 additional square feet results in the increase of the number of food service and retail concession locations from 55 to 87. The Authority has implemented a comprehensive Concessions Development Program (CDP) to provide a world class shopping and dining experience for the millions of passengers who use SDIA each year. The full program build out was completed during fiscal year 2015. The CDP replaces the Airport Authority's one master concessionaire.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 11. Lease Revenues (Continued)

The Airport Authority's CFC revenues and Bonds funded construction of the Rental Car Center Facility (RCC) is set to be completed in the first quarter of 2016. The RCC facility which sits on 24.85 acres of land will house all the major and small operator rental car tenants. The land rent leases for the RCC will commence on the opening date of the facility until the Bonds are repaid or defeased. This land rent is a non-cancellable lease and will convert to Facility Rent when bonds are repaid.

The minimum future lease payments to be received under the above operating lease agreements as of June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 10,765,367
2017	12,461,273
2018	11,781,102
2019	11,872,943
2020	12,012,827
	<u>\$ 58,893,512</u>

The Airport Authority entered into a five-year lease agreement on January 9, 2009 with the San Diego World Trade Center (World Trade Center) for office space, with a fair market value of \$440,000. In lieu of rental payments, the Airport Authority received a 40 percent ownership of the World Trade Center license, which has a fair market value of \$440,000. The license, an intangible asset with no expiration date, is included in non-depreciable assets in Note 4. The Airport Authority recognized lease revenue of \$0 and \$86,996 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, under the World Trade Center lease.

Note 12. Lease Commitments

Operating Leases

General Dynamics lease: The Airport Authority is required, by legislation mandating the transfer of airport operations from the District, to lease from the District 89.75 acres of the former General Dynamics property on Pacific Highway adjacent to SDIA for 66 years commencing January 1, 2003. The lease agreement, as amended, calls for rent payments of \$6,750,000 annually through December 31, 2068. A portion of the land is leased back to the District for employee parking at the same fair market value rent paid by the Airport Authority.

SDIA lease: The Airport Authority is leasing from the District 480 acres of land on North Harbor Drive for an annual rent of \$1 per year under a lease that expires December 31, 2068.

Teledyne Ryan lease: The Airport Authority is leasing from the District 46.88 acres on North Harbor Drive referred to as the Teledyne Ryan lease that commenced on January 1, 2005 and expires December 31, 2068, for \$3 million in annual rent.

Under current law, in the event SDIA is relocated and the District leases are no longer used by the Airport Authority for airport purposes, all District leases will terminate and use of the property will revert to the District.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 12. Lease Commitments (Continued)

The future rental commitment under the above operating lease agreements as of June 30 are due as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 10,167,120
2017	10,167,120
2018	10,159,920
2019	10,159,920
2020	10,159,920
2021-2025	50,799,600
2026-2030	50,799,600
2031-2035	50,799,600
2036-2040	50,799,600
2041-2045	50,799,600
2046-2050	50,799,600
2051-2055	50,799,600
2056-2060	50,799,600
2061-2065	50,799,600
2066-2070	35,559,720
	<u>\$ 543,570,120</u>

The total rental expense charged to operations for the years ended June 30 consists of the following:

	2015	2014
Rental payments made	<u>\$ 10,433,251</u>	<u>\$ 10,478,262</u>

Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments: As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority had significant commitments for capital expenditures and other matters as described below:

- i. The Airport Authority has funds which have been classified as current assets, primarily for the unpaid contractual portion of capital projects that are currently in progress, and will not be funded by grants or additional debt, but will be funded through Airport Authority cash. These amounts are for the estimated cost of capital projects that have been authorized by the Board for construction planning to proceed and for the contractual costs of upgrading certain major equipment. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, these funds totaled approximately \$12.5 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, and are classified on the accompanying statements of net position as cash and investments designated for specific capital projects and other commitments.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

- ii. Support services — As part of the MOU, services provided by the District Harbor Police are required to be purchased by the Airport Authority as long as SDIA continues to operate at the current location. At the time of the transfer, the Airport Authority entered into a Master Services Agreement, a Police Services Agreement and a Communications Services Agreement with the District, which described the services that the Airport Authority could purchase and the manner of calculating the payments for such services. The largest amount that became payable under any of these agreements is under the Police Services Agreement, which is for Harbor Police services. The District provides monthly billings to the Airport Authority, with payment generally due 30 days after the date of the invoice, and provision of appropriate supporting documentation. During the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority expensed \$15,847,455 and \$16,577,044, respectively, for these services.
- iii. In fiscal year 2012, the Board approved two contracts with Ace Parking Management Inc., one for the parking management services in the amount of \$29.7 million and the second for the airport shuttle services in the amount of \$28.8 million. The total amounts spent as of June 30, 2015, were \$17.3 million for parking management services and \$22.6 million for airport shuttle services. These contracts are scheduled for completion in 2017. As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority's remaining commitment is approximately \$12.4 million for the parking management contract and \$6.23 million for the shuttle service contract.
- iv. In fiscal year 2014, the Board approved a contract with Austin-Sundt JV for the design and construction of the proposed Rental Car Center in the amount of \$14.0 million and additional approval of \$10.0 million. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved an additional \$223.9 million. As of June 30, 2015, \$189.7 million had been spent and the contract is due to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- v. In fiscal year 2013, the Board approved a contract with Demattei Wong Architecture in support of the Rental Car Center project in the amount of \$10.0 million and an additional approval of \$17.0 million. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved an additional \$5.0 million. As of June 30, 2015, \$19.2 million had been spent and the contract is due to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- vi. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$29.2 million contract with SP Plus Corporation to transport rental car companies' customers between the Rental Car Center facility and the terminals and includes the operation, management and maintenance of the shuttle vehicles.
- vii. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$5.7 million contract with Granite Construction Company for the construction of the Northside bypass Taxiway to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- viii. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$10.4 million contract with Orion Construction Corporation for the installation of the Northside utility storm drain trunk to provide required storm drainage infrastructure to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- ix. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$60.0 million contract with AECOM Technical Services, Inc. for project support for the development of the Northside solar projects to be completed in fiscal year 2018.
- x. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$5.5 million contract with Granite Construction Company for the construction of the Rental Car Center bus parking facility to be completed in fiscal year 2016.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Contingencies: As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority is subject to contingencies arising from legal matters as described below:

The Airport Authority has leases and operating agreements with various tenants. These agreements typically include provisions requiring the tenants/operators to indemnify the Airport Authority for any damage to property or losses to the Airport Authority as a result of the tenant's operations. Also, the leases and operating agreements typically require the Airport Authority to be named as an additional insured under certain insurance policies of the tenants/operators. The Airport Authority also tenders these claims to its own insurers once they become asserted claims. When these types of claims are asserted against the Airport Authority, the Airport Authority not only vigorously opposes them but also vigorously seeks contribution and/or indemnity from all tenants/operators involved, from the tenants'/operators' insurers and from its own insurers. The Airport Authority's legal counsel cannot predict the net exposure to the Airport Authority with respect to these matters, or the probability or remoteness of any outcome.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Schedule of pension funding progress for the Airport Authority is as follows (dollars in thousands) (GASB Statements No. 27 and 50)*:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)/(Asset) (Asset)	Funded Ratio	Annual Covered Payroll	UAAL/(Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
6/30/08	\$ 57,748	\$ 56,808	\$ (940)	101.7%	\$ 23,488	(4.0%)
6/30/09	58,981	67,871	8,890	86.9%	24,693	36.0%
6/30/10	73,401	76,447	3,046	96.0%	25,709	11.8%
6/30/11	86,309	84,042	(2,267)	102.7%	25,148	(9.0%)
6/30/12	95,793	97,225	1,432	98.5%	24,726	5.8%
6/30/13	107,616	115,200	7,584	93.4%	26,380	28.7%

*The fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 was not restated for the effects of GASB Statement No. 68.

Schedule of OPEB funding progress for the Airport Authority is as follows (dollars in thousands)*:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability AAL	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability UAAL	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percent of Covered Payroll	Interest Rate	Salary Scale
7/1/08	\$ -	\$ 10,327	\$ 10,327	0.0%	\$ 19,417	53.2%	7.75%	3.25%
7/1/09	2,674	12,206	9,532	21.9%	19,514	48.8%	7.75%	3.25%
7/1/10	4,474	14,149	9,675	31.6%	20,148	48.0%	7.75%	3.25%
7/1/11	7,604	22,197	14,593	34.3%	18,728	77.9%	7.60%	3.25%
7/1/12	7,604	22,197	14,593	34.3%	18,728	77.9%	7.61%	3.25%
7/1/13	12,667	31,553	18,886	40.1%	17,567	107.5%	7.36%	3.00%

* In accordance with GASB Statement No. 45, the Airport Authority has an actuarial valuation completed biennially.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Schedule of changes in the net pension liability (asset), last 10 fiscal years (GASB Statement No. 68):

	<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability:	
Service cost	\$ 6,099,481
Interest (includes interest on service cost)	8,465,485
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	<u>(2,913,221)</u>
Net change in total pension liability	11,651,745
Total pension liability - June 30, 2013	<u>115,200,048</u>
Total pension liability - June 30, 2014	<u>\$ 126,851,793</u>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position:	
Contributions - employer	\$ 5,670,847
Contributions - employee	1,019,220
Net investment income	18,302,683
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	(2,913,221)
Administrative expense	<u>(332,645)</u>
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	21,746,884
Plan fiduciary net position - June 30, 2013	<u>108,456,250</u>
Plan fiduciary net position - June 30, 2014	<u>\$ 130,203,134</u>
Net pension liability (asset) - June 30, 2014	\$ (3,351,341)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	102.64%
Covered employee payroll	\$ 26,380,323
Net pension liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll	(12.7%)

Note to schedule: This schedule is intended to display the most recent 10 years of data for annual changes in the net pension liability. Until such time has elapsed after implementing GASB Statement No. 68, this schedule will only present information from those years that are available.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Schedule of contributions, last 10 fiscal years (in thousands) (GASB Statement No. 68):

	2014	2013	2012	2011
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 2,900	\$ 2,600	\$ 3,800	\$ 4,300
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	3,728	2,600	3,800	4,300
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ (828)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 26,380	\$ 24,840	\$ 25,148	\$ 25,596
Contributions as a percentage of covered-payroll	14.13%	10.47%	15.11%	16.80%

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,200	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,879	\$ 2,312
7,600	3,035	2,520	2,962	3,300	7,625
\$ (4,600)	\$ (35)	\$ (320)	\$ (362)	\$ (421)	\$ (5,313)
\$ 24,693	\$ 23,488	\$ 21,957	\$ 19,116	\$ 17,609	\$ 15,606
30.78%	12.92%	11.48%	15.49%	18.74%	48.86%

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Single Audit Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2015
(With Independent Auditor's Report Thereon)



San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
June 30, 2015

Contents

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 1

**Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial
Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* 2**

**Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program;
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of
Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133 4**

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 7

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings 10

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Federal Grantor/Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Grant Number	Federal Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation - Federal Aviation Administration:</u>			
Direct Programs:			
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-60	\$ 1,468,992
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-65	7,486
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-67	5,469,307
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-69	2,084,583
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-70	1,524,464
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-71	5,755,842
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-72	1,948,009
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-73	848,660
Airport Improvement Program (AIP)	20.106*	3-06-0214-75	<u>2,527,485</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation - Federal Aviation Administration			<u>21,634,828</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Homeland Security</u>			
Direct Programs:			
TSA Law Enforcement Personnel Reimbursement Agreement	97.100	HSTS02-08-H-SLR254	291,960
ARRA - TSA Checked Baggage Screening Project	97.117*	HSTS04-10-H-REC118	<u>1,203,927</u>
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			<u>1,495,887</u>
Total Federal Awards Expended			<u><u>\$ 23,130,715</u></u>

* Denotes major program.

ARRA = American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

Notes to Schedule:

1. This schedule includes the federal awards activity of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements.
2. The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority provided no federal awards to subrecipients.

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority), which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2015, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Airport Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting (internal control). In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Airport Authority's internal control to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Airport Authority's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Airport Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport Authority's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Airport Authority's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

BKD, LLP

Dallas, Texas
November 4, 2015

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program;
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and
Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Required by OMB Circular A-133**

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the compliance of San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. The Airport Authority's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Airport Authority's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Airport Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Airport Authority's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Airport Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Airport Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Airport Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Airport Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 2015, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements and an emphasis of matter paragraph regarding a change in accounting principle. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

BKD, LLP

Dallas, Texas
November 4, 2015

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Summary of Auditor's Results

1. The opinion expressed in the independent auditor's report was:
 Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer

2. The independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting disclosed:
 Significant deficiency(ies)? Yes None reported
 Material weakness(es)? Yes No

3. Noncompliance considered material to the financial statements was disclosed by the audit? Yes No

4. The independent auditor's report on internal control over compliance for major federal awards programs disclosed:
 Significant deficiency(ies)? Yes None reported
 Material weakness(es)? Yes No

5. The opinion expressed in the independent auditor's report on compliance for major federal awards was:
 Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer

6. The audit disclosed findings required to be reported by OMB Circular A-133? Yes No

7. The Airport Authority's major program was:

Cluster/Program	CFDA Number
Airport Improvement Program	20.106
TSA Checked Baggage Screening Project	97.117

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2015

8. The threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs as those terms are defined in OMB Circular A-133 was \$693,921.
9. The Airport Authority qualified as a low-risk auditee as that term is defined in OMB Circular A-133? Yes No

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Findings Required to be Reported by *Government Auditing Standards*

Reference Number	Finding	Questioned Costs
-----------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------

No matters are reportable.

Findings Required to be Reported by OMB Circular A-133

Reference Number	Finding	Questioned Costs
-----------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------

No matters are reportable.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Reference Number	Summary of Finding	Status
-----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------

No matters are reportable.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Passenger Facility Charge Compliance Report

Year Ended June 30, 2015
(With Independent Auditor's Report Thereon)



San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Passenger Facility Charge Program
June 30, 2015

Contents

Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures	1
Note to Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures	2
Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for the Passenger Facility Charge Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance, and Report on Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures	3
Passenger Facility Charge Audit Summary	6
Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Program Findings and Questioned Costs	7
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	8

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Passenger Facility Charge Program
Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Revenues	Date Approved	Amount Approved For Use	Cumulative Total - June 30, 2014	Quarter Ended				Year Ended June 30, 2015	Cumulative Total - June 30, 2015
				September 30, 2014	December 31, 2014	March 31, 2015	June 30, 2015		
Passenger facility charge collections			\$ 531,614,961	\$ 9,581,429	\$ 8,872,908	\$ 8,906,188	\$ 8,919,083	\$ 36,279,608	\$ 567,894,569
Interest earned			<u>11,693,663</u>	<u>56,080</u>	<u>62,846</u>	<u>69,599</u>	<u>80,709</u>	<u>269,234</u>	<u>11,962,897</u>
Total passenger facility charge revenue received			<u>543,308,624</u>	<u>9,637,509</u>	<u>8,935,754</u>	<u>8,975,787</u>	<u>8,999,792</u>	<u>36,548,842</u>	<u>579,857,466</u>
Expenditures									
Application 95-01-C-04-SAN	7/26/1995	\$ 103,804,864	\$ 103,804,864	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103,804,864
Application 98-02-C-04-SAN	7/24/1998	45,496,665	45,496,665	-	-	-	-	-	45,496,665
Application 03-03-C-01-SAN	5/20/2003	65,058,035	65,058,035	-	-	-	-	-	65,058,035
Application 05-04-C-01-SAN	11/22/2005	44,822,518	44,822,518	-	-	-	-	-	44,822,518
Application 08-05-C-01-SAN	6/27/2008	19,031,690	19,031,690	-	-	-	-	-	19,031,690
Application 09-07-C-00-SAN	9/30/2009	85,181,950	79,489,990	-	-	-	-	-	79,489,990
Application 10-08-C-00-SAN	11/24/2010	1,118,567,229	108,576,090	7,556,055	7,827,829	7,556,055	7,556,055	30,495,994	139,072,084
Application 12-10-C-00-SAN	7/3/2012	<u>27,835,280</u>	<u>16,258,837</u>	<u>886,695</u>	<u>654,244</u>	<u>700,445</u>	<u>1,220,118</u>	<u>3,461,502</u>	<u>19,720,339</u>
Total passenger facility charge revenue expended		<u>\$ 1,509,798,231</u>	<u>\$ 482,538,689</u>	<u>\$ 8,442,750</u>	<u>\$ 8,482,073</u>	<u>\$ 8,256,500</u>	<u>\$ 8,776,173</u>	<u>\$ 33,957,496</u>	<u>\$ 516,496,185</u>

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Passenger Facility Charge Program
Notes to Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Note 1. General

This schedule includes the Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) Program activity of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority and is presented on the modified cash basis of accounting. Under the modified cash basis of accounting, PFC revenues are recognized when received rather than when earned and eligible expenditures are recognized when the related goods or services are provided or incurred. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the *Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies* issued by the Federal Aviation Administration. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

PFC expenditures may consist of direct project costs, administrative costs, debt service and bond financing costs, as applicable to active applications. The accompanying schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures includes eligible expenditures that have been applied against PFCs collected as of June 30, 2015.

Report on Compliance for the Passenger Facility Charge Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

Report on Compliance for Passenger Facility Charge Program

We have audited the compliance of San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies* (Guide) issued by the Federal Aviation Administration that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to its passenger facility charge program.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the compliance of the Airport Authority based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guide. Those standards and the Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Airport Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the passenger facility charge program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Airport Authority's compliance.

Opinion on Passenger Facility Charge Program

In our opinion, the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its passenger facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Airport Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Airport Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the passenger facility charge program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the passenger facility charge program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of the passenger facility charge program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guide. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Airport Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 2015, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements and an emphasis of matter paragraph regarding a change in accounting principle. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures is presented for purposes of additional analysis, as specified in the Guide, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Collections and Expenditures is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

BKD, LLP

Dallas, Texas
November 4, 2015

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Passenger Facility Charge Audit Summary

Year Ended June 30, 2015

Summary of Auditor's Results

- | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Type of report issued on PFC financial statements. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unmodified | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified | |
| 2. Type of report on PFC compliance. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unmodified | <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified | |
| 3. Quarterly revenue and expenditures reconcile with submitted quarterly reports and reported un-liquidated revenue matches actual amounts. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 4. PFC revenue and interest is accurately reported on FAA Form 5100-127. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 5. The Public Agency maintains a separate financial accounting record for each application. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 6. Funds disbursed were for PFC eligible items as identified in the FAA decision to pay only for the allowable costs of the project. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 7. Monthly carrier receipts were reconciled with quarterly carrier reports. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 8. PFC revenues were maintained in a separate interest-bearing capital account or commingled only with other interest-bearing airport capital funds. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 9. Serving carriers were notified of PFC program actions/changes approved by the FAA. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 10. Quarterly reports were transmitted (or available via website) to remitting carriers. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 11. The Public Agency is in compliance with Assurances 5, 6, 7 and 8. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 12. Project design and implementation is carried out in accordance with Assurance 9. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 13. Program administration is carried out in accordance with Assurance 10. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 14. For those public agencies with excess revenue, a plan for the use of this revenue has been submitted to the FAA for review and concurrence. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Schedule of Passenger Facility Charge Program
Findings and Questioned Costs
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Findings Required to be Reported by the Guide

Reference Number	Finding	Questioned Costs
-----------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------

No matters are reportable.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Reference Number	Summary of Finding	Status
-----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------

No matters are reportable.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Customer Facility Charge Compliance Report

Year Ended June 30, 2015
(With Independent Auditor's Report Thereon)



San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Customer Facility Charge Program
June 30, 2015

Contents

Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements..... 1

Notes to Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements 2

**Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for the Customer Facility
Charge Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance, and Report
on Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements..... 3**

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Customer Facility Charge Program
Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements
Year Ended June 30, 2015

Description	Beginning Balance, Unapplied CFC	CFC Revenues¹	Interest Earned	Disbursements	Ending Balance, Unapplied CFC²
Collections and disbursements, quarter ended September 30, 2014	\$ 41,652,322	\$ 7,954,938	\$ 49,238	\$ 7,954,938	\$ 41,701,560
Collections and disbursements, quarter ended December 31, 2014	41,701,560	8,404,513	43,710	8,404,513	41,745,270
Collections and disbursements, quarter ended March 31, 2015	41,745,270	7,712,129	43,839	7,712,129	41,789,109
Collections and disbursements, quarter ended June 30, 2015	41,789,109	7,936,165	44,517	7,936,165	41,833,626
		<u>\$ 32,007,745</u>	<u>\$ 181,304</u>	<u>\$ 32,007,745</u>	

¹ Customer Facility Charge (CFC) revenues (collections) are reported when the cash is received.

² Unapplied CFCs are collections that have not been applied to approved CFC projects.

See Notes to Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements.

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Customer Facility Charge Program

Notes to Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements Year Ended June 30, 2015

Note 1. General

In May 2009, Assembly Bill 491 of the 2001-2002 California Legislature (codified in California Civil Code Section 1936 et seq.) authorized the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) to impose a \$10 Customer Facility Charge (CFC) per contract on rental cars at the San Diego International Airport.

On October 4, 2012, the Airport Authority Board of Directors approved an alternative CFC rate modification from the \$10 CFC rate per contract to \$6.00 per day (up to a maximum of five days) to allow for the collection of sufficient CFC funds to cover the future costs of the anticipated consolidated rental car facility and centralized busing system. Effective January 1, 2014, the CFC fee increased from \$6.00 to \$7.50 per day up to a maximum of five days.

In accordance with the program, the CFC revenue must be used to pay allowable costs for approved capital projects. The Airport Authority is utilizing CFC revenue for the development of a consolidated rental car facility. The primary objectives of this project are to reduce vehicle traffic volume on terminal curb front and Harbor Drive, provide a long-term rental car facility and site for airport passengers and rental car concessionaires, and implement a common use busing system.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements includes the CFC activity of the Airport Authority and is presented on the modified cash basis of accounting. Under the modified cash basis of accounting, CFC revenues are recognized when received rather than when earned (collections) and eligible disbursements are recognized when the related goods or services are provided or incurred. The information in this schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis, as specified in California Civil Code Section 1936.

CFC disbursements may consist of direct project costs, administrative costs, debt service and related financing costs. The accompanying Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements includes the eligible disbursements that have been applied against CFCs collected as of June 30, 2015.

Report on Compliance for the Customer Facility Charge Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements

Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

Report on Compliance for Customer Facility Charge Program

We have audited the compliance of San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *California Civil Code Section 1936* (Code) that could have a direct and material effect on the customer facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to its customer facility charge program.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the compliance of the Airport Authority based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the Code. Those standards and the Code require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the customer facility charge program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Airport Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Code. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Airport Authority's compliance.

Opinion on Customer Facility Charge Program

In our opinion, the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its customer facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Airport Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Airport Authority's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the customer facility charge program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Code, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Airport Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the customer facility charge program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the customer facility charge program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of the customer facility charge program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the customer facility charge program. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Airport Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon, dated November 4, 2015, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements and an emphasis of matter paragraph regarding a change in accounting principle. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Customer Facility Charge (CFC) Collections and Disbursements is presented for purposes of additional analysis, as specified in Code, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Collections and Disbursements is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

BKD, LLP

Dallas, Texas
November 4, 2015

To the Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

As part of our audits of the financial statements and compliance of San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, we wish to communicate the following to you.

AUDIT SCOPE AND RESULTS

Auditor's Responsibility Under Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America and the Standards Applicable to Financial Audits Contained in Government Auditing Standards, Issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations; and the Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies (Guide) Issued by the Federal Aviation Administration

An audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133 and the Guide is designed to obtain reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance about the financial statements and about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements described in OMB Circular A-133 and the Guide that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program or passenger facility charge program occurred. In performing auditing procedures, we establish scopes of audit tests in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole. Our engagement does not include a detailed audit of every transaction. Our engagement letter more specifically describes our responsibilities.

These standards require communication of significant matters related to the financial statement and compliance audits that are relevant to the responsibilities of those charged with governance in overseeing the financial reporting process. Such matters are communicated in the remainder of this letter or have previously been communicated during other phases of the audit. The standards do not require the auditor to design procedures for the purpose of identifying other matters to be communicated with those charged with governance.

Audits of the financial statements and compliance do not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities. Our engagement letter more specifically describes your responsibilities.

Qualitative Aspects of Significant Accounting Policies and Practices

Significant Accounting Policies

The Airport Authority's significant accounting policies are described in *Note 1* of the comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR). Aside from the adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*, as amended, the Airport Authority's accounting policies did not change from the prior year.

Alternative Accounting Treatments

No matters are reportable.

Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of financial statement preparation by management, based on its judgments. The following areas involve significant areas of such estimates for which we are prepared to discuss management's estimation process and our procedures for testing the reasonableness of those estimates:

- Fair market value of investments
- Valuation allowance for various receivables
- Estimated useful lives used to depreciate capital assets
- Actuarial assumptions used to estimate the net pension liability/asset
- Actuarial assumptions used to estimate the net other postemployment benefits asset
- Pollution remediation obligations
- Litigation and other loss contingencies

Financial Statement Disclosures

The following areas involve particularly sensitive financial statement disclosures for which we are prepared to discuss the issues involved and related judgments made in formulating those disclosures:

- Cash, cash equivalents and investments
- Long-term liabilities
- Defined benefit plan, GASB 68 disclosures
- Other postemployment benefits
- Commitments and contingencies

Audit Adjustments

During the course of any audit, an auditor may propose adjustments to financial statement amounts. Management evaluates our proposals and records those adjustments which, in its judgment, are required to prevent the financial statements from being materially misstated. Some adjustments proposed were not recorded because their aggregate effect is not currently material; however, they involve areas in which adjustments in the future could be material, individually or in the aggregate.

Areas in which adjustments were proposed include:

Proposed Audit Adjustments Recorded

- Accrual of insurance recovery

Proposed Audit Adjustments Not Recorded

- None

Auditor's Judgments About the Quality of the Entity's Accounting Principles

No matters are reportable.

Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements

The audited financial statements are included in the Airport Authority's CAFR. As part of our procedures, we read the entire report to determine if financial information discussed in sections outside the financial statements materially contradicts the audited financial statements. If we identify any such matters, we bring them to management's attention and review subsequent revisions.

Significant Matter Discussed with Management

During the Audit Process

During the audit process, the following matter was a subject of significant assistance and correspondence with management:

- Implementation of GASB Statement No. 68

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

Our audit requires cooperative effort between management and the audit team. There were no difficulties encountered during the course of our audit. Management of the Airport Authority assisted with all audit requests in a timely manner and ensured our requests and questions of the San Diego County Employee Retirement System plan administrator and internal auditor were promptly addressed.

Other Material Written Communications

Listed below are other material written communications between management and us related to the audit:

- Management representation letter (*attached*)

OTHER MATTER

We observed the following matter related to ongoing standard setting by the GASB. This matter is offered as a constructive suggestion for the consideration of management as a part of the ongoing process of modifying and improving financial accounting and reporting in accordance with accounting standards generally accepted in the United States of America. We can discuss this matter further at your convenience and may provide assistance with implementation including initial and ongoing considerations.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (Statement)

This Statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, as amended, Statement No. 57, *OPEB Measurement by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans*, as they relate to governmental employers that that account for OPEB provided through trusts that meet certain criteria.

This statement includes guidance for accounting for participating employers in single-employer and multiple-employer OPEB plans. This statement requires governments providing OPEB plans to recognize their long-term obligation for OPEB benefits as a liability, to provide consistent and comprehensive guidance for all postemployment benefits, parallel to the standards of GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, Members of the Board and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BKD, LLP

November 4, 2015



November 4, 2015

BKD, LLP

Certified Public Accountants
14241 Dallas Parkway, Suite 1100
Dallas, Texas 95254

We are providing this letter in connection with your audits of our financial statements as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 and your audit of our compliance with requirements applicable to each of our major federal awards programs and our compliance with requirements of the passenger facility charge and customer facility charge programs as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015. We confirm that we are responsible for the fair presentation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We are also responsible for adopting sound accounting policies, establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, operations and compliance, and preventing and detecting fraud.

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the following:

1. We have fulfilled our responsibilities, as set out in the terms of our engagement letter dated May 18, 2015, for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
2. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
3. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

4. We have reviewed and approved a draft of the financial statements and related notes referred to above, which you prepared in connection with your audit of our financial statements. We acknowledge that we are responsible for the fair presentation of the financial statements and related notes.
5. We have provided you with:
 - (a) Access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters.
 - (b) Additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of the audit.
 - (c) Unrestricted access to persons within the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
 - (d) All minutes of meetings of the governing body held through the date of this letter.
 - (e) All significant contracts and grants.
6. All transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.
7. We have informed you of all current risks of a material amount that are not adequately prevented or detected by entity procedures with respect to:
 - (a) Misappropriation of assets.
 - (b) Misrepresented or misstated assets, liabilities or net position.
8. We have no knowledge of any known or suspected:
 - (a) Fraudulent financial reporting or misappropriation of assets involving management or employees who have significant roles in internal control.
 - (b) Fraudulent financial reporting or misappropriation of assets involving others that could have a material effect on the financial statements.
9. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the Airport Authority received in communications from employees, customers, regulators, suppliers or others.

10. We have disclosed to you the identity of the entity's related parties and all the related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware. Related party relationships and transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We understand that the term related party refers to an affiliate; management, and members of their immediate families, component units; and any other party with which the Airport Authority may deal if it can significantly influence, or be influenced by, the management or operating policies of the other. The term affiliate refers to a party that directly or indirectly controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with us.
11. Except as reflected in the financial statements, there are no:
 - (a) Plans or intentions that may materially affect carrying values or classifications of assets and liabilities.
 - (b) Material transactions omitted or improperly recorded in the financial statements.
 - (c) Material gain/loss contingencies requiring accrual or disclosure, including those arising from environmental remediation obligations.
 - (d) Events occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date through the date of this letter requiring adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements.
 - (e) Agreements to purchase assets previously sold.
 - (f) Restrictions on cash balances or compensating balance agreements.
 - (g) Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the Airport Authority is contingently liable.
12. We have disclosed to you all known instances of noncompliance or suspected noncompliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing financial statements.
13. We have disclosed to you all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements. The effects of all known actual or possible litigation and claims have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.


14. Adequate provisions and allowances have been accrued for any material losses from:
 - (a) Uncollectible receivables.
 - (b) Reducing obsolete or excess inventories to estimated net realizable value.
 - (c) Purchase commitments in excess of normal requirements or above prevailing market prices.
15. Except as disclosed in the financial statements, we have:
 - (a) Satisfactory title to all recorded assets, and they are not subject to any liens, pledges or other encumbrances.
 - (b) Complied with all aspects of contractual and grant agreements, for which noncompliance would materially affect the financial statements.
16. We have not been designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP or equivalent status) by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or other cognizant regulatory agency with authority to enforce environmental laws and regulations.
17. With regard to deposit and investment activities:
 - (a) All deposit, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements and investment transactions have been made in accordance with legal and contractual requirements.
 - (b) Disclosures of deposit and investment balances and risks in the financial statements are consistent with our understanding of the applicable laws regarding enforceability of any pledges of collateral.
 - (c) We understand that your audit does not represent an opinion regarding the enforceability of any collateral pledges.
18. We have provided the information necessary to determine the Airport Authority's restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments as such amounts are accurately reflected in the audited financial statements.
19. With respect to any nonattest services you have provided us during the year, including assistance in the preparation of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report:
 - (a) We have designated a qualified management-level individual to be responsible and accountable for overseeing the nonattest services.

- (b) We have established and monitored the performance of the nonattest services to ensure that they meet our objectives.
 - (c) We have made any and all decisions involving management functions with respect to the nonattest services and accept full responsibility for such decisions.
 - (d) We have evaluated the adequacy of the services performed and any findings that resulted.
- 20. We acknowledge that we are responsible for compliance with applicable laws, regulations and provisions of contracts and grant agreements.
 - 21. We have identified and disclosed to you all laws, regulations and provisions of contracts and grant agreements that have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts in our financial statements or other financial data significant to the audit objectives.
 - 22. We have identified and disclosed to you any violations or possible violations of laws, regulations and provisions of contracts and grant agreements whose effects should be considered for recognition and/or disclosure in the financial statements or for your reporting on noncompliance.
 - 23. We have taken or will take timely and appropriate steps to remedy any fraud, abuse, illegal acts or violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements that you or other auditors report.
 - 24. We have a process to track the status of audit findings and recommendations.
 - 25. We have identified to you any previous financial audits, attestation engagements, performance audits or other studies related to the objectives of your audit and the corrective actions taken to address any significant findings and recommendations made in such audits, attestation engagements or other studies.
 - 26. We have provided our views on any findings, conclusions and recommendations, as well as our planned corrective actions with respect thereto, to you for inclusion in the findings and recommendations referred to in your report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on your audit of the financial statements performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

27. With regard to federal awards, passenger facility charge and customer facility charge programs:
- (a) We have identified in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards all assistance provided (either directly or passed through other entities) by federal agencies in the form of grants, contracts, loans, loan guarantees, property, cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, commodities, insurance, direct appropriations or in any other form.
 - (b) We have identified the types of compliance requirements described in the *U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* regarding activities allowed or unallowed; allowable costs/cost principles; cash management; Davis-Bacon Act; eligibility; equipment and real property management; matching, level of effort, earmarking; period of availability of federal funds; procurement and suspension and debarment; program income; real property acquisition and relocation assistance; reporting; subrecipient monitoring; and special tests and provisions that are applicable to each of our federal awards programs. We have identified to you our interpretation of any applicable compliance requirements subject to varying interpretations. We have also identified all compliance requirements of the passenger facility charge and customer facility charge programs.
 - (c) We are responsible to understand and comply with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of our federal awards programs and have disclosed to you any and all instances of noncompliance with those requirements occurring during the period of your audit or subsequent thereto to the date of this letter of which we are aware. We believe the Airport Authority has complied with all applicable compliance requirements.
 - (d) We are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance to provide reasonable assurance we have administered each of our federal awards programs, passenger facility charge program and customer facility charge program in compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to those programs.
 - (e) We have made available to you all contracts and grant agreements, including any amendments, and any other correspondence or documentation relevant to each of our federal awards, passenger facility charge and customer facility charge programs and to our compliance with applicable requirements of those programs.
 - (f) The information presented in federal awards program financial reports and claims for reimbursements is supported by the books and records from which our financial statements have been prepared.

- (g) The reports provided to you related to federal awards programs are true copies of reports submitted or electronically transmitted to the federal awarding agency or the applicable payment system .
 - (h) Amounts claimed or used for matching were determined in accordance with the applicable OMB Circular regarding cost principles.
 - (i) We have disclosed to you any communications from grantors and pass-through entities concerning possible noncompliance with the applicable compliance requirements for each of our federal awards programs, including any communications received from the end of the period of your audit through the date of this letter.
 - (j) We have identified to you any previous compliance audits, attestation engagements and internal or external monitoring related to the objectives of your compliance audit, including findings received and corrective actions taken to address any significant findings and recommendations made in such audits, attestation engagements or other monitoring.
28. The financial statements disclose all significant estimates and material concentrations known to us. Significant estimates are estimates at the balance sheet date which could change materially within the next year. Concentrations refer to volumes of business, revenues, available sources of supply, or markets for which events could occur which would significantly disrupt normal finances within the next year. Significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.
29. The supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, consisting of management's discussion and analysis, pension and other postemployment benefit information, has been prepared and is measured and presented in conformity with the applicable GASB pronouncements, and we acknowledge our responsibility for the information. The information contained therein is based on all facts, decisions and conditions currently known to us and is measured using the same methods and assumptions as were used in the preparation of the financial statements. We believe the significant assumptions underlying the measurement and/or presentation of the information are reasonable and appropriate. There has been no change from the preceding period in the methods of measurement and presentation.
30. With regard to the supplementary information outlined above and the schedule of expenditure of federal awards, schedule of passenger facility charge collections and expenditures and schedule of customer facility charge collections and disbursements:
- (a) We acknowledge our responsibility for the presentation of the supplementary information in accordance with the applicable criteria.

- (b) We believe the supplementary information is fairly presented, both in form and content, in accordance with the applicable criteria.
- (c) Except for the new required supplementary information that has been included upon adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information are unchanged from those used in the prior period..
- (d) We believe the significant assumptions or interpretations underlying the measurement and/or presentation of the supplementary information are reasonable and appropriate.
- (e) If the supplementary information is not presented with the audited financial statements, we acknowledge we will make the audited financial statements readily available to intended users of the supplementary information no later than the date such information and the related auditor's report are issued.



Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO



Scott Brickner, Vice President Finance &
Asset Management/Treasurer



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
6

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Reject the Claim of Elizabeth Delgado

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0128, rejecting the Claim of Elizabeth Delgado.

Background/Justification:

On November 20, 2015, Elizabeth Delgado filed a claim ("Attachment A") with the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Authority") alleging that her daughter, Christina Reyes, sustained injury to her finger as a result of getting it caught in the escalator in Terminal Two at San Diego International Airport. Delgado claims damages in an unknown amount to cover the cost of a flight change.

On November 18, 2015, Delgado and Reyes arrived at San Diego International Airport from Denver. As they rode the escalator down to baggage claim Delgado got her fingers caught in the grooves of the escalator step.

Delgado's claim should be denied. An investigation into the incident revealed no dangerous or unsafe condition. The Harbor Police report taken at the time revealed Christina sat down on the escalator step as they descended. A security guard was able to stop the escalator before Reyes and Delgado reached the bottom. Though her fingers appeared red and swollen to the responding officer, Reyes would not permit the medic to examine her hand. The escalator was inspected by maintenance and returned to service without issue.

Fiscal Impact:

Not Applicable.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §15065.

- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not Applicable.

Prepared by:

SUZIE JOHNSON
GENERAL COUNSEL

ATTACHMENT A

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
ACCIDENT OR DAMAGE CLAIM FORM

Please complete all sections.
Incomplete submittals will be returned, unprocessed.
Use a typewriter or print in ink.

CLAIM FORM SUBMITTED MUST HAVE AN ORIGINAL "WET" SIGNATURE

FOR AUTHORITY CLERK USE ONLY	
Document No.:	CL-256
Filed:	11-20-15
SDCRAA NOV 20 2015	
Corporate & Information Governance	

1) Claimant Name: Elizabeth Delgado	
2) Address to which correspondence regarding this claim should be sent: 2418 Calle Tres Lomas San Diego CA 92139	
Telephone No.:	(619) 777-3858
Date:	11/20/2015
3) Date and time of incident: 11/18/15	
4) Location of incident: San Diego Airport terminal 2	
5) Description of incident resulting in claim: Upon arrival from Denver Co. My 4 year old daughter got her hand caught in the escalator resulting in a fractured middle finger	
6) Name(s) of the Authority employee(s) causing the injury, damage or loss, if known:	
7) Persons having firsthand knowledge of incident:	
Witness (es)	Physician(s):
Name: Araceli Mundo	Name:
Address: 2118 Ocean View Blvd San Diego CA 92113	Address:
Phone: (619) 871-3443	Phone:

ATTACHMENT A

8) Describe property damage or personal injury claimed:

fractured middle finger left hand

9) Owner and location of damaged property or name/address of person injured:


10301 West Hampton Ave Building 7 Apt 301
Denver Co. 80227

10) Detailed list and amount of damages claimed as of date of presentation of claim, including prospective damages. If amount exceeds \$10,000.00, a specific amount need not be included.

Requesting flight change as my daughter must see a bone specialist and needs a follow up appointment before going home to Denver.

Dated: 11/20/15

Claimant:


(Signature)

Notice to Claimant:

Where space is insufficient, please use additional paper and identify information by proper section number.

Mail completed original form to:

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
Tony Russell, Director, Corporate & Information Governance/Authority Clerk
Corporate & Information Governance
P.O. Box 82776
San Diego, CA 92138-2776

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0128

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE
SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT
AUTHORITY REJECTING THE CLAIM OF
ELIZABETH DELGADO

WHEREAS, on November 20, 2015, Elizabeth Delgado filed a claim with the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority for injuries she claims her daughter suffered as the result of getting her hand caught in the escalator in Terminal Two at San Diego International Airport on November 18, 2015; and

WHEREAS, at its regular meeting on December 17, 2015, the Board considered the claim filed by Elizabeth Delgado and the report submitted to the Board, and found that the claim should be rejected.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board rejects the claim of Elizabeth Delgado; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the Board finds that this action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code § 21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code § 30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at its regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE /
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL



**SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT**

**Item No.
8**

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Review of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Recommendation:

The Audit Committee recommends that the Board accept the information.

Background/Justification:

A Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) is a set of required U.S. government financial statements that encompass the financial report of a state, municipal, or other governmental entity and conform to the accounting requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

The CAFR provides a measure of financial transparency on local and state government spending. It is a more thorough report when compared to the audited financial statements, and includes three major sections: the introductory section, which provides general information on the Airport's organization structure; the financial section, which includes the Airport's audited financial statements; and the statistical section, which provides data trends.

The Airport Authority CAFR for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015, was submitted as Attachment A during the December 7, 2015, Special Audit Committee Meeting.

The Audit Committee voted unanimously to forward this informational item to the Board, with the condition of the correction of the parenthetical references to (Note 9) and (Note 10) on page 29 of the CAFR, titled "Note 1 – Nature of Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)". Staff was advised by BKD, LLP to remove the references all together.

Fiscal Impact:

Adequate funding for the audit conducted by BKD, LLP, is included in the adopted Fiscal Year 2016 and the conceptually approved Fiscal Year 2017 Operating Expense Budgets within the Accounting Department Services – Other line item.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.

B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not applicable

Prepared by:

MARK A. BURCHYETT
CHIEF AUDITOR

SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

COMPREHENSIVE
ANNUAL
FINANCIAL REPORT

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 & 2014

PREPARED BY

Finance Division of the
San Diego County
Regional Airport Authority

San Diego, CA

Scott Brickner
Vice President,
CFO/Treasurer Finance and Asset Management

Kathryn J. Kiefer
Sr. Director, Finance and Asset Management



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 & 2014**

INTRODUCTORY SECTION (UNAUDITED)

Authority Overview	v
Letter of Transmittal	vi-xiii
GFOA Certificate of Achievement For Excellence in Financial Reporting	xv
Authority Board Members and Executive Staff	xvi
Authority Organization Chart	xvii

FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	2-3
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Unaudited)	5-16
Basic Financial Statements: Statements of Net Position	18-23
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	21-22
Statements of Cash Flows	23-24
Notes to Financial Statements	25-61
Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited)	62-64

STATISTICAL SECTION (UNAUDITED)

Authority Operating Revenues and Expenses	68
Authority Net Position by Component	68
Authority Change in Net Position	69
Authority Largest Sources of Revenues	70-71
Authority Landing Fee Rate	70
Terminal Rates Billed to Airlines	71
Airline Cost per Enplaned Passenger	72
Authority Employee Headcount	73
Aircraft Operations	74
Aircraft Landed Weights	75
Aircraft Landed Weights by Airline	76-77
Passenger Enplanements	78
Enplanement Market Share by Airline by Fiscal Year	80-81
Growth in Enplaned Passengers, SDIA vs. US	82
Capital Assets	83
Population and Per Capita Personal Income - San Diego County	84
Principal Employers in San Diego County	85
San Diego County Employment by Industry Sector	86
Labor Force, Employment and Unemployment Rates	87
Debt Service Coverage	88-89
Debt Service Coverage - Series 2014 CFC Bonds	90
Debt Per Enplaned Passenger	91

An aerial photograph showing a vast, undulating sea of white, fluffy clouds that stretch to the horizon. The sky above is a soft gradient of orange and yellow, suggesting a sunset or sunrise. The overall mood is serene and expansive.

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

AUTHORITY OVERVIEW

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

GFOA CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING

AUTHORITY BOARD MEMBERS AND EXECUTIVE STAFF

AUTHORITY ORGANIZATION CHART



AUTHORITY **OVERVIEW**

THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY WAS ESTABLISHED BY STATE LAW IN 2002 TO OPERATE SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND ADDRESS THE REGION'S LONG-TERM AIR TRANSPORTATION NEEDS. A 12-MEMBER APPOINTED BOARD REPRESENTING ALL AREAS OF THE COUNTY GOVERNS THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY.



SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

P.O. BOX 82776, SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-2776
619.400.2400 WWW.SAN.ORG

To the Public:

November 4, 2015

The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (the “CAFR”) of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (“SDCRAA” or the “Airport Authority”) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 is submitted herewith. The Airport Authority’s Accounting Department prepared this report. Responsibility for the accuracy, completeness and fairness of the presented data, including all disclosures, rests with the Airport Authority. To the best of our knowledge and belief, this report fairly presents and fully discloses the Airport Authority’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (referred to as “GAAP”).

GAAP requires that management provide a narrative overview and analysis to accompany the financial statements in the form of a Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section. This letter of transmittal should be read in conjunction with the MD&A, which can be found immediately following the report of the independent auditors in the Financial Section of this report.

PROFILE OF AIRPORT AUTHORITY AND ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The Airport Authority was established pursuant to California State Act AB 93 (the “Act”), which was signed into California State law in October 2001. The Act established the Airport Authority, effective January 1, 2002, as a local agency of regional government with jurisdiction throughout the County of San Diego (the “County”). The Airport Authority is vested with five principal responsibilities: (1) the operation of San Diego International Airport (“SDIA” or the “Airport”), (2) the planning and operation of any future airport that could be developed as a supplement to or replacement for SDIA, (3) the development of a comprehensive land use plan for the entire County, (4) to serve as the region’s Airport Land Use Commission, and (5) to prepare a Regional Aviation Strategic Plan.

The Airport Authority is governed by an appointed Board of Directors of nine members representing all areas of San Diego County and three additional members serving as non-voting, ex-officio Board members. Three Board members serve as the Executive Committee consisting of one Board member from each of the following “defined jurisdictions”: the City of San Diego, the County of San Diego, and one Board member from among the east county cities, south county cities or north county inland cities. The Board members serve three-year terms.

The management and operations of the Airport Authority are carried out by a staff headed by the President/Chief Executive Officer, who is appointed by and reports directly to the Airport Authority Board of Directors.

ECONOMIC CONDITION

The Air Trade Area for the airport includes the County and portions of neighboring Orange and Riverside Counties and Baja California del Norte, Mexico. The California Department of Finance estimates that as of January 1, 2015, San Diego County is the second most populous county in California, just behind Los Angeles County, and the fifth largest county in the United States, with a population of 3.2 million. The County's population has grown at an average rate of 0.9 percent in the past five years. The majority of the County's population is concentrated in the western portion. The largest cities in the County are San Diego (42 percent), Chula Vista (8 percent), Oceanside (5 percent), Escondido (5 percent), Carlsbad (3 percent), El Cajon (3 percent), Vista (3 percent), San Marcos (3 percent) and Encinitas (2 percent). The combined San Diego/Tijuana metropolitan population exceeds five million inhabitants.

Typically, San Diego County has enjoyed a stable economic climate, with unemployment rates lower than the State of California's. The economy continues to improve as seen

in decreased unemployment. In June 2015, the County's unemployment rate dropped to 5.0 percent compared to June 2014, at 6.1 percent. This compares with an unemployment rate of 6.2 percent in 2015 and 7.4 percent in 2014 for California, and 5.3 percent as of June 2015 compared to 6.1 percent for the nation as of June 2014. The region's economy is diversified and provides an attractive mix of leisure, business, and governmental sectors. The County is home to more than 120 publicly traded companies.

Enplaned passengers grew 6.9 percent in fiscal year 2015, reflecting continuing economic improvement. Total enplaned passengers were 9.71 million, compared to 9.08 million in fiscal year 2014. See the Management's Discussion and Analysis section of the Financial Section of this report for further discussion of the current year activity.



MAJOR INITIATIVES, AWARDS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

NEW FIXED BASED OPERATOR (FBO) FACILITY GOES INTO OPERATION AT SAN

Landmark Aviation partnered with the Airport Authority on a new general aviation facility at 3300 Admiral Boland Way. This FBO campus, which will achieve LEED Platinum certification, features a 19,000 square foot terminal, a 250,000 square foot ramp and five hangars on 12.4 acres.

Its amenities include office space, a snooze room, VIP lounge, fitness center, viewing deck, gourmet café, and an executive conference room. Landmark began operating out of its new home on August 1, 2014.

NORTH SIDE DEVELOPMENT CONTINUES

Construction continues at SAN with improvements on the north side of the airport. Major ongoing projects include the construction of the Rental Car Center (RCC), the RCC's shuttle bus operations and storage facility, and the final phase of the Admiral Boland Way roadway improvements. These projects will help maximize the airport's efficiency and make the most of the airport's 661-acre footprint.

In late 2013, construction began in earnest on the RCC, which will house 10 rental car companies representing 16 brands in a single building, including the leading national brands, as well as local, independent and small business rental car companies. This will dramatically reduce the number of shuttle buses circulating around the airport, from over 80 buses down to just 16. The new RCC shuttles will operate on alternative fuel. The RCC is being constructed by Austin | Sundt Joint Venture and is scheduled to open in January 2016.

Details are as follows:

- Admiral Boland Way street dedication ceremony was held on June 3, 2015. Admiral Boland Way is an on-airport public access roadway serving the north side development and providing a shuttle bus-only connection from the north side to Harbor Drive.
- The RCC "topping out" ceremony was held on June 16, 2015. All concrete and structural steel work has been completed and all exterior precast architectural panels have been placed.
- A robust small business outreach program is under way for the RCC project. To date, \$206 million in contracts have been awarded to local businesses, with \$69 million going to small businesses.

In total, the Airport Authority's North Side Development Program is expected to create approximately 6,000 jobs during construction and award more than \$470 million in contracts.



SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT SERVES RECORD NUMBER OF PASSENGERS IN CALENDAR YEAR 2014

In January 2015, San Diego International Airport (SAN) announced that it had served a record 18.8 million passengers in calendar year 2014, an increase of 5.9 percent over 2013's total of 17.7 million. The previous record was set in 2007, when SAN served 18.3 million passengers.

SAN also set new records for international passengers and total departing passengers in 2014. SAN served 672,972 international passengers that year, a 7.2 percent increase over 2013, and the third consecutive year SAN had a record-breaking increase in this category.

In another important category, SAN set a record for total enplanements, or departing passengers, in 2014 with 9,383,793; a 2.3 percent increase over the previous record set in 2007.

The record number of passengers is attributed to a number of factors, including:

- Increase in the number of nonstop flights,
- New routes and destinations,
- Enhancements of Airport and airline capacity,
- Steady improvement in the economy.



MAJOR INITIATIVES, AWARDS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

SAN WINS RECYCLER OF THE YEAR AWARD

On April 23, 2015, the Airport Authority received a Recycler of the Year award from the City of San Diego's Environmental Services Department. The event was the 23rd Annual Waste Reduction and Recycling Awards Ceremony, recognizing local businesses and organizations that have implemented or expanded innovative and successful waste reduction, recycling and recycled product purchasing programs.

This was the eleventh time that San Diego International Airport (SAN) was honored by the City of San Diego with a Recycler of the Year award, having received the award every year since 2003, except for 2005 and 2006. The Airport Authority received this year's award for continuing to improve the waste reduction and recycling program at

SAN in an effort to model best practices for airports across the country and other organizations locally.

The Airport Authority's award-winning waste diversion practices in 2014 focused on four major enhancements: 1) the enlargement of the food composting program to include every food and beverage concession area at the airport, 2) the Green Build Terminal Expansion officially receiving LEED Platinum Certification from the U.S. Green Building Council, 3) the recovery and recycling of air conditioning condensate water, and 4) the maturation of the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Program.

SAN LAUDED FOR SUSTAINABILITY EFFORTS

In recognition of its comprehensive efforts in the areas of energy efficiency, water conservation, environmental protection and waste management, the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority was named "Sustainability Champion of the Year" by the North San Diego Business Chamber.

The Airport Authority received the honor at a ceremony on June 13. The award honors "an individual or business that has shown a commitment to sustainable, green living in one of the following areas: promoting education and training; advocating green lawmaking and policies at the legislative level; engaging in green practices within their own organization; creating, sustaining, or promoting programs in response to environmental issues; developing, implementing or sponsoring green events to get the community involved," according to the chamber.

Here are some examples of the Airport Authority's sustainability efforts at San Diego International Airport (SAN):

- **Airside Lighting** – SAN is one of the first airports to use long-lasting, energy-saving LED lighting for all of its airfield guidance signs, taxiway edge lights and runway guard lights.

- **Photovoltaics** – Installation of a 3.3-megawatt photovoltaic (PV) solar system on the rooftop of Terminal 2 West and in a section of the Terminal 2 parking lot, due to be completed by the end of the year, will provide the airport with 5.3 million kilowatt-hours of electricity – enough power to offset a projected 10-13% of the energy needs for Terminals 1 and 2.
- **AC condensate collection** – Collection of condensate – water created by condensation – from air conditioning units installed under passenger boarding bridges began in 2014. The new collection system recovered and reused more than 5,225 gallons of AC condensate last year. In 2015, the first full year of the program, SAN hopes to collect between 420,000 and 840,000 gallons of condensate, about equal to the amount of water used by five typical households in a year, according to the U.S. EPA.
- **NTC landfill remediation** – The Airport Authority performed an innovative clean closure of a former military landfill. The \$45 million remediation project removed 42 tons of waste from the site and surpassed all federal environmental standards, providing the additional acreage to build a much-needed aircraft parking area.

COMMUTER TERMINAL AIRLINE OPERATIONS MOVE TO TERMINALS 1 AND 2

On June 4, 2015, all Commuter Terminal aircraft operations were successfully relocated to gates in Terminals 1 and 2. Airlines that provide service to Los Angeles from the Commuter Terminal are replacing smaller aircraft with larger, more efficient planes. To better accommodate these larger aircraft and streamline the passenger experience, all flights were relocated to the main terminals where passengers on flights that previously used the Commuter Terminal now have the opportunity to

experience all of the new dining and shopping options, and other amenities offered at Terminals 1 and 2.

The Commuter Terminal will continue to serve as the administrative offices for the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority. Future plans for the building will be determined as part of the Airport Authority's Airport Development Plan, which is currently being formulated.

SAN UNVEILS LARGEST-EVER PUBLIC ART EXHIBITION CELEBRATING BALBOA PARK CENTENNIAL

San Diego International Airport in March unveiled Balboa Park & the City: Celebrating San Diego's Panama-California Exposition; the largest and most ambitious year-long exhibition offered by the airport's Art Program.

Intended to support and enhance the City of San Diego's year-long Balboa Park Centennial celebration, the airport-wide exhibition includes original artwork and historic images, collectibles, and artifacts that bring the 1915 Panama-California Exposition to life.

The exhibition includes historic items, replicas and images from seven local institutions and collectors, including: City

of San Diego, Parks & Recreation Department; San Diego History Center; the Committee of 100; David Marshall, AIA; and Sandor W. Shapery, Shapery Enterprises.

In addition to temporary installations and rotating exhibitions, the Airport Art Program includes public art and performing arts components, aimed at engaging travelers and creating an ambiance unique to San Diego. For more information about the Airport Art Program, visit www.san.org/Airport-Art.



ADDITIONAL AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Airport Authority has been the recipient of numerous awards. A few of the recognitions presented during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 were as follows:

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (“GFOA”) Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting –

This recognition is for the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. This was the twelfth year in a row that the Airport Authority received this award. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. This report must satisfy both GAAP and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current Comprehensive Annual Financial Report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program’s requirements, and we will submit it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

The GFOA Distinguished Budget Presentation Award –

The achievement of this award is based on a governmental entity’s preparation and issuance of budget documents of the very highest quality that reflect both the guidelines established by the National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting and the GFOA’s recommended practices on budgeting. This was the tenth year in a row that the Airport Authority received this award.

Airport Authority Receives Achievement of Excellence in Procurement Award –

The Achievement of Excellence in Procurement Award is designated to recognize organizational excellence within Procurement. The continuously evolving criteria measures innovation, ethics, electronic commerce, leadership, trends and best practices. The Airport Authority was one of 28 special districts in the United States and Canada to receive the award. The team also received special recognition for innovation. This is the sixth year that the Authority has received this award.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Airport Authority Board (Board) sets policy that provides for appropriate internal controls and provides oversight to ensure that the assets of the Airport Authority are protected from loss, theft or misuse, and to ensure that adequate accounting data is compiled to allow for preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Internal controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute assurance that these objectives are met. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that the cost of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived, and the valuation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management.

The Airport Authority completed fiscal year 2015 with operating income (before depreciation and amortization) of \$70.3 million. Fiscal year 2015 also grew as compared to fiscal year 2014, with enplanements increasing 6.9 percent, total passengers increasing 7.0 percent, and freight and mail tons increasing 8.3 percent. The accompanying Management’s Discussion and Analysis provides a detailed narrative overview.

BUDGET PROCESS AND FINANCIAL PLAN

Annually, the Airport Authority prepares three budgets. The three budgets are, a five year capital improvement program budget, operating budget for the fiscal year and conceptual budget for the next year. The budget process begins in the fall with senior management collaborating with the Board to update, review and formulate the strategies and initiatives that drive business performance. The management team engages in cross-functional discussions to arrive at key decisions and agreements. The effort is designed to align divisional requirements with the Airport Authority's overall strategies and initiatives.

The capital improvement program provides critical improvements and asset preservation. The security, environmental remediation, terminal upgrades and development are the main focus of the capital improvement program.

To ensure that the budget and the financial plan is funded adequately and to maintain the Airport Authority's strong financial condition, the Financial Management team prepares a revenue budget that incorporates budget expenditure requests into the rate setting formula to determine projected rates, fees and charges to the airlines and other tenants.

The financial plan includes the operating budget, the next year conceptual operating budget and the capital improvement program. Other major factors affecting the Airport Authority's financial plan include the airline operating agreement, master and subordinate bond indentures, Memorandum of Understanding with the California Attorney General, and various levels of federal funding.

INDEPENDENT AUDIT

The financial records of the Airport Authority are audited annually by independent public accountants. BKD, LLP performed the audit for the current fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. Its report on the financial statements is presented in the Financial Section of this report.

The preparation of the CAFR was made possible by the dedicated service and efforts of the Airport Authority's Accounting Department. We sincerely appreciate everyone's efforts in preparing this report.

Respectfully Submitted,



Thella F. Bowens
President | Chief Executive Officer



Scott Brickner, CPA
Vice President Finance & Asset Management | Treasurer





LET THERE BE **LIGHT**

LAST FISCAL YEAR, SAN LAUNCHED AN AMBITIOUS PLAN TO REDUCE ITS CARBON FOOTPRINT BY INSTALLING PHOTOVOLTAIC PANELS CAPABLE OF GENERATING MORE THAN 5 MEGAWATTS OF SOLAR ENERGY. THESE UNITS ARE PROJECTED TO OFFSET ABOUT 10 PERCENT OF ANNUAL ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION.

**GFOA CERTIFICATE
OF ACHIEVEMENT**

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (California) for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. This is the twelfth consecutive year that the Airport Authority has achieved this prestigious award.

In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficient-

ly organized Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current Comprehensive Annual Financial Report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.



Government Finance Officers Association

**Certificate of
Achievement
for Excellence
in Financial
Reporting**

Presented to

**San Diego County
Regional Airport Authority
California**

For its Comprehensive Annual
Financial Report
for the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2014

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading 'Jeffrey R. Emer'.

Executive Director/CEO

AUTHORITY BOARD MEMBERS & EXECUTIVE STAFF

As of June 30, 2015

AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

ROBERT H. GLEASON, CHAIR
PAUL ROBINSON, VICE CHAIR
LLOYD B. HUBBS

GENERAL MEMBERS

DAVID ALVAREZ
C. APRIL BOLING
GREG COX
JIM DESMOND
JIM JANNEY
MARY SESSOM

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS


LAURIE BERMAN
COLONEL JOHN FARNAM
ERAINA ORTEGA

EXECUTIVE STAFF

THELLA F. BOWENS | PRESIDENT/CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
SCOTT BRICKNER | VICE PRESIDENT, CFO/TREASURER, FINANCE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT
ANGELA SHAFER-PAYNE | VICE PRESIDENT, OPERATIONS DIVISION
JEFFREY WOODSON | VICE PRESIDENT, DEVELOPMENT DIVISION
MARK BURCHYETT | CHIEF AUDITOR
BRETON K. LOBNER | GENERAL COUNSEL
HAMPTON BROWN | DIRECTOR, AIR SERVICE DEVELOPMENT
MATT HARRIS | SENIOR DIRECTOR, ASSETS AND ALLIANCES
JEFFREY LINDEMAN | SENIOR DIRECTOR, ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE & DEVELOPMENT
DIANA LUCERO | DIRECTOR, VISION, VOICE AND ENGAGEMENT
TONY RUSSELL | DIRECTOR/AUTHORITY CLERK, CORPORATE AND INFORMATION GOVERNANCE



ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



The dotted line relationship indicates the appointed Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Liaison Officer designated from Procurement & Small Business Development Department reports directly to the Authority CEO. Boxes in grey reside in the Executive Division



FINANCIAL SECTION

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

- STATEMENTS OF NET POSITION
- STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES
AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION
- STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
- NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
- REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
San Diego, CA

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority), which are comprised of a statement of net position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and of cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
(CONT.)

To the Members of the Board
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Airport Authority as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, in 2015, the Airport Authority changed its method of accounting for pensions with the adoption of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*, as amended. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and pension and other postemployment benefit information listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements as a whole. The Introductory and Statistical Sections listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

BKD, LLP

Dallas, Texas
November 4, 2015



NUEVO DESTINATIONS

IN FISCAL YEAR 2015, SAN DIEGO
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT OPENED
SERVICE TO BAJA CALIFORNIA, WITH
COMMERCIAL AIRLINE SERVICE FROM
THE UNITED STATES TO SAN FELIPE
VIA SEAPORT AIRLINES.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

For the period July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

INTRODUCTION

The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Airport Authority) was established on January 1, 2002, as an independent agency. On January 1, 2003, the operations and assets of San Diego International Airport (SDIA) transferred from the San Diego Unified Port District (District) to the Airport Authority.

The Airport Authority is a self-sustaining entity receiving most of its revenues through user fees and rents from airline and non-airline business partners operating at SDIA. Since the Airport Authority is not funded by tax revenues, accounts are maintained in an enterprise fund on the accrual basis of accounting. Under accrual accounting, revenues are recognized as soon as they are earned, and expenses are recognized as soon as a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash inflows and outflows. Users of SDIA's facilities provide most of the revenues to operate, maintain, and acquire necessary services and facilities.

SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT HISTORY OF OWNERSHIP

The public policy decision to transfer responsibility for SDIA from the District to the newly created Airport Authority emanated from recommendations made by the San Diego Regional Efficiency Commission (Commission). The Commission was established to evaluate regional governance in San Diego County and report recommended improvement measures to the California State Legislature.

Because of the significant regional consequences of airport development and operations, the Commission concluded that a regional decision-making process should address the future development of airport facilities in San Diego County. In October 2001, the enabling legislation, Assembly Bill 93 (AB 93) established the composition and jurisdiction of the Airport Authority's governing body in a manner that is designed to reflect the collective interests of the entire San Diego region.

LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

AB 93 was signed into California State law in October 2001. The Act established the Airport Authority on January 1, 2002, as a local agency of regional government with jurisdiction throughout the County of San Diego. Subsequent legislative changes to AB 93 were introduced and passed in California Senate Bill 1896 (Act). The amendment addresses several points pertaining to the transfer of aviation employees, date of transfer, property leases, property acquisition and purchase of services from the District.

On January 1, 2008, Senate Bill 10 (SB 10), the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Reform Act, was enacted into law expanding the responsibilities of the Airport Authority. The Airport Authority is vested with five principal responsibilities:

- (1) Operation of SDIA;
- (2) Planning and operation of any future airport that could be developed as a supplement or replacement to SDIA;
- (3) Development of comprehensive airport land use plans for the airports in the county;
- (4) Serving as the region's Airport Land Use Commission; and
- (5) In accordance with SB 10, preparing a Regional Aviation Strategic Plan (completed in fiscal year 2011).

In August 2013, Assembly Bill 1058 was signed into law. This bill made minor clarifying and technical changes to the Airport Authority Act.

AIRPORT ACTIVITIES HIGHLIGHTS (2013 - 2015)

The Airport Authority experienced growth in all areas during the current and prior two fiscal years. This followed the trend seen at many commercial airports reflecting the gradual improvements in the economy.

The changes in the SDIA's major activities for the three years are as follows:

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Enplaned passengers	8,737,617	9,082,244	9,713,066
% increase	1.9%	3.9%	6.9%
Total passengers	17,440,968	18,145,130	19,409,683
% increase	1.8%	4.0%	7.0%
Aircraft operations	188,304	187,790	195,268
% increase	0.0%	(0.3%)	4.0%
Freight and mail (in tons)	157,025	164,966	178,615
% increase	18.5%	5.1%	8.3%
Landed weight (in thousands)	11,016	11,187	11,535
% increase	1.8%	1.6%	3.1%

Overall, the improving economy is having a positive effect on aircraft operations at SDIA. There was a significant increase in enplaned passengers in fiscal year 2015 with a 6.9 percent increase in enplanements. Also, total

passengers increased by 7.0 percent and freight and mail tons increased 8.3 percent. Overall, it appears the improving economy continues to have a positive effect on aircraft operations at SDIA.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION (IN THOUSANDS)

The metric 'Changes in Net Position' is an indicator of whether the Airport Authority's overall financial condition has improved or deteriorated during the fiscal year. Net position increased by 10.0 percent in 2013 and decreased

slightly in 2014, followed by 2.2 percent increase in 2015. Following is a summary of the statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position (in thousands):

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Operating revenues	\$ 177,498	\$ 195,737	\$ 210,505
Operating expenses	(168,420)	(214,026)	(217,808)
Nonoperating revenues, net	41,020	14,318	20,255
Capital contributions and grants	16,077	3,924	10,765
Increase (decrease) in net position	66,175	(47)	23,717
Net position, beginning of year	660,889	727,064	727,017
Prior-period adjustment GASB 68	-	-	(7,993)
Net position, end of year	\$ 727,064	\$ 727,017	\$ 742,741

Detailed descriptions of the components of operating revenues and expenses, and nonoperating revenues and expenses are described in the sections that follow. See Note 7 for further explanation of the GASB 68 implementation and prior-period adjustment.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Operating Revenues (in thousands)

	FY 2014	FY 2015	From 2014 to 2015	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Airline revenue:				
Landing fees	\$ 19,107	\$ 21,390	\$ 2,283	11.9%
Aircraft parking fees	2,503	2,716	213	8.5%
Building rentals	46,001	48,153	2,152	4.7%
Security surcharge	25,777	25,180	(597)	(2.3%)
Other aviation revenue	4,488	4,893	405	9.0%
Total airline revenue	97,876	102,332	4,456	4.6%
Non-airline terminal rent	1,158	1,505	347	30.0%
Concession revenue	47,770	52,496	4,726	9.9%
Parking and ground transportation revenue	38,959	41,633	2,674	6.9%
Ground rentals	8,445	11,568	3,123	37.0%
Other operating revenue	1,529	971	(558)	(36.5%)
Total operating revenue	\$ 195,737	\$ 210,505	\$ 14,768	7.5%

	FY 2013	FY 2014	From 2013 to 2014	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Airline revenue:				
Landing fees	\$ 19,658	\$ 19,107	\$ (551)	(2.8%)
Aircraft parking fees	3,191	2,503	(688)	(21.6%)
Building rentals	41,840	46,001	4,161	9.9%
Security surcharge	23,360	25,777	2,417	10.3%
Other aviation revenue	1,591	4,488	2,897	182.1%
Total airline revenue	89,640	97,876	8,236	9.2%
Non-airline terminal rent	972	1,158	186	19.1%
Concession revenue	42,041	47,770	5,729	13.6%
Parking and ground transportation revenue	35,750	38,959	3,209	9.0%
Ground rentals	8,190	8,445	255	3.1%
Other operating revenue	905	1,529	624	69.0%
Total operating revenue	\$ 177,498	\$ 195,737	\$ 18,239	10.3%



**OPERATING REVENUES
(CONTINUED)**


Fiscal year 2015 compared to 2014:

Total airline revenues increased by \$4.5 million or 4.6 percent, primarily reflecting an increased cost recovery for the airlines which was higher in fiscal year 2015, compared to 2014. Landing fees increased by \$2.3 million or 11.9 percent and aircraft parking fees increased by \$213 thousand or 8.5 percent, both due to increased airfield costs. Building rentals increased by \$2.2 million or 4.7 percent reflecting a recovery of higher service debt, maintenance, and operating costs for the new and existing facility. The increase in other aviation revenue of \$405 thousand reflects an increased volume in fuel delivered by the Fixed Base Operator. Offsetting the airline revenue was a decrease in the security surcharge of \$597 thousand, primarily reflecting a decrease of airline security charges. Concession revenue increased by \$4.7 million or 9.9 percent, mainly due to a full year of the expanded concession development program. Parking and ground transportation revenue increased by \$2.7 million or 6.9 percent, primarily due to a full year of expanded parking capacity after the Green Build completion and increased enplanements. Ground rentals revenue increased \$3.1 million or 37 percent, primarily due to rent from the new Fixed Base Operator facility which opened in the summer of 2014. Non-airline terminal rent increased by \$347 thousand, due to renewed leases with a higher rental rate. Offsetting the non-airline revenue was a decrease in other operating revenue of \$558 thousand, primarily due to lower miscellaneous one-time charges.

Fiscal year 2014 compared to 2013

Total airline revenues increased by \$8.2 million or 9.2 percent, primarily reflecting the cost recovery system for the airlines which was higher in fiscal year 2014, compared to 2013. Building rentals increased due to the implementation of the new airline use and lease agreement and the additional costs incurred by the fiscal year 2014 grand opening of the airport expansion. Security surcharge revenue increased due to additional costs of services and expanded facilities. Combined in other aviation revenue, common use system support charges were implemented in fiscal year 2014. Offsetting the airline revenue were decreased landing fees due to lower maintenance costs and decreased aircraft parking fees due to vacant parking positions. Concession revenue increased by \$5.7 million or 13.6 percent due to the new expanded concession program and the airport expansion. Parking and ground transportation revenue increased \$3.2 million and 9.0 percent due to the reopening of Terminal 2 parking lot in front of the new expanded terminal and the increased enplanements.

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015
OPERATING REVENUES**



OPERATING EXPENSES
(IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 2014	FY 2015	From 2014 to 2015	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Salaries and benefits	\$ 39,135	\$ 39,212	\$ 77	0.2%
Contractual services	31,559	32,422	863	2.7%
Safety and security	24,151	23,466	(685)	(2.8%)
Space rental	10,478	10,433	(45)	(0.4%)
Utilities	8,680	10,152	1,472	17.0%
Maintenance	13,982	14,516	534	3.8%
Equipment and systems	643	1,805	1,162	180.7%
Materials and supplies	440	519	79	18.0%
Insurance	988	1,145	157	15.9%
Employee development and support	1,171	1,136	(35)	(3.0%)
Business development	2,661	2,493	(168)	(6.3%)
Equipment rentals and repairs	2,932	2,951	19	0.6%
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	136,820	140,250	3,430	2.5%
Depreciation and amortization	77,205	77,559	354	0.5%
Total operating expense	\$ 214,025	\$ 217,809	3,784	1.8%

	FY 2013	FY 2014	From 2013 to 2014	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Salaries and benefits	\$ 38,092	\$ 39,135	\$ 1,043	2.7%
Contractual services	29,284	31,559	2,275	7.8%
Safety and security	23,994	24,151	157	0.7%
Space rental	10,897	10,478	(419)	(3.8%)
Utilities	6,659	8,680	2,021	30.3%
Maintenance	11,204	13,982	2,778	24.8%
Equipment and systems	469	643	174	37.1%
Materials and supplies	406	440	34	8.4%
Insurance	795	988	193	24.3%
Employee development and support	1,235	1,171	(64)	(5.2%)
Business development	2,444	2,661	217	8.9%
Equipment rentals and repairs	1,317	2,932	1,615	122.6%
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	126,796	136,820	10,024	7.9%
Depreciation and amortization	41,624	77,205	35,581	85.5%
Total operating expense	\$ 168,420	\$ 214,025	\$ 45,605	27.1%

Fiscal year 2015 compared to 2014:

Total fiscal year 2015 operating expenses increased by \$3.8 million or 1.8 percent. Salaries and benefits had a slight increase of \$77 thousand or 0.2 percent, mostly due to planned wage and benefit increases. Contractual services increased by \$863 thousand or 2.7 percent, resulting from increased cleaning costs of the new terminal facilities and increased aviation planning costs. Utilities increased by \$1.5 million or 17.0 percent, reflecting a full year of the Green Build expansion. Maintenance increased by \$534 thousand or 3.8 percent, reflecting increased operating and maintenance costs of new facilities. Equipment and systems increased by \$1.2 million or 180.7 percent, reflecting increased purchases of non-capital equipment. Materials and supplies increased

by \$79 thousand or 18.0 percent, reflecting additional safety equipment and operating supplies. Insurance increased by \$157 thousand or 15.9 percent, largely due to higher property insurance premiums and equipment rentals and repairs had a slight increase.

Offsetting this increase in operating expenses were the following decreases: safety and security of \$685 thousand, due to lower City of San Diego Aircraft Rescue Fire Fighting (AARF) costs; space rental of \$45 thousand due to a lease cancellation; a minor reduction in employee development and support: business development of \$168 thousand or 6.3 percent, reflecting a reduction of international and domestic air services marketing costs.

**OPERATING EXPENSES
(CONTINUED)**


Fiscal year 2014 compared to 2013:

Total fiscal year 2014 operating expenses increased by \$45.6 million, or 27.1 percent. The primary increase was due to the full year's depreciation of the terminal expansion that was partially placed in service in fiscal year 2013 and continued to increase as the expansion was completed in fiscal year 2014, \$35.6 million or 85.5 percent. Additionally contributing to the increase were the increased salaries and benefits of \$1.0 million, primarily resulting from increased head count due to expansion, salary increases and higher costs for medical benefits. There were also increased contractual services of \$2.2 million, primarily resulting from increased shuttles and parking contract costs; safety and security increased \$157 thousand due to greater usage from the terminal expansion; utilities increased \$2.0 million due to increased rates and usage; maintenance increased by \$2.8 million,

reflecting costs of airfield repairs, elevator and escalator repairs and runway restriping. Additional support was provided to a new common use passenger processing system, contributing to the \$174 thousand increase. Insurance increased by \$193 thousand, business development increased \$217 thousand and equipment rental and repairs increased \$1.6 million due to amortization of new system warranties on baggage handling systems and common use passenger systems.

Offsetting this increase were the following decreases: space rental of \$419 thousand reflecting the cancellation of an employee parking lease, and other minor reductions in employee development and support.

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015
OPERATING EXPENSES**



NON-OPERATING
REVENUES & EXPENSES
(IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 2014	FY 2015	From 2014 to 2015	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Passenger facility charges	\$ 35,770	\$ 38,517	\$ 2,747	7.7%
Customer facility charges	27,545	32,465	4,920	17.9%
Quieter Home Program, net	(2,750)	(2,811)	(61)	2.2%
Joint studies program	(152)	(145)	7	(4.6%)
Interest income	5,211	5,747	536	10.3%
Interest expense, net	(51,740)	(54,885)	(3,145)	(6.1%)
Other nonoperating income (expenses)	434	1,367	933	(215.0%)
Nonoperating revenues, net	\$ 14,318	\$ 20,255	\$ 5,937	41.5%

	FY 2013	FY 2014	From 2013 to 2014	
			Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Passenger facility charges	\$ 35,438	\$ 35,770	\$ 332	0.9%
Customer facility charges	19,117	27,545	8,428	44.1%
Quieter Home Program, net	(1,589)	(2,750)	(1,161)	(73.1%)
Joint studies program	(55)	(152)	(97)	(176.4%)
Interest income	4,140	5,211	1,071	25.9%
Interest expense, net	(11,752)	(51,740)	(39,988)	(340.3%)
Other nonoperating income (expenses)	(4,279)	434	4,713	110.1%
Nonoperating revenues, net	\$ 41,020	\$ 14,318	\$ (26,702)	(65.1%)

PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGES (PFCS)

were established by Congress in 1990 as part of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990. The Airport Authority collects a \$4.50 PFC from revenue enplaned passengers to pay for the cost to design and construct eligible Airport capital projects or to repay debt service issued to build such projects. PFCs are collected by the air carriers when passengers purchase their tickets and are remitted to the Airport Authority the month following collection less a \$0.11 administration fee.

CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGES (CFCS)

are authorized under Section 1936 of the California Civil Code and approved by legislation under Senate Bill 1510. The Airport Authority began collecting a \$10 per contract CFC on rental cars in May 2009. The revenues collected are being used to plan and construct a consolidated rental

car facility and related ground transportation system. The rental car agencies remit to the Airport Authority collection of the fee monthly. In November 2012, the rate of \$10 per contract was changed to \$6.00 per day up to five days. The fee was again increased in January 2014, to \$7.50 per day up to five days. The fee is scheduled to increase to \$9.00 per day in January 2017.

QUIETER HOME PROGRAM

includes sound attenuation construction improvements at all eligible single-family and multifamily dwellings with six or fewer units located in the Year 2000 65 dB Community Noise Equivalent Level contour. The project is eligible for the Airport Improvement Program (AIP). From inception to fiscal year 2015, the Airport Authority has spent \$177.8 million and received reimbursement for \$144.8 million.

NON-OPERATING REVENUES & EXPENSES (IN THOUSANDS)

INTEREST INCOME

is derived from interest earned by the Airport Authority on investments, commercial paper reserves, bond reserves and notes receivable from the District.

INTEREST EXPENSE

includes interest paid and accrued on the 2010, 2013 and 2014 Series Bonds, the Revolving Line of Credit Series A, B and C, and Commercial Paper Series A, B and C. This is netted with the capitalization of bond interest to the construction in progress assets that the debt finances. The capitalized interest in fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$9.0 million and \$7.0 million, respectively. Also included in interest expense is the Series C Bonds that were issued as Build America Bonds and include a cash subsidy from the U.S. Treasury equal to 31.96 percent of the interest payable. During fiscal year 2013 the 35 percent subsidy ended due to the federal government's sequestration measures and was replaced with a reduced rate of 31.96 percent. The interest subsidy for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$4.6 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

OTHER NONOPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE)

includes proceeds and expenses for legal settlements, gain (loss) on the sale of assets, unrealized gain (loss) on investments, and other miscellaneous revenue and expenses.

Fiscal year 2015 compared to 2014: Nonoperating revenues (net) increased by \$5.9 million or 41.5 percent. Passenger facility charges increased by \$2.7 million or 7.7 percent, due to a 6.9 percent increase in enplaned passengers. Customer facility charges increased by \$4.9 million or 17.9 percent, due to a full year at the higher CFC collection rate. Interest income increased by \$536 thousand or 10.3 percent, primarily due to higher investment interest income. Other nonoperating income increased by \$933 thousand or 215.0 percent, primarily due to

unrealized gains on sale of investments. There was also a slight decrease in Joint Studies Program program expense as well.

Offsetting the nonoperating income was a slightly higher net expense in the Quieter Home Program, reflecting increased program activity. An increase in net interest expense increase of \$3.1 million or 6.1 percent was primarily due to a full year of interest expense paid on the 2014 Series bond.

Fiscal year 2014 compared to 2013: Nonoperating revenues (net) decreased by \$26.7 million or 65.1 percent. This is primarily due to the \$40 million increased interest expense that no longer was capitalized in fiscal year 2014 due to the completion of the Green Build assets and the additional 2014 Series bond debt. Additionally, there was a net \$1.1 million in reduced Quieter Home Program.

Offsetting the decrease was the \$8.4 million in customer facility charges due to the January 1, 2014 increase from \$6.00 to \$7.50 per day per transaction up to five days. Other nonoperating income increased by \$4.7 million due to fiscal year 2013 unrealized investment losses of \$2.3 million and loss on disposal of assets, \$2.3 million, due to the Green Build expansion and replacement of assets.

CAPITAL GRANT CONTRIBUTIONS

are comprised of AIP entitlement and discretionary grants through the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and other Federal and state organizations. These funds are recognized as revenue as the work is completed on the eligible projects. Variances relate to the amount of work completed on eligible projects during the fiscal year.

ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION

The statements of net position present the financial position of the Airport Authority as of a period in time. The statements include all assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows and net position of the Airport Authority.

A summary comparison of the Airport Authority's assets, liabilities and net position at June 30, 2013, 2014 and 2015 is as follows:

	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Assets			
Current assets	\$ 224,303	\$ 214,853	\$ 204,491
Capital assets, net	1,178,144	1,310,973	1,486,710
Noncurrent assets	528,336	695,698	540,472
Total assets	1,930,783	2,221,524	2,231,673
Deferred outflows of resources	4,397	758	5,853
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 1,935,180	\$ 2,222,282	\$ 2,237,526
Liabilities			
Current liabilities	\$ 121,384	\$ 119,088	\$ 131,457
Long-term liabilities	1,086,732	1,376,177	1,355,160
Total liabilities	1,208,116	1,495,265	1,486,617
Deferred inflows of resources	-	-	8,168
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	\$ 1,208,116	\$ 1,495,265	\$ 1,494,785
Net Position			
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 359,640	\$ 312,780	\$ 316,251
Restricted	167,384	204,643	215,968
Unrestricted	200,040	209,594	210,522
Total net position	727,064	727,017	742,741
Total liabilities and net position	\$ 1,935,180	\$ 2,222,282	\$ 2,237,526

As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority's assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities by \$742.7 million. This reflects a \$23.9 million increase in net position from June 30, 2014 and a prior period adjustment of \$8.0 million due to GASB 68. The largest portion of the Airport Authority's net position represents its net investment in capital assets. The Airport Authority uses these capital assets to provide services to its passengers and other users of SDIA; consequently, these assets cannot be sold or otherwise liquidated. Although the Airport Authority's investment in its capital assets is reported net of

related debt, it is noted that the funds required to repay this debt must be provided annually from operations. The unrestricted net position of \$210.5 million as of June 30, 2015, \$209.6 million in 2014, and \$200.0 million as of 2013 may be used to meet any of the Airport Authority's ongoing obligations. As of June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, management has designated unrestricted funds in the amount of \$22.6 million, \$17.1 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, for capital contract commitments funded by Airport Authority cash, earthquake insurance and operating contingency.


CAPITAL ASSET & CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The capital program at SDIA consists of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and the Green Build. The CIP is a rolling five-year program that provides critical improvements and asset preservation. The program includes capital improvement projects that address federal security requirements, airfield security, environmental remediation, terminal upgrades and development. Funding sources for the projects include the Federal Aviation Administration's Airport Improvement Program, Transportation Security Agency grants, Passenger Facility Charges, Customer Facility Charges, airport operating revenues, airport revenue bonds, special

facility bonds and short-term borrowing using commercial paper/revolving line of credit.

In February 2014, \$305 million of Senior Special Facilities Revenue Bonds were issued to be used for the Rental Car Center scheduled for completion January 2016. The current CIP, which includes projects through 2020, consists of \$117.4 million for airside projects, \$552.0 million for landside projects, \$124.0 million for terminal projects, and \$21.9 million for administrative projects. The current SDIA CIP does not include noise reduction and related projects.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) PROJECTS BY TYPE



Additional information of the Airport Authority's capital assets can be found in Note 4 to the financial statements.

CAPITAL FINANCING AND DEBT MANAGEMENT

On October 5, 2010, the Airport Authority issued \$572.6 million of Subordinate Airport Revenue Bonds Series 2010 A, B and C (Series 2010 Bonds). The bonds are rated A/A2/A by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings, respectively. The Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds through and including January 1, 2013, refund \$142.2 million of the Airport Authority's outstanding commercial paper notes,

fund the subordinate bond reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds.

The Series 2010 A and B bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2012 to 2041. The Series 2010 C Bonds were issued as Build America Bonds and include a cash subsidy payment from the U. S. Treasury; currently, 32.45 percent of interest payable. The interest rate on the Series 2010 C Bonds,

CAPITAL FINANCING AND DEBT MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

net of subsidy, is 4.48 percent and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2041.

The Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable from and secured by (a) a pledge of subordinate net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system and all amounts necessary to pay debt service on and fund the reserves for the senior bonds; and (b) certain funds and accounts held by the subordinate trustee under the subordinate indenture. The Subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued with a pledge of and lien on subordinate net revenues on parity with the Airport Authority's subordinate commercial paper notes. In addition, the Airport Authority has irrevocably committed a portion of the PFCs; it has received and expects to receive through 2016. The amounts of irrevocably committed PFCs are \$19.2 million annually for fiscal years 2014 through 2016. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds was \$564.1 million.

On January 30 2013, the Airport Authority issued \$379.6 million of Senior Airport Revenue Bonds Series 2013 A and B (Series 2013 Bonds). The Series 2013 Bonds are rated A+/A1/A+ by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings, respectively. The Senior Series 2013 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the senior Series 2013 Bonds through and including July 1, 2015, fund the senior reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Senior Series 2013 Bonds.

The Series 2013 Bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 3.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2016 to 2044. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$55.9 million, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the Senior Series 2013 Bonds is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Interest expense for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 amounted to \$18.5 million, including accrued interest of \$9.2 million. The principal balance on the Series 2013 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$379.6 million.

The Senior Series 2013 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by

(a) a pledge of net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system (b) certain funds and accounts held by the senior trustee under the senior indenture.

As senior lien bonds, the Series 2013 Bonds require that charges for services be set each fiscal year at rates sufficient to produce pledged revenues at least 125 percent times the senior debt service for that year. In addition, the Series 2013 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a reserve account with the bond trustee and to reserve certain amounts in the Airport Authority's books, as shown in Note 2.

On February 1, 2014, the Airport Authority issued \$305,285,000 of Series A and B Senior Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2014 Bonds). The Series 2014 Bonds were issued to finance a portion of the costs of the development and construction of a consolidated rental car facility and related improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Series 2014 Bonds through January 1, 2016, fund deposits to the senior reserve fund, the rolling coverage fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2014 Bonds.

The Series 2014 A Bonds were structured as tax-exempt non-AMT term bonds that bear interest at 5.00 percent. The Series 2014 B Bonds were structured as federally taxable bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.54 percent to 5.59 percent.

The Series 2014 Bonds are special limited obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Trust Estate, which includes, among other things, Customer Facility Charges collected from the rental car companies operating at the Airport and remitted to the Trustee. No revenues of the Airport Authority other than the Customer Facility Charges and the Bond Funding Supplemental Consideration (as defined in the Indenture), are pledged to the payment of the Series 2014 Bonds.

On September 5, 2014, the Airport Authority replaced the commercial paper program with a \$125,000,000 revolving line of credit, issued by US Bank, which was used to refund the outstanding Series B and Series C commercial paper balances. The revolving line of credit is a three year facility. As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority's outstanding

CAPITAL FINANCING AND DEBT MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)


debt under this agreement consists of \$17.9 million of Series B (AMT) and \$20.8 million Series C (taxable).

The revolving line of credit is payable solely from and secured by a pledge of subordinate net revenues. Subordinate net revenues are generally defined as all revenues and other cash receipts of the Airport Authority's airport operations remaining after Senior Lien payments have been deposited by the Trustee in accordance with the Senior Lien Trust Indenture.

Additional information of the Airport Authority's long-term debt can be found in Note 5 to the financial statements.

The SDIA's PFC program was established in 1994, and currently authorizes the imposition of a \$4.50 fee on enplaning passengers. There are currently three active applications which provide authority to impose and use PFC revenue through November 1, 2037.

FAA entitlement and discretionary grants are awarded on a federal fiscal year running October 1 through September 30. The Airport Authority has received approximately \$17.9 million in grant awards for the federal fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, as compared to \$18.0 million for 2014. Grant awards are recognized as nonoperating revenue or capital contributions as eligible expenses are incurred.



REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Airport Authority's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or request for additional information should be addressed

in writing to the Accounting Department, P.O. Box 82776, San Diego, CA 92138. The Accounting Department can also be reached at (619) 400-2807. A copy of the financial report is available at www.san.org.

RECORD ENPLANEMENTS

SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
(SAN) SERVED A RECORD 18.8 MILLION
PASSENGERS IN CALENDAR YEAR 2014.

THAT'S AN AVERAGE OF MORE THAN
51,000 A DAY.



BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

Statements of Net Position June 30, 2015 and 2014

Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources	2015	2014
Current Assets		
Unrestricted:		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 7,873,038	\$ 26,510,543
Investments (Note 2)	76,065,212	70,752,557
Tenant lease receivables, net	9,162,322	9,112,683
Grants receivable	10,909,798	5,937,346
Note receivable, current portion (Note 3)	1,608,986	1,528,512
Other current assets	3,954,115	4,265,960
Total unrestricted current assets	109,573,471	118,107,601
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments with trustees (Notes 2 and 5)	94,917,215	96,745,172
Total current assets	204,490,686	214,852,773
Noncurrent Assets		
Restricted assets (Notes 2 and 5):		
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments not with trustees	166,050,576	161,369,744
Restricted investments with trustees	219,382,771	406,579,651
Passenger facility charges receivable (Note 1)	6,303,994	4,066,248
Customer facility charges receivable (Note 1)	3,851,910	3,394,812
Other restricted assets	4,273,584	4,908,711
Total restricted assets	399,862,835	580,319,166
Other noncurrent assets:		
Investments, noncurrent (Note 2)	77,419,978	52,455,753
Note receivable, long-term portion (Note 3)	36,749,270	38,358,256
Cash and cash equivalents designated for specific capital projects and other commitments (Notes 2 and 12)	22,588,383	17,144,996
Net pension asset (Notes 6)*	-	6,919,775
Net pension asset (Notes 7)**	3,351,341	-
Workers' compensation security deposits	500,367	500,367
Total other noncurrent assets	140,609,339	115,379,147
Capital assets (Note 4):		
Land, land improvements and nondepreciable assets	72,563,518	71,081,846
Buildings and structures	1,115,452,539	1,026,068,015
Machinery and equipment	53,700,294	51,618,837
Runways, roads and parking lots	590,459,084	568,935,877
Construction in progress	387,054,944	250,103,154
	2,219,230,379	1,967,807,729
Less accumulated depreciation	(732,520,841)	(656,835,195)
Capital assets, net	1,486,709,538	1,310,972,534
Total noncurrent assets	2,027,181,712	2,006,670,847
Total assets	2,231,672,398	2,221,523,620
Deferred outflows of resources		
Deferred loss on debt refunding	-	758,047
Deferred pension contributions	5,852,753	-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 2,237,525,151	\$ 2,222,281,667

*As calculated under GASB Statement No. 27

**As calculated under GASB Statement No. 68

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Net Position

	2015	2014
Current Liabilities		
Payable from unrestricted assets:		
Accounts payable	\$ 9,483,545	\$ 12,690,539
Accrued liabilities	23,747,096	5,365,100
Compensated absences, current portion (Note 5)	2,652,960	2,659,580
Other current liabilities	401,644	1,447,098
Long-term debt, current portion (Note 5)	254,259	180,559
Total payable from unrestricted assets	36,539,504	22,342,876
Payable from restricted assets:		
Accounts payable	19,342,618	18,451,369
Accrued liabilities	31,729,043	41,420,014
Long-term debt, current portion (Note 5)	10,695,000	5,785,000
Accrued interest on bonds and commercial paper (Note 5)	33,150,554	31,088,789
Total payable from restricted assets	94,917,215	96,745,172
Total current liabilities	131,456,719	119,088,048
Long-Term Liabilities		
Compensated absences, net of current portion (Note 5)	523,551	435,105
Other noncurrent liabilities	1,124,560	1,115,109
Long-term debt, net of current portion (Note 5)	1,353,512,025	1,374,626,959
Total long-term liabilities	1,355,160,136	1,376,177,173
Total liabilities	1,486,616,855	1,495,265,221
Deferred inflows of resources		
Deferred pension investment gains	8,167,978	-
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	\$ 1,494,784,833	\$ 1,495,265,221
Net Position		
Net investment in capital assets (Note 1)	316,249,853	312,780,398
Restricted:		
Debt Service	79,488,690	73,153,425
Construction	115,338,776	110,194,470
Operation and maintenance expenses	12,866,926	12,385,784
Small business bond guarantee	4,000,000	4,000,000
OCIP loss reserve	4,273,585	4,908,711
Total restricted net position	215,967,977	204,642,390
Unrestricted net position	210,522,488	209,593,658
Total net position	\$ 742,740,318	\$ 727,016,446

*As calculated under GASB Statement No. 27

**As calculated under GASB Statement No. 68

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SAN DIEGO COUNTY

REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

**Statements of Net Position
June 30, 2015 and 2014**

**Statements of Revenues,
Expenses and Changes in Net Position**

June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
Operating revenues:		
Airline revenue:		
Landing fees	\$ 21,390,056	\$ 19,107,258
Aircraft parking fees	2,715,854	2,503,180
Building rentals (Note 11)	48,152,670	46,001,154
Security surcharge	25,179,679	25,776,517
Other aviation revenue	4,892,840	4,488,115
Concession revenue	52,496,390	47,769,865
Parking and ground transportation revenue	41,632,530	38,959,020
Ground and non-airline terminal rentals (Note 11)	13,074,453	9,602,842
Other operating revenue	970,775	1,528,886
Total operating revenues	210,505,247	195,736,837
Operating expenses:		
Salaries and benefits (Notes 6, 7, 8 and 9)	39,211,536	39,135,299
Contractual services (Note 13)	32,422,054	31,559,247
Safety and security	23,464,483	24,150,563
Space rental (Note 12)	10,433,251	10,478,262
Utilities	10,151,922	8,680,410
Maintenance	14,515,949	13,981,689
Equipment and systems	1,805,203	643,225
Materials and supplies	519,220	440,007
Insurance	1,145,376	988,382
Employee development and support	1,136,077	1,170,551
Business development	2,493,402	2,661,224
Equipment rentals and repairs	2,951,408	2,931,796
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	140,249,881	136,820,655
Income from operations before depreciation and amortization	70,255,366	58,916,182
Depreciation and amortization	77,558,961	77,205,256
Operating loss	(7,303,595)	(18,289,074)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(Continued)




**Statements of Revenues,
Expenses and Changes in Net Position**

June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):		
Passenger facility charges	\$ 38,517,355	\$ 35,769,515
Customer facility charges	32,464,843	27,545,001
Quieter Home Program grant revenue (Note 1)	12,073,809	12,373,861
Quieter Home Program expenses (Note 1)	(14,885,182)	(15,124,141)
Joint Studies Program	(144,780)	(151,855)
Interest income	5,747,325	5,210,853
Interest expense (Note 5)	(59,516,437)	(56,375,726)
Build America Bonds subsidy (Note 5)	4,631,219	4,636,215
Other revenues (expenses), net	1,367,095	434,097
Nonoperating revenue, net	20,255,247	14,317,820
Income (loss) before capital contributions and grants	12,951,652	(3,971,254)
Capital contributions and grants (Note 1)	10,764,946	3,924,332
Change in net position	23,716,598	(46,922)
Net position, as previously reported*	727,016,446	727,063,368
Prior-period adjustment	(7,992,726)	-
Net position, beginning of year	719,023,720	-
Net position, end of year	\$ 742,740,318	\$ 727,016,446

*Net position as of July 1, 2014 was restated for the effects of GASB Statement No. 68; refer to Note 1.

See Notes to Financial Statements.



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

**Statements of Cash Flows
June 30, 2015 and 2014**

	2015	2014
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Receipts from customers	\$ 212,946,236	\$ 193,452,612
Payments to suppliers	(92,486,794)	(90,999,011)
Payments to employees	(38,904,804)	(40,315,057)
Other receipts (payments)	3,696,188	(1,821,619)
Net cash provided by operating activities	85,250,826	60,316,925
Cash Flows From Noncapital Financing Activities		
Settlement receipts	16,075	434,097
Quieter Home Program grant receipts	10,318,509	10,265,087
Quieter Home Program payments	(14,885,182)	(15,124,141)
Joint Studies Program payments	(144,780)	(151,855)
Net cash used in noncapital financing activities	(4,695,378)	(4,576,812)
Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities		
Capital outlay	(262,033,260)	(224,557,658)
Proceeds on Build America Bonds subsidy	4,631,219	4,636,215
Proceeds from sale of capital assets	11,273	11,273
Federal grants received (excluding Quieter Home Program)	7,547,794	3,924,332
Proceeds from passenger facility charges	36,279,609	37,248,983
Proceeds from customer facility charges	32,007,745	26,451,216
Payment of principal on bonds	(11,964,000)	(7,085,000)
Proceeds from issuance of Series 2014 Bonds	-	305,879,266
Payment of capital lease	(188,356)	(341,661)
Interest and debt fees paid	(61,384,552)	(49,674,023)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities	(255,092,528)	96,492,943
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Sales and maturities of investments	317,888,179	209,856,636
Purchases of investments	(163,821,054)	(398,284,418)
Interest received on investments and note receivable	5,747,325	5,210,853
Principal payments received on notes receivable	1,528,512	1,446,896
Net cash provided by (used) in investing activities	161,342,962	(181,770,033)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(13,194,118)	(29,536,977)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	43,655,539	73,192,516
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 30,461,421	\$ 43,655,539

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(Continued)

**Statements of Cash Flows
June 30, 2015 and 2014**

	2015	2014
Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents to the Statements of Net Position		
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,873,038	\$ 26,510,543
Cash and cash equivalents designated for specific capital projects and other commitments	22,588,383	17,144,996
	\$ 30,461,421	\$ 43,655,539
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		
Operating loss	\$ (7,303,595)	\$ (18,289,074)
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	77,558,961	77,321,384
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Tenant lease receivables	(49,639)	(1,075,018)
Net pension asset	-	(271,633)
Other assets	824,274	2,641,527
Accounts payable	(3,206,994)	2,860,131
Accrued liabilities	18,381,996	(3,183,207)
Compensated absences	81,826	4,929
Other liabilities	(1,036,003)	307,886
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 85,250,826	\$ 60,316,925
Supplemental Disclosure of Noncash Investing, Capital and Financing Activities		
Additions to capital assets included in accounts payable	\$ 51,071,661	\$ 74,236,334
Additions to capital lease obligations	\$ 349,422	\$ -

See Notes to Financial Statements.



READY. PET. GO.

SAN'S VOLUNTEER AIRPORT
AMBASSADOR (VAA) PROGRAM
EXPANDED DURING LAST FISCAL
YEAR TO INCLUDE A DEDICATED
TEAM OF FOUR-LEGGED CREATURES
WHO SPECIALIZE IN PUTTING
SMILES ON WEARY PASSENGERS'
FACES. LAUNCHED JUST BEFORE THE
HOLIDAYS, EACH READY, PET, GO
TEAM CONSISTS OF A THERAPY DOG
AND AN AIRPORT AMBASSADOR, WHO
FANNED OUT IN THE TERMINALS TO
PROVIDE COMFORT, STRESS RELIEF
AND HELPFUL INFORMATION.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

NOTE 1. NATURE OF ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

REPORTING ENTITY:

The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (the Airport Authority), an autonomous public agency, was established in accordance with, Assembly Bill 93 (2001), as modified by Senate Bill 1896 (2002), which together comprise the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Act (the Act). The Act required, among other things, the transfer of the assets and operations of the San Diego International Airport (SDIA) from the San Diego Unified Port District (the District) to the Airport Authority. Effective January 1, 2003 (inception), the District transferred all airport operations and certain related assets and liabilities to the Airport Authority, pursuant to the Act and the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated as of December 31, 2002, between the Airport Authority and the District, which implemented the Act.

Senate Bill 10 (SB 10), the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Reform Act, was effective January 1, 2008. Responsibilities of the Airport Authority include, among other things, the operation, maintenance, development, management and regulation of SDIA and its facilities. In addition, the Airport Authority has the responsibility to plan or to expand the existing SDIA. Under one of the requirements of SB 10, the Airport Authority completed a Regional Aviation Strategic Plan and the Airport Authority prepared and adopted an Airport Multimodal Accessibility Plan. In addition, the Airport Authority acts as the Airport Land Use Commission within San Diego County.

In accordance with the Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, the basic financial statements include all organizations, agencies, boards, commissions and authorities for which the Airport Authority is financially accountable. The Airport Authority has also considered all other potential organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationships with the Airport Authority are such that exclusion would cause the Airport Authority's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. Based on these criteria, there are no other organizations or agencies

which should be included in these basic financial statements.

The Airport Authority is governed by a nine-member, appointed Board of Directors (Board), representing all areas of San Diego County and three additional members serving as non-voting, ex-officio Board members. Three Board members are appointed by the Mayor of the City of San Diego (the City). Two Board members are appointed by the San Diego County Board of Supervisors. The remaining four Board members are each appointed by the Mayors of the following defined jurisdictions: the east county cities, south county cities, north coastal area cities and north county inland cities. The Board members serve three year terms in accordance with California SB 10.

MEASUREMENT FOCUS AND BASIS OF ACCOUNTING:

The accounting policies of the Airport Authority conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to state and local government agencies, and as such, the Airport Authority is accounted for as a proprietary fund. The basic financial statements presented are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred. This measurement focus emphasizes the determination of the change in Airport Authority net position.

USE OF ESTIMATES:

The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows of resources and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents includes unrestricted (including desig-

NOTE 1.

NATURE OF ORGANIZATION
AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT
ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(CONTINUED)

nated) cash on hand, demand deposits and investment securities with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

INVESTMENTS:

Investments in the state and county investment pools are recorded at fair value based upon the Airport Authority's pro rata share of the fair value provided by the state and county investment pools for the entire respective pool. Guaranteed investment contracts are recorded at contract value. All other investments are stated at fair market value based on quoted market prices.

TENANT LEASE RECEIVABLES:

Tenant lease receivables are carried at the original invoice amount for fixed-rent tenants and at estimated invoice amount for concession (variable) tenants, less an estimate made for doubtful receivables for both fixed-rent and concession tenants. Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by evaluating individual tenant receivables and considering a tenant's financial condition and credit history and current economic conditions. Tenant lease receivables are written off when deemed uncollectible. Recoveries of tenant lease receivables previously written off are recorded when received.

FEDERAL GRANTS:

Outlays for airport capital improvements and certain airport nonoperating expenses, primarily those relating to the Airport Authority's Quieter Home Program, are subject to reimbursement from federal grant programs. Funding provided from government grants is considered earned as the related approved capital outlays or expenses are incurred. Costs claimed for reimbursement are subject to audit and acceptance by the granting agency.

AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (AIP):

AIP grants are authorized and disbursed by the FAA under the Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended, which provides funding for airport planning and development projects at airports included in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems. As such, the AIP grants must be used to pay for the allowable costs of approved projects. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority recovered \$10,764,946 and \$3,924,332, respectively, for approved capital projects and \$12,073,809 and \$12,373,861, respectively, for the Quieter Home Program. Related recoverable costs as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$12,926,028 and \$4,633,107, respectively, for capital

projects and \$14,885,179 and \$15,124,141, respectively, for the Quieter Home Program.

PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGES (PFC):

The PFC program is authorized by the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (the Expansion Act). In accordance with the Expansion Act, the Airport Authority's AIP Passenger Entitlement Apportionment is reduced by certain percentages, dependent upon the level of PFC received by the Airport Authority.

In accordance with the program, PFC revenue must be used to pay allowable costs for approved capital projects. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, accrued PFC receivables totaled \$6,303,994 and \$4,066,248, respectively, and there were \$63,361,948 and \$60,769,935 PFC amounts collected but not yet applied for approved capital projects as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

On May 20, 2003, the FAA approved an increase in the Airport Authority's PFC charge per enplaned passenger from \$3.00 to \$4.50, beginning August 1, 2003. Currently, the Airport Authority's impose and use authority of \$1.2 billion from three active applications allows collection through November 1, 2037. The Airport Authority has formally closed five previously approved applications and withdrawn one pending application which has been integrated in a ninth application to impose and use approximately \$32 million in PFC revenue. The latest application was approved by the FAA in July 2012. In accordance with the Aviation Investment Reform Act (AIR-21), airports imposing a \$4.50 collection level are required to reduce AIP Passenger Entitlement Apportionment to 75 percent.

CUSTOMER FACILITY CHARGES (CFC):

The Airport Authority received approval in May 2009 from the State of California under Section 1936 of the California Civil Code to impose a \$10 CFC per contract on rental cars at SDIA.

In accordance with the program, the CFC revenue must be used to pay allowable costs for approved capital projects. Effective January 1, 2014, the CFC rate went from \$6.00 to \$7.50 per day for a maximum of five days. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, accrued CFC receivables totaled \$3,851,910 and \$3,394,812, respectively. CFC amounts collected, including interest, but not yet applied for approved capital projects as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$41,820,925 and \$41,652,322, respectively.

NOTE 1.
NATURE OF ORGANIZATION
AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT
ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(CONTINUED)

DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:

The Airport Authority defers recognition of losses incurred on net differences on projected and actual earnings associated with its pension investments held with its pension plan and reports such losses as deferred inflows of resources in the statements of net position. Deferred gains on these investments are recognized over a five year period.

DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:

The Airport Authority defers recognition of losses incurred on debt refundings and reports such losses as deferred outflows of resources in the statements of net position. Deferred losses on debt refundings are amortized using the effective interest method over the lesser of the remaining life of the original bonds or the life of the new bonds.

CAPITAL ASSETS:

Capital assets are recorded at cost, except for property contributed by third parties, which is recorded at fair market value at the date of contribution, less an allowance for accumulated depreciation. The Airport Authority capitalizes incremental overhead costs and interest cost associated with the construction of capital assets. Capital assets are defined by the Airport Authority as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 and an initial useful life of one year or greater.

The Airport Authority recognizes lessee-financed improvements as capital assets based upon the asset's estimated value at the time the asset reverts to the Airport Authority.

Asset Category	Useful Life (Years)
Land improvements	30-40
Runways, roadways and parking lots	
Lighting, security and minor improvements	3-10
Airfield and parking lots and improvements	12-25
Drainage systems, gas lines, pedestrian bridges	30
Roadways, bridges and infrastructure	40-50
Buildings and structures	
Passenger loading bridges, security systems, general upgrades and remodels	3-10
Baggage handling systems, HVAC, structural improvements, fuel and storage facility	12-20
Buildings and smart curb improvements	25-50
Machinery and equipment	
Vehicles and emergency vehicles	3-15
Office furniture and equipment	3-10
Communication and electronic systems	3-20
Works of art	15-30

NOTE 1.
NATURE OF ORGANIZATION
AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT
ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(CONTINUED)

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend the life of the asset are expensed as incurred. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as construction in progress as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority capitalized interest of \$8,964,526 and \$6,962,979, respectively.

CAPITAL ASSET IMPAIRMENT:

The Airport Authority's capital assets include property, equipment and infrastructure assets. A capital asset is considered impaired if both the decline in service utility of the capital asset is large in magnitude and the event or change in circumstances is outside the normal life cycle of the capital asset. The Airport Authority evaluates prominent events or changes in circumstances affecting capital assets to determine whether impairment of a capital asset has occurred. Common indicators of impairment include evidence of physical damage where restoration efforts are needed to restore service utility, enactment or approval of laws or regulations setting standards that the capital asset would not be able to meet, technological development or evidence of obsolescence, a change in the manner or expected duration of use of a capital asset or construction stoppage. The Airport Authority reports the effects of capital asset impairments in its financial

statements when they occur and accounts for insurance recoveries in the same manner. The Airport Authority's management has determined that no impairments of capital assets currently exist.

RETENTIONS PAYABLE:

The Airport Authority enters into construction contracts that may include retention provisions such that a certain percentage of the contract amount is held for payment until completion of the contract and acceptance by the Airport Authority. The Airport Authority's policy is to record the retention payable only after completion of the work and acceptance of the contractor invoices have occurred. Retentions payable on completed contracts are included with accounts payable on the accompanying statements of net position. Amounts related to unpaid retentions on uncompleted contracts are included in accrued liabilities.

COMPENSATED ABSENCES:

All employees of the Airport Authority earn annual leave that is paid upon termination or retirement. Annual leave is accrued at current rates of compensation and based on assumptions concerning the probability that certain employees will become eligible to receive these benefits in the future.



NOTE 1.

NATURE OF ORGANIZATION
AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT
ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(CONTINUED)

**BOND DISCOUNTS, PREMIUMS AND
ISSUANCE COSTS:**

Bond discounts and premiums are deferred and amortized over the term of the respective bonds using the effective interest method. Bond issuance costs are expensed as incurred.

AIRPORT AUTHORITY NET POSITION:

Net investment in capital assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets. Net investment in capital assets excludes unspent debt proceeds.

Restricted net position represents amounts that are appropriated or legally segregated for a specific purpose. The Airport Authority's net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on its use, either through the enabling legislation adopted by the Airport Authority or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments.

Unrestricted net position as of June 30, 2015, includes designations of net position that represent tentative management plans that are subject to change, consisting of:

	2015		2014
Operating contingency	\$ 2,000,000	\$	2,000,000
Insurance contingency	8,095,974		7,377,978
Capital projects and other commitments	12,492,409		7,767,018
Total designated net position	<u>\$ 22,588,383</u>	\$	<u>17,144,996</u>

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Airport Authority's policy to use restricted resources first and then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

REVENUE AND EXPENSE RECOGNITION:

Revenues from airlines, concessionaires, lessees and parking are reported as operating revenues. Operating expenses include the cost of administering the airport system, including depreciation and amortization of capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses or capital contributions and grants.

NOTE 1.
NATURE OF ORGANIZATION
AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT
ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(CONTINUED)

CONCENTRATIONS:

A significant portion of the Airport Authority's earnings and revenues are directly or indirectly attributed to the activity of a number of major airlines. The Airport Authority's earnings and revenues could be materially and

adversely affected should any of these major airlines discontinue operations and should the Airport Authority be unable to replace those airlines with similar activity. The level of operations is determined based upon the relative share of enplaned passengers.

The three largest airlines in terms of enplaned passengers are as follows:

	2015	2014
Southwest Airlines	38.5%	36.9%
United Airlines	11.5%	12.5%
Delta Airlines	10.2%	10.1%

DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN:

The Airport Authority has a single-employer defined benefit pension plan City of San Diego City Employee Retirement System (the Plan). For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Plan and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Plan. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

PRONOUNCEMENTS ISSUED BUT NOT YET ADOPTED:

GASB has issued several pronouncements that may impact future financial presentations. Management has not currently determined what, if any, impact implementation of the following statements may have on the financial statements of the Airport Authority:

- GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, effective for the Airport Authority's year ending June 30, 2016.
- GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, effective for the Airport Authority's year ending June 30, 2018.

- GASB Statement No. 76, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments, effective for the Airport Authority's year ending June 30, 2016.

PRONOUNCEMENTS ADOPTED:

The Airport Authority has adopted and implemented the following GASB statements during the year ended June 30, 2015:

- GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27;
- GASB Statement No. 69, Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations; and
- GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68

The implementation of GASB Statements No. 69 did not impact net position or the change in net position of the Airport Authority as of or for the year ended June 30, 2015.

WE ARE THE **CHAMPIONS**

SAN WAS NAMED "SUSTAINABILITY
CHAMPION OF THE YEAR" BY THE NORTH
SAN DIEGO BUSINESS CHAMBER IN JUNE.
THE AWARD RECOGNIZED SAN'S
COMPREHENSIVE EFFORTS IN THE AREAS
OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY, WATER
CONSERVATION, ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT.

NOTE 2. **SUMMARY OF CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS:**

CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS
AND INVESTMENTS

Cash, cash equivalents and investments are reported in the accompanying statements of net position as follows at June 30:

SUMMARY OF CASH, CASH
EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS:

	2015	2014
Unrestricted and undesignated:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,873,038	\$ 26,510,543
Current investments	76,065,212	70,752,557
Noncurrent investments	77,419,978	52,455,753
Total unrestricted and undesignated	<u>161,358,228</u>	<u>149,718,853</u>
Designated for specific capital projects and other commitments: cash and cash equivalents	<u>22,588,383</u>	17,144,996
Restricted:		
Current cash, cash equivalents and investments, with trustees	94,917,215	96,745,172
Noncurrent cash, cash equivalents and investments, not with trustees	166,050,576	161,369,744
Noncurrent investments, with trustees	219,382,771	406,579,651
Total restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments	<u>480,350,562</u>	<u>664,694,567</u>
Total cash, cash equivalents and investments	<u>\$ 664,297,173</u>	<u>\$ 831,558,416</u>

The components of restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments at June 30 are summarized below:

	2015	2014
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments:		
Bond reserves:		
Operation and maintenance reserve subaccount	\$ 38,600,777	\$ 37,157,351
Operation and maintenance subaccount	12,866,926	12,385,784
Renewal and replacement account	5,400,000	5,400,000
Total reserves:	<u>56,867,703</u>	<u>54,943,135</u>
Passenger facility charges unapplied	63,361,948	60,769,935
Customer facility charges unapplied	41,820,925	41,652,322
Small business development bond guarantee	4,000,000	4,000,000
Commercial paper reserve	-	4,352
Commercial paper interest	-	12,906
Customer facility charges	-	311,153
2010 Series debt service reserve fund	51,191,339	50,988,876
2010 Series debt service account	24,424,169	21,640,387
2010 Series construction fund	-	2,204
2013 Series construction fund	42,856,631	100,500,234
2013 Series debt service reserve fund	33,213,393	32,993,011
2013 Series debt service account	11,063,748	8,938,429
2013 Series capitalized interest account	220,469	752,446
2014 Series construction fund	106,253,356	228,270,006
2014 Series debt service reserve fund	22,040,300	21,926,369
2014 Series capitalized interest account	16,436,026	30,432,045
2014 Series rolling coverage fund	6,600,555	6,556,757
Total restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments	<u>\$ 480,350,562</u>	<u>\$ 664,694,567</u>

NOTE 2.
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS
AND INVESTMENTS
(CONTINUED)

Investments authorized in accordance with California Government Code Section 53601 and under the provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy: The table that follows identifies the investment types that are authorized by the Airport Authority's investment policy and State Government Code. The table also identifies certain provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy that address interest rate risk, credit risk and concentration of credit risk.

This table does not address investments of bond proceeds held by the bond trustee that are governed by provisions of debt agreements of the Airport Authority, in addition to the general provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy and State Government Code.

Investments authorized under the provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Minimum Quality Requirements	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury obligations	5 years	N/A	None	None
U.S. agency securities	5 years	N/A	None	None
Supranationals	5 years	AA	30 percent	None
Bankers' acceptances	180 days	AAA/Aaa	40 percent	10 percent
Commercial paper	270 days	A-1; P-1; F-1	25 percent	10 percent
Negotiable certificates of deposit	5 years	A	30 percent	10 percent
Medium-term notes	5 years	A	15 percent	10 percent
Money market mutual funds	N/A	AAA/Aaa	20 percent	10 percent
Repurchase agreements	1 year	A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund	N/A	N/A	None	\$50 million
San Diego County Investment Pool	N/A	N/A	None	\$50 million
Local Government Investment Pool	N/A	N/A	None	\$50 million
U.S. State and California agency indebtedness	5 years	A	20 percent	5 percent
Placement service certificates of deposits	3 years	N/A	30 percent	10 percent
Time certificates of deposit	3 years	*	20 percent	10 percent
Bank deposits	N/A	*	None	None

* Financial institution must have at least an overall satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act for meeting the credit needs of California communities in its most recent evaluation. Collateralization required per Cal. Gov. Code Section 53630 et seq.

INVESTMENT IN STATE INVESTMENT POOLS:

The Airport Authority is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The Airport Authority's investments in this pool are reported in the accompanying financial statements at fair value based upon the Airport Authority's pro rata share of the amortized cost basis provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of each portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF.

INVESTMENT IN COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL:

The Airport Authority is a voluntary participant in the San Diego County Investment Pool (SDCIP) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the County Treasurer of San Diego. The Airport Authority's investments in this pool are reported in the accompanying financial statements at fair value based upon the Airport Authority's pro rata share of the amortized cost basis provided by SDCIP for the entire SDCIP portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by SDCIP.

NOTE 2.
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS
AND INVESTMENTS
(CONTINUED)

INVESTMENTS AUTHORIZED BY DEBT AGREEMENTS:

Investments held by the bond trustee are governed by the provisions of the debt agreement, in addition to the general provisions of the California Government Code and the Airport Authority's investment policy. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by the bond trustee, according to the

Master Trust Indenture. In the event of a conflict between the Airport Authority's investment policy and permitted investments associated with any Airport Authority debt issuance, the debt agreement shall control. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk and concentration of credit risk.

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Minimum Quality Requirements	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury obligations	None	N/A	None	None
U.S. agency securities	None	N/A	None	None
State obligations	None	AAA/Aaa	None	None
Commercial paper	None	A-1; P-1; F-1	None	None
Negotiable certificates of deposit	None	AAA/Aaa	None	None
Long-term and medium-term notes	None	Two highest ratings	None	None
Money market mutual funds	None	Two highest ratings	None	None
Municipal bonds	None	Two highest ratings	None	None
Repurchase agreements	None	BBB*	None	None
Investment agreements	None	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund	None	N/A	None	None
San Diego County Investment Pool	None	N/A	None	None
Deposit accounts	None	N/A	None	None

Any other investment which is a permitted investment of the Authority in accordance with the laws of the state.

*Investment requires collateralization

The primary objective of the Airport Authority's investment policy is to invest public funds in a manner that will provide the highest security of the funds under management while meeting the daily cash flow demands of the Airport Authority. Assets of the Airport Authority that are not bond proceeds, which are invested in securities as permitted in the bond indenture, are described in the preceding table. In addition, there are various credit criteria as defined in the Airport Authority's investment policy as depicted in the previous section entitled "Investments authorized in accordance with California Government Code Section 53601 and under the provisions of the Airport Authority's investment policy."

INVESTMENTS HELD BY TRUSTEE:

The Airport Authority has monies held by trustees pledged for the security and payment of certain debt instruments, the payment of bond interest during construction and the payment of capital project costs.

DISCLOSURES RELATED TO INTEREST RATE RISK:

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, investments with longer maturities have greater fair value sensitivity to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways the Airport Authority manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter-term and longer-term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities. These staggered maturities also provide consistent cash flow and fulfill liquidity needs for operations. The Airport Authority monitors interest rate risk inherent in its portfolio by measuring the segmented time distribution of its portfolio. The Airport Authority has no specific limitations with respect to this metric.

NOTE 2.
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS
AND INVESTMENTS
(CONTINUED)

CUSTODIAL CREDIT RISK (DEPOSITS):

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Airport Authority maintains deposits at several institutions in order to minimize custodial credit risk. These deposits are collateralized by various instruments such as U.S. government securities (guaranteed) or U.S. agency securities (government sponsored). California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by a state or local government by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law. The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110 percent of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure Airport Authority deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of the secured deposits.

Insurance through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) may be applicable to the first \$250,000 of institutional deposit accounts, with any balance above this amount covered by the collateralization requirement.

Certificates of deposit held by the Airport Authority's third-party custodians are fully insured by the FDIC, as the individual amounts do not exceed the FDIC-insured limits, or are collateralized in accordance with the California Government Code.

CUSTODIAL CREDIT RISK (INVESTMENTS):

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that the Airport Authority will not be able to recover the value of its investments in the event of a counterparty failure. The Airport Authority uses third-party banks' custody and safekeeping services for its registered investment securities. Securities are held in custody at third-party banks registered in the name of the Airport Authority and are segregated from securities owned by those institutions or held in custody by those institutions.

DISCLOSURES RELATED TO CREDIT RISK:

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of an investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. California Government Code Section 53601 (as referenced previously in this note) limits the types of investment instruments that may be purchased by the Airport Authority.



NOTE 2.

CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS
AND INVESTMENTS
(CONTINUED)

The maturity ranges and credit ratings for the Airport Authority's investment securities as of June 30 are presented in the following tables:

Investment Type	2015				Ratings
	Total	Investment Maturities (in Years)			
		0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 5	
Investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:					
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 70,189,787	\$ -	\$ 22,525,974	\$ 47,663,813	AAA (1)
U.S. agency securities	46,898,857	4,999,650	22,358,342	19,540,865	AAA (1)
Commercial paper	3,999,800	3,999,800	-	-	A-1+/P-1
Negotiable certificates of deposit	8,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	-	A-1+/P-1
	17,000,280	-	13,002,520	3,997,760	AA
	9,000,000	-	9,000,000	-	A
Medium-term notes	19,801,690	5,014,900	800,680	13,986,110	AA
	4,827,981	4,827,981	-	-	A
Money market mutual funds	35,593,542	35,593,542	-	-	AAA
Local Agency Investment Fund	98,381,500	98,381,500	-	-	Unrated
San Diego County Investment Pool	241,042,718	241,042,718	-	-	AAA (1)
CalTrust Fund	15,074,776	15,074,776	-	-	AAA (1)
Total investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:	569,810,931	412,934,867	71,687,516	85,188,548	
Investments not subject to credit or interest rate risk:					
Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit	25,313,449				
Total Investments	\$ 595,124,380				

Investment Type	2014				Ratings
	Total	Investment Maturities (in Years)			
		0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 5	
Investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:					
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 64,082,562	\$ -	\$ 36,552,992	\$ 27,529,570	AAA(1)
U.S. agency securities	38,442,680	-	17,972,890	20,469,790	AAA(1)
Commercial paper	15,494,684	15,494,684	-	-	A-1+/P-1
Medium-term notes	17,011,542	-	16,212,270	799,272	AA
	5,007,100	-	5,007,100	-	A
Money market mutual funds	28,013,480	28,013,480	-	-	AAA(1)
Local Agency Investment Fund	183,293,665	183,293,665	-	-	Unrated
San Diego County Investment Pool	336,285,168	336,285,168	-	-	AAA(1)
CalTrust Fund	15,027,791	15,027,791	-	-	AAA(1)
Total investments subject to credit and interest rate risk:	702,658,672	578,114,788	75,745,252	48,798,632	
Investments not subject to credit or interest rate risk:					
Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit	35,808,518				
Total Investments	\$ 738,467,190				

Ratings per Standard and Poor's, Moody's and Fitch.

(1) Includes investments that have split ratings between S&P (AA+), Moody's (AAA) and Fitch (AAA)

NOTE 2.
CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS
AND INVESTMENTS
(CONTINUED)

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK:

The investment policy of the Airport Authority contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested by any one issuer beyond that stated in the table provided earlier in this note. The Airport Authority requires a diversified investment portfolio to avoid risk of losses resulting from an over-concentration of assets in a specific maturity,

issuer or class of securities. The Airport Authority had no concentrations of credit risk at June 30, 2015 and 2014.

FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK:

The Airport Authority's investment policy does not allow investments in foreign securities.

NOTE 3.
NOTE RECEIVABLE

As part of the transfer of airport operations from the District to the Airport Authority, and pursuant to the associated MOU, the District issued a \$50,000,000 unsecured promissory note to the Airport Authority. According to an agreement with the District that commenced on January 1, 2006, the note will be amortized over 25 years, maturing on December 31, 2030. The note is subordinate to all bond indebtedness of the District and carries a fixed

interest rate of 5.5 percent per annum. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the balance of the note receivable was \$38,358,256 and \$39,886,768, respectively.

The required principal payments owed from the District for note receivable for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows (rounded):

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 1,609,000
2017	1,705,000
2018	1,802,000
2019	1,903,000
2020	2,006,000
2021-2025	11,884,000
2026-2030	15,637,000
2031	1,812,000
	<u>\$ 38,358,000</u>



NOTE 4. Capital asset activity for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

CAPITAL ASSETS

	Balance at June 30, 2014	Increases	Decreases	Balance at June 30, 2015
Nondepreciable assets:				
Land	\$ 22,415,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,415,850
Construction in progress	250,103,154	257,367,772	(120,415,982)	387,054,944
Intangible asset	440,000	-	-	440,000
Total nondepreciable assets	272,959,004	257,367,772	(120,415,982)	409,910,794
Depreciable assets:				
Land improvements	48,665,996	1,481,672	-	50,147,668
Buildings and structures (1)	1,025,628,015	94,821,353	(5,436,829)	1,115,012,539
Machinery and equipment (2)	51,618,837	3,000,209	(918,752)	53,700,294
Runways, roads and parking lots	568,935,877	21,523,207	-	590,459,084
Total capital assets being depreciated	1,694,848,725	120,826,441	(6,355,581)	1,809,319,585
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(4,114,899)	(2,134,763)	-	(6,249,662)
Building and structures	(393,898,118)	(53,054,000)	5,329,179	(441,622,939)
Machinery and equipment	(42,041,579)	(3,532,848)	872,440	(44,701,987)
Runways, roads and parking lots	(216,780,599)	(23,165,654)	-	(239,946,253)
Total accumulated depreciation	(656,835,195)	(81,887,265)	6,201,619	(732,520,841)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	1,038,013,530	38,939,176	(153,962)	1,076,798,744
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,310,972,534	\$ 296,306,948	\$ (120,569,944)	\$ 1,486,709,538

(1) Includes capitalized lease of building with net present value of future lease payments of \$7,629,138

(2) Includes capitalized leases of office equipment with net present value of future lease payments of \$342,855

Construction in progress contains projects such as upgrading certain major equipment, and improvements to the runway, parking lots and terminals. Current contracts with the Airport Authority related to these projects are discussed later in these notes.



NOTE 4.

CAPITAL ASSETS
(CONTINUED)

	Balance at June 30, 2013	Increases	Decreases	Balance at June 30, 2014
Nondepreciable assets:				
Land	\$ 22,415,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,415,850
Construction in progress	401,825,140	214,293,229	(366,015,215)	250,103,154
Intangible asset	440,000	-	-	440,000
Total nondepreciable assets	424,680,990	214,293,229	(366,015,215)	272,959,004
Depreciable assets:				
Land improvements	43,009,936	5,656,060	-	48,665,996
Buildings and structures (1)	715,421,387	317,174,867	(6,968,239)	1,025,628,015
Machinery and equipment (2)	50,717,389	1,573,410	(671,962)	51,618,837
Runways, roads and parking lots	526,061,707	43,041,675	(167,505)	568,935,877
Total capital assets being depreciated	1,335,210,419	367,446,012	(7,807,706)	1,694,848,725
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(2,298,540)	(1,816,359)	-	(4,114,899)
Building and structures	(346,153,840)	(52,962,879)	5,218,601	(393,898,118)
Machinery and equipment	(38,920,696)	(3,792,848)	671,965	(42,041,579)
Runways, roads and parking lots	(194,374,525)	(22,573,579)	167,505	(216,780,599)
Total accumulated depreciation	(581,747,601)	(81,145,665)	6,058,071	(656,835,195)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	753,462,818	653,746,359	(9,557,341)	1,038,013,530
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,178,143,808	\$ 1,082,332,817	\$ (741,587,771)	\$ 1,310,972,534

(1) Includes capitalized lease of building with initial net present value of future lease payments of \$8,040,531

(2) Includes capitalized leases of office equipment with initial net present value of future lease payments of \$760,332



NOTE 5. The following is a summary of changes in the long-term liability activity for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

LONG TERM LIABILITIES

	Principal Balance at June 30, 2014	Additions/ New Issuances	Reductions/ Repayments	Principal Balance at June 30, 2015	Due Within One Year
Debt obligations					
Commercial paper	\$ 44,884,000	\$ -	\$ (44,884,000)	\$ -	\$ -
Line of credit Series B tax-exempt	-	18,929,000	(1,020,000)	17,909,000	-
Line of credit Series C taxable	-	25,955,000	(5,159,000)	20,796,000	-
Total line of credit	44,884,000	44,884,000	(51,063,000)	38,705,000	-
Bonds payable:					
Series 2010 Bonds	569,870,000	-	(5,785,000)	564,085,000	8,665,000
Series 2013 Bonds	379,585,000	-	-	379,585,000	2,030,000
Series 2014 Bonds	305,285,000	-	-	305,285,000	-
Bond premiums	73,157,591	-	(4,328,300)	68,829,291	-
Total bonds payable	1,327,897,591	-	(10,113,300)	1,317,784,291	10,695,000
Capital Leases	7,810,927	349,422	(188,356)	7,971,993	254,259
Total debt obligations	1,380,592,518	45,233,422	(61,364,656)	1,364,461,284	10,949,259
Compensated absences	3,094,685	2,734,786	(2,652,960)	3,176,511	2,652,960
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 1,383,687,203	\$ 47,968,208	\$ (64,017,616)	\$ 1,367,637,795	\$ 13,602,219

	Principal Balance at June 30, 2013	Additions/ New Issuances	Reductions/ Repayments	Principal Balance at June 30, 2014	Due Within One Year
Debt obligations					
Commercial paper	\$ 50,969,000	\$ -	\$ (6,085,000)	\$ 44,884,000	\$ -
Bonds payable:					
Series 2010 Bonds	570,870,000	-	(1,000,000)	569,870,000	5,785,000
Series 2013 Bonds	379,585,000	-	-	379,585,000	-
Series 2014 Bonds	-	305,285,000	-	305,285,000	-
Bond premiums	76,956,188	594,266	(4,392,863)	73,157,591	-
Total bonds payable	1,027,411,188	305,879,266	(5,392,863)	1,327,897,591	5,785,000
Capital Leases	8,152,588	-	(341,661)	7,810,927	180,559
Total debt obligations	1,086,532,776	305,879,266	(11,819,524)	1,380,592,518	5,965,559
Compensated absences	3,089,756	2,664,509	(2,659,580)	3,094,685	2,659,580
Total long-term liabilities	\$ 1,089,622,532	\$ 308,543,775	\$ (14,479,104)	\$ 1,383,687,203	\$ 8,625,139



NOTE 5.
LONG TERM LIABILITIES
(CONTINUED)

SENIOR LIEN AIRPORT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2005 AND REFUNDED SERIES 1995:

The California Maritime Infrastructure Authority issued \$76,690,000 of Airport Revenue Bonds (Series 1995 Bonds) for the District, pursuant to a trust agreement dated December 1, 1995. The proceeds of the Series 1995 Bonds, together with investment income thereon, were used solely to pay a portion of the construction and installation of the West Terminal Expansion at SDIA, fund a Reserve Account and pay certain expenses in connection with the issuance of the Series 1995 Bonds. In conjunction with the transfer of airport operations to the Airport Authority on January 1, 2003, these bond obligations were assumed by the Airport Authority.

On December 21, 2012, the Airport Authority defeased all of its outstanding Series 2005 Bonds, by depositing proceeds of Subordinate CP Notes and certain other available monies into an irrevocable escrow fund. The amounts on deposit in the escrow fund will be used to pay the principal of and interest on the Series 2005 Bonds until their final maturity date of July 1, 2020. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held in escrow by the trustee was \$30,735,483 and \$35,775,109, respectively, and the amount of the defeased Series 2005 Bonds still outstanding was \$27,130,000 and \$30,920,000, respectively.

COMMERCIAL PAPER SERIES A, B AND C (CP NOTES):

On September 6, 2007, the Board authorized issuance of subordinate CP Notes with up to \$250,000,000 of principal outstanding at any time. The CP Notes were issued from time to time and proceeds from the issuance of the CP Notes were used, among other things, to finance improvements to SDIA. The CP Notes were obligations secured by a pledge of airport revenues subordinated to the pledge of net airport revenues securing payment of the senior lien Series 2013 and Series 2014 Bonds and on parity to the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds. Each commercial paper note matured at the end of a period not to exceed 270 days. The matured

commercial paper was continually rolled into another issuance until the earlier of September 10, 2014, or five days prior to the irrevocable letter of credit expiration date. The commercial paper program was replaced by a revolving line of credit.

REVOLVING LINE OF CREDIT PROGRAM IN FISCAL YEAR 2015:

During fiscal year 2015, the Airport Authority replaced its commercial paper program with a \$125,000,000 revolving line of credit issued by US Bank. The revolving line of credit was used to refund the outstanding Series B and Series C CP Note balances. The revolving line of credit is a three-year agreement and took effect on September 5, 2014.

The revolving line of credit is payable solely from and secured by a pledge of "Subordinate Net Revenues." Subordinate Net Revenues are generally defined as all revenues and other cash receipts of the Airport Authority's airport operations remaining after senior lien payments have been deposited by the Trustee in accordance with the Senior Lien Trust Indenture.

The Series B CP Notes of \$18,929,000 were reconstituted as the Series B Revolving Line of Credit, which bears interest at the tax-exempt LIBOR rate. The balance of the Series C CP Notes of \$25,955,000 were reconstituted as the Series C revolving line of credit and bears interest at the taxable LIBOR rate.

NOTE 5.
LONG TERM LIABILITIES
(CONTINUED)

SUBORDINATE LIEN SERIES 2010 BONDS:

On October 5, 2010, the Airport Authority issued \$572,565,000 of Series A, B and C Subordinate Airport Revenue Bonds (Series 2010 Bonds). The subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds through and including January 1, 2013, refund \$142,176,000 of the Airport Authority's then outstanding commercial paper notes, fund the subordinate reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds.

The Series 2010 A and 2010 B Bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2012 to 2041. The Series 2010 C Bonds were issued as taxable Build America Bonds (BAB), which benefit from periodic cash subsidy payments from the U.S. Treasury, originally equal to 35 percent of interest payable on such bonds. As a result of the automatic spending cuts imposed under the Budget Control Act of 2011, the Airport Authority's BAB subsidies were reduced 7.2 percent (the BAB Sequester) from October 2013 through September 2014. Due to the extension of the BAB Sequester, BAB subsidies for the remainder of fiscal year 2015 were reduced by 7.3 percent and such reduction will remain in place through September 2015. Unless further Congressional action is taken, the new sequesterian reduction rate will continue through September 2024. The BAB interest subsidies received by the Airport Authority for fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, amounted to \$4,631,219 and \$4,636,215, respectively. The interest rate on the Series 2010 C Bonds, net of the subsidy, is 4.48 percent and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2041. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$26,154,344, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1 of each year.

Interest for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 amounted to \$31,484,399 and \$31,705,498, respectively, including accrued interest of \$15,742,199 and \$15,852,749, respectively. The principal balance on the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, was \$564,085,000 and \$569,870,000, respectively.

The subordinate Series 2010 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by (a) a pledge of subordinate net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system and all amounts necessary to pay debt service on and fund the reserves for the senior bonds; and (b) certain funds and accounts held by the subordinate trustee under the subordinate indenture. The subordinate Series 2010 Bonds were issued with a pledge of and lien on subordinate net revenues. In addition, the Airport Authority has irrevocably committed a portion of the PFCs it has received and expects to receive through 2016. The irrevocably committed PFC amount of \$19,206,113 was fully utilized in fiscal year 2015. The irrevocably committed PFC amounts for fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 was \$19,209,388.

As subordinate lien bonds, the Series 2010 Bonds require that charges for services be set each fiscal year at rates sufficient to produce pledged revenues at least 110 percent times the subordinate debt service for that year. In addition, the subordinate Series 2010 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a reserve account with the bond trustee. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held by the trustee was \$75,615,508 and \$72,631,467, respectively, which included the July 1 payment, unspent project fund proceeds and a debt service reserve fund.

NOTE 5.
LONG TERM LIABILITIES
(CONTINUED)

The public ratings of the Series 2010 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 are A/A2/A by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings.

The required debt service payments for the Series 2010 Bonds for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$ 8,665,000	\$ 31,318,098	\$ 39,983,098
2017	9,000,000	30,934,023	39,934,023
2018	9,430,000	30,487,998	39,917,998
2019	9,890,000	30,020,298	39,910,298
2020	10,365,000	29,529,823	39,894,823
2021-2025	59,970,000	139,283,710	199,253,710
2026-2030	76,400,000	122,446,679	198,846,679
2031-2035	143,425,000	94,538,155	237,963,155
2036-2040	192,925,000	43,567,408	236,492,408
2041	44,015,000	1,331,692	45,346,692
	<u>\$ 564,085,000</u>	<u>\$ 553,457,884</u>	<u>\$ 1,117,542,884</u>

**SENIOR LIEN AIRPORT REVENUE BONDS,
SERIES 2013:**

On January 30, 2013, the Airport Authority issued \$379,585,000 of Series A and B Senior Airport Revenue Bonds (Series 2013 Bonds). The Series 2013 Bonds were issued to finance certain capital improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Series 2013 Bonds through and including July 1, 2015, fund the senior reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2013 Bonds.

The Series 2013 Bonds were structured as serial and term bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 3.00 percent to 5.00 percent and mature in fiscal years 2016 to 2044. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$55,934,101, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the senior Series 2013 Bonds is payable semiannually on January 1 and July 1 of each

year. Interest for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$18,475,500 and \$18,475,501, respectively, including accrued interest of \$9,237,750 each year. The principal balance on the Series 2013 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$379,585,000.

The senior Series 2013 Bonds are special obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by (a) a pledge of net revenues, which include certain income and revenue received by the Airport Authority from the operation of the airport system, less all amounts that are required to pay the operation and maintenance expenses of the airport system (b) certain funds and accounts held by the senior trustee under the senior indenture

NOTE 5.
LONG TERM LIABILITIES
(CONTINUED)

As senior lien bonds, the Series 2013 Bonds require that charges for services be set each fiscal year at rates sufficient to produce pledged revenues at least 125 percent times the senior debt service for that year. In addition, the Series 2013 Bonds require the Airport Authority to maintain a debt service reserve account with the bond trustee and to reserve certain additional amounts in the Airport Authority's books, as shown previously in the notes. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held by the trustee was \$87,354,241

and \$143,184,120, respectively, which included the July 1 payment, unspent project fund proceeds, the debt service reserve fund and capitalized interest funds. The total amount reserved by the Airport Authority for fiscal years 2015 and 2014 was \$56,867,703 and \$54,943,135, respectively. The public ratings of the Series 2013 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 are A+/A1/A+ by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings.

The required debt service payments for the Series 2013 Bonds for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$ 2,030,000	\$ 18,445,050	\$ 20,475,050
2017	2,090,000	18,382,275	20,472,275
2018	2,155,000	18,306,850	20,461,850
2019	2,240,000	18,218,950	20,458,950
2020	2,320,000	18,127,750	20,447,750
2021-2025	43,760,000	85,149,500	128,909,500
2026-2030	55,740,000	72,904,350	128,644,350
2031-2035	32,225,000	61,481,750	93,706,750
2036-2040	41,165,000	53,463,625	94,628,625
2041-2044	195,860,000	23,077,000	218,937,000
	<u>\$ 379,585,000</u>	<u>\$ 387,557,100</u>	<u>\$ 767,142,100</u>

**SENIOR LIEN AIRPORT REVENUE BONDS,
SERIES 2014**

On February 1, 2014, the Airport Authority issued \$305,285,000 of Series A and B Senior Special Facilities Revenue Bonds (Series 2014 Bonds). The Series 2014 Bonds were issued to finance a portion of the costs of the development and construction of a consolidated rental car facility and related improvements at SDIA, fund a portion of the interest accruing on the Series 2014 Bonds through January 1, 2016, fund deposits to the senior reserve fund and pay the costs of issuance of the Series 2014 Bonds.

The Series 2014 A Bonds were structured as tax-exempt and non-AMT term bonds that bear interest at 5.00 percent. The Series 2014 B Bonds were structured as federally taxable bonds that bear interest at rates ranging from 2.54 percent to 5.59 percent. The bonds were issued at a premium of \$594,226, which is amortized over the life of the bonds. Interest on the Series 2014 Bonds is payable semiannual on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Interest for fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was \$16,341,210 and \$5,991,777, respectively, including accrued interest of \$8,170,605 and \$5,991,777. The principal balance on the Series 2014 Bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$305,285,000.

NOTE 5.
LONG TERM LIABILITIES
(CONTINUED)

The Series 2014 Bonds are special limited obligations of the Airport Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Trust Estate, which includes, among other things, customer facility charges collected from the rental car companies operating at the Airport and remitted to the Trustee. No revenues of the Airport Authority other than the customer facility charges and the Bond Funding Supplemental Consideration (as defined in the bond indenture), are pledged to the payment of the Series 2014 Bonds. The Series 2014 Bonds require

the Airport Authority to maintain a debt service reserve account with the bond trustee and to reserve certain additional amounts in the Airport Authority's books, as show previously in the notes. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the amount held by the trustee was \$151,330,237 and \$287,185,177, respectively, which included the July 1 payment, unspent project fund proceeds, the debt service reserve fund, capitalized interest funds and the rolling coverage fund.

The required debt service payments for the Series 2014 Bonds for the fiscal years ending June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2016	\$ -	\$ 16,341,210	\$ 16,341,210
2017	-	16,341,210	16,341,210
2018	-	16,341,210	16,341,210
2019	5,580,000	16,270,428	21,850,428
2020	5,720,000	16,114,217	21,834,217
2021-2025	32,015,000	76,804,495	108,819,495
2026-2030	41,600,000	66,835,495	108,435,495
2031-2035	54,610,000	53,459,401	108,069,401
2036-2040	71,690,000	35,900,395	107,590,395
2041-2045	94,070,000	12,987,626	107,057,626
	<u>\$ 305,285,000</u>	<u>\$ 327,395,687</u>	<u>\$ 632,680,687</u>

LINE OF CREDIT:

In fiscal year 2013, the Airport Authority established a \$4,000,000 line of credit with Wells Fargo, which is collateralized with a certificate of deposit. This line is utilized to issue letters of credit to surety companies who are partnering with the Airport Authority to provide bonding assistance to contractors accepted into the bonding assistance program at the Airport Authority. As of June 30, 2015, nothing had been drawn on the line of credit and there are no outstanding letters of credit.

CAPITAL LEASES

OFFICE EQUIPMENT LEASES:

The Airport Authority has entered into five year capital lease agreements for office equipment that require monthly lease payments of \$6,849.

RECEIVING DISTRIBUTION CENTER LEASE:


The Airport Authority entered into an installment purchase agreement for a receiving and distribution center (RDC) in fiscal year 2013. This agreement has been determined to be a capital lease and requires monthly lease payments of \$73,108. The Airport Authority will become the owner of the RDC at the conclusion of the 20 year installment purchase agreement.

NOTE 5.
LONG TERM LIABILITIES
(CONTINUED)

The following is a schedule of future lease payments applicable to the RDC installment purchase agreement, the office equipment capital leases, and the net present value of the future lease payments at June 30, 2015:

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 959,486
2017	959,486
2018	959,486
2019	959,486
2020	932,090
2021-2025	4,386,489
2026-2030	4,386,489
2031-2032	2,120,137
Total lease payments	<u>15,663,149</u>
Less amount representing interest	<u>(7,691,156)</u>
Present value of future lease payments	<u><u>\$ 7,971,993</u></u>





ADDING TO THE MENU

THE AIRPORT'S 2 ½ YEAR CONCESSION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM MADE HUGE STRIDES IN THE LAST FISCAL YEAR, OPENING ITS 84TH STORE – **PRADO AT THE AIRPORT** IN THE TERMINAL 2 EAST FOOD COURT – IN FEBRUARY. WHETHER YOU NEEDED A NOSH, A NEWSPAPER OR A NECK PILLOW, SAN'S CONCESSION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM DELIVERED.

NOTE 6.

DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, GASB STATEMENTS NO. 27 AND 50 DISCLOSURES

The net pension asset as of June 30, 2014 was determined under the measurement principles of GASB Statement No. 27 using an actuarial valuation dated June 30, 2014.

PLAN DESCRIPTION:

The Airport Authority's defined benefit pension plan is separately administered by the City of San Diego's City Employees' Retirement System (SDCERS). SDCERS is governed by a 13-member Board, responsible for the administration of retirement benefits for the City, the District, and the Airport Authority and for overseeing the investment portfolio of the retirement system's trust fund. The Board is comprised of seven appointed members, four active members, one retired member and one ex-officio member.

SDCERS administers three separate single-employer defined benefit pension plans for the City, the District and Airport Authority, and SDCERS provides service retirement, disability retirement, death and survivor benefits to its participants.

From January 1, 2003 through June 30, 2007, SDCERS administered a qualified employer defined benefit plan for the City, the District and Airport Authority. However, as of July 1, 2007, the City, the District and the Airport

Authority plans were separated into independent, qualified, single-employer governmental defined benefit plans and trusts. The assets of the three separate plans and trusts were pooled in the SDCERS Group Trust, which was established as of July 1, 2007. SDCERS invests and administers the Group Trust as a common investment fund and accounts separately for the proportional interest of each plan and trust that participates in the Group Trust.

SDCERS acts as a common, independent investment and administrative agent for the City, the District and the Airport Authority, whose plans cover all eligible employees. In a defined benefit plan, pension benefits are actuarially determined by a member's age at retirement, number of years of service credit and final compensation, typically based on the highest salary earned over a one-year or three-year period. Airport Authority safety members who are participants under the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA) are subject to pensionable compensation caps.



NOTE 6.
DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN STATEMENTS
NO. 27 AND 50 DISCLOSURES
(CONTINUED)

The San Diego City Charter Section 144 and San Diego Municipal Code Sections 24.0100 et seq. assign the authority to establish and amend the benefit provisions of the plans that participate in SDCERS to the SDCERS Board. The Airport Authority contributes to the Federal Social Security Program. The SDCERS Board issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for SDCERS. The financial report may be obtained by writing to the San Diego City Employees' Retirement System, 401 B Street, Suite 400, San Diego, California 92101.

FUNDING POLICY:

The City of San Diego municipal code requires member contributions to be actuarially determined to provide a specific level of benefit. Member contribution rates, as a percentage of salary, vary according to age at entry, benefit tier level and certain negotiated contracts, which provide for the Airport Authority to pay a portion of the employees' contributions. The Airport Authority contribution rate, as determined through actuarial valuation, was 14.13 percent for 2014, 10.47 percent for 2013, and 15.11 percent for 2012, and is expressed as a percentage of covered payroll.

BENEFITS PROVIDED

The Airport Authority provides retirement, disability and death benefits.

There are two types of participants, the classic participants and the PEPRA participants. A classic participant means any member who is not a PEPRA participant. A PEPRA participant is any member hired on or after January 1, 2013, who has never been a member of a public retirement system or who had a break in service of more than six months before their Airport Authority hire date.

The classic participant retirement benefit is calculated by using monthly salary amounts based on the highest continuous 26 bi-weekly pay periods divided by 12. The eligibility of the classic participants begins at age 62 with five years of service, or age 55 with 20 years of service.

The PEPRA participant's benefit is calculated by using monthly salary amounts based on the highest 36 consecutive months divided by 36. Base salary cannot exceed 100 percent of the Social Security contribution and benefit base, indexed to the CPI-U. The eligibility of the PEPRA participants begins at age 52 with five years of service.

The Airport Authority provides monthly payments for the life of the employee, with 50 percent continuance to the eligible spouse, domestic partner, or dependent child under 21 years of age upon the member's death. If there is no eligible spouse, the member may receive either a lump sum payment equal to the accumulated surviving spouse contributions or an actuarially equivalent annuity.

Employees with ten years of continuous service are eligible to receive non-industrial disability and employees with no service requirement can receive industrial disability.

The death benefit for non-industrial death before the employee is eligible to retire is a refund of the employee contributions, with interest plus one month's salary for each completed year of service to a maximum of six months' salary. A non-industrial death benefit after the employee is eligible to retire from service is 50 percent of earned benefit payable to eligible surviving spouse, domestic partner, or dependent child under 21 years of age. The industrial death benefit is 50 percent of the final average compensation preceding death, payable to eligible surviving spouse, domestic partner or dependent child under 21 years of age.

NOTE 6.
DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN
(CONTINUED)

ANNUAL PENSION COST

For the year ended June 30, 2014, the annual pension cost for the Airport Authority, as measured under GASB Statement No. 27 was \$4,882,000. Comparing the June 30, 2014 actuarial valuation to the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuation, total membership increased by 3.0 percent. The increase was attributable to both the growth in active membership, terminated vested, disabled, retirees and beneficiaries. Active member payroll increased by 1.8 percent. Additionally, active member total payroll increased by 6.2 percent, and the average pay per active member increased by 4.4 percent. The actuarial

liability increased by 18.5 percent but the actuarial value of assets increased by 12.3 percent. The funding ratio decreased from 98.5 percent as of June 30, 2012 to 93.4 percent as of June 30, 2013.

SDCERS employed a commonly used actuarial smoothing method on the market value that dampens market volatility, so the actuarial value of assets did not decrease as much as the market value (94.6 percent).

ASSUMPTIONS

As of the latest actuarial valuation determined in accordance with GASB Statement No. 27, dated June 30, 2013, significant actuarial assumptions used in the valuation included:

- The rates of retirement were based on age and service as opposed to just the service of a member.
- The percent married assumption was 55 percent for females and 80 percent for males, and the assumed age difference between husbands and wives was three years.
- The reciprocity assumption was 10 percent.
- Rates of termination were based on service as opposed to the age of a member.
- Disability rates were modified to reflect actual experience through June 30, 2010 and include a projection to 2013.
- Mortality rates for active Airport Authority members (RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table) were modified to reflect actual experience through June 30, 2010 and include a projection to 2013.
- Mortality rates for retired Airport Authority members were modified to reflect actual experience through June 30, 2010. No modifications have been made to project future mortality improvements.
- The investment return assumption was 7.25 percent.
- The inflation assumption was 3.3 percent
- Cost of living adjustment was assumed 2 percent.
- Actuarial funding method is entry age normal
- Amortization method is level percent closed
- Asset valuation method is expected value method



NOTE 6.
DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN
(CONTINUED)

Contributions: On September 16, 2004, the Airport Authority made a contribution payment in the amount of \$3,900,000, in addition to the annual required contribution (ARC), to reflect a desired funded ratio of 90 percent. On June 21, 2005, the Airport Authority made an additional contribution of \$1,000,000. During the year ended June 30, 2006, the Airport Authority made an additional contribution of \$513,627. On June 30, 2010, the Airport Authority made a contribution of \$4,600,000 to increase the funded rate reported in the January 2010 actuarial calculation from 86.9 percent to the desired funded ratio of 90 percent. In April 2014, the Airport Authority again made a contribution of \$827,945 to increase the funded ratio to 94 percent. At June 30, 2014,

the total contribution of \$10,841,572 less amortization of \$3,365,485 is recorded as a net pension asset of \$6,919,775 in accordance with GASB Statement No. 27. The contributions were being amortized over an 18-year period.

The Airport Authority's contribution for fiscal year 2014 measured as a percentage of membership payroll increased from 11.9 percent to 14.29 percent. The required beginning of year contribution, paid July 1 2014, increased by \$918,149. Employee contributions to the plan were \$1,019,220 and \$1,044,798 for the years ending June 30, 2014 and 2015, respectively.

The following is a schedule of the annual pension cost and net pension asset for the plan, as measured in accordance with GASB Statement No. 27 (dollars in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual Pension Cost (APC)	Airport Cost Funded	% of APC Contributed	ARC	Net Pension Asset (NPA) Balance	Increase (Decrease) NPA	Amortization of NPA	Interest on the NPA at 7.50%/7.25%
6/30/12	\$ 4,356	\$ 3,800	87%	\$ 3,800	\$ 7,204	\$ (556)	\$ (256)	\$ 751
6/30/13	4,582	2,600	57%	2,600	6,648	(556)	556	751
6/30/14	4,882	3,728	76%	2,904	6,920	272	556	786

Schedule of funding progress for the Plan (dollars in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	Unfunded AAL/Asset UAAL/(Asset)	Funded Ratio	Annual Covered Payroll	UAAL/(Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
6/30/13	\$ 107,616	\$ 115,200	\$ 7,584	93.4%	\$ 26,380	28.7%



NOTE 7.
DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, GASB
STATEMENT NO. 68 DISCLOSURES

GASB Statement No. 68 was used to account for the June 30, 2015 net pension asset. A measurement date of June 30, 2014 was used for the June 30, 2015 pension asset and expense. The information that follows was determined as of a valuation date of June 30, 2013, updated to June 30, 2014.

Membership in the Plan by membership class at June 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	2014	2015
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	55	41
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	91	80
Active employees	374	356
	<u>520</u>	<u>477</u>

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS:

Following the implementation of GASB Statement No. 68 through the June 30, 2014 actuarial report, the related assumptions therein were not changed from that of the June 30, 2013 actuarial report.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was based primarily on historical returns on plan assets, adjusted for changes in target portfolio allocations and recent changes in long-term interest rates based on publically available information.

The target allocations and best estimates of rates of return for each major asset class are summarized below:

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-term Expected Real Rate of Return
U.S. equity	21%	4.7%
Non-U.S. equity	14%	5.0%
Emerging market equity	1%	6.4%
Global equity	5%	5.0%
U.S. fixed income	22%	1.7%
Emerging market debt	5%	4.1%
Real estate	11%	4.3%
Private equity	10%	6.6%
Infrastructure	3%	5.4%
Opportunity fund	8%	4.6%
	<u>100%</u>	

DISCOUNT RATE:

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability (asset) was 7.25 percent. Based on plan funding expectations, no actuarial projection of cash flows was made as the plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future

benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of the projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability (asset).

NOTE 7.
DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, GASB
STATEMENT NO. 68 DISCLOSURES
(CONTINUED)

Changes in the total pension liability, plan fiduciary net position and the net pension liability (asset) through the year ended June 30, 2014 were as follows:

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability (a)	Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability/(Asset) (a) - (b)
Balances as of 6/30/13	\$ 115,200,048	\$ 108,456,250	\$ 6,743,798
Changes for the year:			
Service cost	6,099,481	-	6,099,481
Interest on total pension liability	8,465,485	-	8,465,485
Benefit payments	(2,913,221)	(2,913,221)	-
Administrative expenses	-	(332,645)	332,645
Member contributions	-	1,019,220	(1,019,220)
Employer contributions	-	5,670,847	(5,670,847)
Net investment income	-	18,302,683	(18,302,683)
Net changes	11,651,745	21,746,884	(10,095,139)
Balances as of 6/30/14	\$ 126,851,793	\$ 130,203,134	\$ (3,351,341)

SENSITIVITY TO INTEREST RATE CHANGES:

The following presents the resulting net pension liability (asset) calculated using the discount rate of 7.25 percent, as well as what the net pension liability (asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower or 1 percentage point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Current Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)
Total pension liability	\$ 144,844,121	\$ 126,851,793	\$ 111,951,262
Plan fiduciary net position	130,203,135	130,203,134	130,203,135
Net pension liability	\$ 14,640,986	\$ (3,351,341)	\$ (18,251,873)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	89.9%	102.6%	116.3%

NOTE 7.

DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN, GASB
STATEMENT NO. 68 DISCLOSURES
(CONTINUED)

PENSION EXPENSE AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES RELATED TO THE PLAN:

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority recognized pension expense, as measured in accordance with GASB Statement No. 68, of \$3,743,686, and reported deferred inflows of resources and deferred outflows of resources related to the plan from the following sources:

	Deferred Inflows of Resources	Deferred Outflows of Resources
Net difference between projected and actual earnings	\$ (8,167,978)	\$ -
Employer contributions made subsequent to June 30, 2014 measurement date	\$ -	\$ 5,852,754

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority reported \$5,852,754 as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Airport Authority contributions subsequent to the measurement date and prior to year-end. This amount will be recognized as a reduction in the net pension liability (asset) at June 30, 2016.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to the plan will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ending June 30	
2016	\$ 2,041,994
2017	2,041,994
2018	2,041,994
2019	2,041,996

NOTE 8.

EMPLOYEES' DEFERRED
COMPENSATION PLAN

The Airport Authority offers its employees a deferred compensation plan, which was created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 457. The plan, which is available to all full-time Airport Authority employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, total disability, death or unforeseeable emergency.

The plan is administered by the Airport Authority and contracted to an unrelated financial institution. Under the terms of an IRC Section 457 deferred compensation plan, all deferred compensation and income attribut-

able to the investment of the deferred compensation amounts held by the financial institution, until paid or made available to the employees or beneficiaries, are held in trust for employees.

Employee assets to be held in the IRC Section 457 plans are not the property of the Airport Authority and are not subject to the claims of the Airport Authority's general creditors. Accordingly, employee assets are not reflected in the Airport Authority's financial statements.

NOTE 9.
OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT
BENEFITS

The Airport Authority provides a single-employer postemployment benefit plan (the OPEB Plan). The OPEB Plan provides postretirement medical, dental, vision and life insurance benefits for nonunion employees hired prior to May 1, 2006 and union employees hired prior to October 1, 2008. The employees are eligible for these benefits if they retire from active employment after age 55 with 20 years of service or age 62 with five years of service.

PLAN DESCRIPTION:

As of May 8, 2009, the Board approved entering into an agreement with the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) fund. This is managed by California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS). CalPERS administers pension and health benefits for approximately 1.5 million California public employees, retirees and their families. CalPERS was founded in 1932 and is the largest public pension fund in the United States, managing more than \$250 billion in assets for more than 2,500 California employers. In 1988 and 2007, enabling statutes and regulations were enacted which permitted CalPERS to form the CERBT fund, an irrevocable Section 115 Trust, for the purpose of receiving employer contributions that will pre-fund health and other postemployment benefit costs for retirees and their beneficiaries. Financial statements for CERBT may be obtained from CalPERS at P.O. Box 942709, Sacramento, CA 94229-2709.

FUNDING POLICY:

CERBT requires a valuation of the liabilities and annual costs for benefits by an approved actuarial consulting firm. It is the Airport Authority's intent to budget and pre-fund the annual required contributions (ARCs). As of May 9, 2009, the agreement with CERBT was approved. Retirees contribute 5 percent of plan costs for single coverage and the entire cost of vision benefits. Annually, the Airport Authority funds 100 percent of the actuarially calculated ARC for its OPEB. In previous years, the Airport Authority has made contributions above the annual ARC which has resulted in a net OPEB asset.

ANNUAL OPEB COST AND ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

The Airport Authority's annual OPEB cost is calculated based on the ARC, an amount that is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB State-

ment No. 45. The Airport Authority has elected to perform an actuarial valuation of the OPEB on a biennial basis, the most recent of which is dated as of July 1, 2013. According to the July 1, 2013 actuarial valuation, the ARC was \$ 2,403,000 and \$2,328,000 for fiscal year 2015 and 2014, respectively. The ARC was determined using the entry age normal cost method with amortization of the unfunded accrued liability occurring over a 30-year period ending June 30, 2037.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial values of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations. The actuarial assumptions used by CERBT include (a) a 7.36 percent investment rate of return, (7.61 percent was used in the prior valuations), net of administrative expenses, RP-2014 Mortality Tables with fully generational projection using MP-2014 scale and (b) projected salary increases of 3.00 percent. The annual healthcare cost trend rate ranged from 5.0 to 9.5 percent for medical and assumes a 5.0 percent rate for dental and 3.0 percent rate for vision. In establishing the discount rate, an inflation rate of 2.75 percent was used.

The entry age normal cost method spreads plan costs for each participant from entry date to the expected retirement date. Under the entry age normal cost method, the plan's normal cost is developed as a level percentage of payroll spread over the participants' working lifetime. The actuarial accrued liability is the cumulative value, on the valuation date, of prior service costs. For retirees, the actuarial accrued liability is the present value of all projected benefits.

NOTE 9.
OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT
BENEFITS

The plan costs are derived by making certain specific assumptions as to the rates of interest, mortality, turnover and the like, which are assumed to hold for many years into the future. Actual experience may differ somewhat from the assumptions and the effect of such differences is spread over all periods. Due to these differences, the costs determined by the valuation must be regarded as estimates of the true plan costs.

Development of the net OPEB obligation (NOO/Asset) and annual OPEB cost for the past three years is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Fiscal Year	ARCs	Employer Contribution	NOO/(Asset) End of Year	Interest on NOO/(Asset)	Adjustment to the ARC	Annual OPEB Cost
7/1/12	12/13	\$ 2,238	\$ 2,236	\$ (59)	\$ -	\$ 4	\$ 2,238
7/1/13	13/14	2,328	2,328	(59)	(4)	4	2,328
7/1/14	14/15	2,403	2,403	(59)	(4)	4	2,403

The Airport Authority's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan and the net OPEB obligation for 2015, 2014 and 2013 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ended	Annual OPEB Costs	Employer Contribution	Percentage of OPEB Cost Contributed	NOO/(Asset)
6/30/13	\$ 2,238	\$ 2,236	99.9%	\$ (59)
6/30/14	2,328	2,328	100.0%	(59)
6/30/15	2,403	2,403	100.0%	(59)

FUNDED STATUS AND FUNDING PROGRESS:

The projection of future benefit payments for an ongoing plan involves estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the accompanying notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The funded status of the plan based on the most recent biennial actuarial valuation for the plan, dated as of July 1, 2013, was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Liability (AAL)	Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percent of Covered Payroll	Interest Rate	Salary Scale
7/1/13	\$ 12,667	\$ 31,553	\$ 18,886	40.1%	\$ 17,567	107.5%	7.4%	3.0%

NOTE 10.
RISK MANAGEMENT

The Airport Authority has a comprehensive Risk Management Program comprising commercial insurance, self-insurance, loss prevention, loss control and claims administration. The Airport Authority's coverage includes a variety of retentions or deductibles.

COMMERCIALLY ISSUED INSURANCE:

- The Airport Authority maintains \$500 million in limits for owners' and operators' general liability insurance with a war, hijacking and other perils endorsement in the amount of \$150 million.
- The Airport Authority maintains a property insurance policy with limits of \$750 million providing all risk and flood coverage on physical assets.
- The Airport Authority also maintains policies for workers' compensation, commercial auto, fiduciary liability, privacy and network security, crime and public officials and employment practices liability, among others.

SELF-INSURANCE:

Due to the exorbitant cost of earthquake insurance, the Airport Authority self-insures for losses due to earthquake damage. Effective July 1, 2007, the Airport Authority removed the purchase of commercial earthquake insurance from the Risk Management Program and increased reliance on the laws designed

to assist public entities through the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the California Disaster Assistance Act. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority has designated \$8,095,974 and \$7,377,978, respectively, from its net position, as an insurance contingency.

A \$2,000,000 reserve has been established within unrestricted net position by the Airport Authority's management to respond to uninsured and underinsured catastrophic losses. This fund is maintained pursuant to Board action only; there is no requirement that it be maintained.

LOSS PREVENTION:

The Airport Authority has an active loss prevention program, staffed by a full-time risk manager, two risk analysts, a safety manager and a safety analyst. In addition, insurer property and casualty loss control engineers conduct safety surveys on a periodic basis. Employees receive regular safety training and claims are monitored using a Web-based claims information system.

During fiscal year 2015, there were no significant reductions in insurance coverage from the prior year. For each of the past three fiscal years, settlements have not exceeded insurance coverage.

NOTE 11.
LEASE REVENUES

The Airport Authority leases certain of its capital assets, such as loading bridges and building space, to signatory airlines and other tenants under operating leases. Substantially all capital assets are held by the Airport Authority for the purpose of rental or related use. A majority of the lease payments are determined each year based upon the actual costs of the airport. Such costs are allocated pro rata to each tenant based upon factors such as landed weights, enplanements, square footage, acres, etc. A majority of the Airport Authority's lease commitments are primarily on a month-to-month basis and accordingly are not reflected in the schedule below.

The Airport Authority's recent expansion of approximately 25,000 additional square feet results in the increase of the number of food service and retail concession locations from 55 to 87. The Authority has implemented a comprehensive Concessions Development Program (CDP) to provide a world class shopping and dining experience for the millions of passengers who use SDIA each year. The full program build out was completed during fiscal year 2015. The CDP replaces the Airport Authority's one master concessionaire.

NOTE 11.
LEASE REVENUES
(CONTINUED)

The Airport Authority's CFC revenues and Bonds funded construction of the Rental Car Center Facility (RCC) is set to be completed in the first quarter of 2016. The RCC facility which sits on 24.85 acres of land will house all the major and small operator rental car tenants. The land rent leases for the RCC will commence on the opening date of the facility until the Bonds are repaid or defeased. This land rent is a non-cancellable lease and will convert to Facility Rent when bonds are repaid.

The minimum future lease payments to be received under the above operating lease agreements as of June 30 are as follows:

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 10,765,367
2017	12,461,273
2018	11,781,102
2019	11,872,943
2020	<u>12,012,827</u>
	<u>\$ 58,893,512</u>

The Airport Authority entered into a five-year lease agreement on January 9, 2009 with the San Diego World Trade Center (World Trade Center) for office space, with a fair market value of \$440,000. In lieu of rental payments, the Airport Authority received a 40 percent ownership of the World Trade Center license, which has a fair market value

of \$440,000. The license, an intangible asset with no expiration date, is included in non-depreciable assets in Note 4. The Airport Authority recognized lease revenue of \$0 and \$86,996 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, under the World Trade Center lease.

NOTE 12.
LEASE COMMITMENTS

OPERATING LEASES

GENERAL DYNAMICS LEASE:

The Airport Authority is required, by legislation mandating the transfer of airport operations from the District, to lease from the District 89.75 acres of the former General Dynamics property on Pacific Highway adjacent to SDIA for 66 years commencing January 1, 2003. The lease agreement, as amended, calls for rent payments of \$6,750,000 annually through December 31, 2068. A portion of the land is leased back to the District for employee parking at the same fair market value rent paid by the Airport Authority.

SDIA LEASE:

The Airport Authority is leasing from the District 480

acres of land on North Harbor Drive for \$1 per year under a lease that expires December 31, 2068.

TELEDYNE RYAN LEASE:

The Airport Authority is leasing from the District 46.88 acres on North Harbor Drive referred to as the Teledyne Ryan lease that commenced on January 1, 2005 and expires December 31, 2068, for \$3 million in annual rent.

Under current law, in the event SDIA is relocated and the District leases are no longer used by the Airport Authority for airport purposes, all District leases will terminate and use of the property will revert to the District.

NOTE 12. The future rental commitment under the above operating lease agreements as of June 30 are due as follows:

LEASE COMMITMENTS
(CONTINUED)

Years Ending June 30,	Amount
2016	\$ 10,167,120
2017	10,167,120
2018	10,159,920
2019	10,159,920
2020	10,159,920
2021-2025	50,799,600
2026-2030	50,799,600
2031-2035	50,799,600
2036-2040	50,799,600
2041-2045	50,799,600
2046-2050	50,799,600
2051-2055	50,799,600
2056-2060	50,799,600
2061-2065	50,799,600
2066-2070	35,559,720
	<u>\$ 543,570,120</u>

The total rental expense charged to operations for the years ended June 30 consists of the following:

	2015	2014
Rental payments made	<u>\$ 10,433,251</u>	<u>\$ 10,478,262</u>

NOTE 13. **COMMITMENTS:**

COMMITMENTS AND
CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority had significant commitments for capital expenditures and other matters as described below:

- i. The Airport Authority has funds which have been classified as current assets, primarily for the unpaid contractual portion of capital projects that are currently in progress, and will not be funded by grants or additional debt, but will be funded through Airport Authority cash. These amounts are for the estimated cost of capital projects that have been authorized by the Board for construction planning to proceed and for the oncontractual costs of upgrading certain major equipment. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, these funds totaled approximately \$12.5 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, and are classified on the accompanying statements of net position as cash and investments designated for specific capital projects and other commitments.

NOTE 13.
COMMITMENTS AND
CONTINGENCIES
(CONTINUED)

- ii. Support services — As part of the MOU, services provided by the District Harbor Police are required to be purchased by the Airport Authority as long as SDIA continues to operate at the current location. At the time of the transfer, the Airport Authority entered into a Master Services Agreement, a Police Services Agreement and a Communications Services Agreement with the District, which described the services that the Airport Authority could purchase and the manner of calculating the payments for such services. The largest amount that became payable under any of these agreements is under the Police Services Agreement, which is for Harbor Police services. The District provides monthly billings to the Airport Authority, with payment generally due 30 days after the date of the invoice, and provision of appropriate supporting documentation. During the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Airport Authority expensed \$15,847,455 and \$16,577,044, respectively, for these service
- iii. In fiscal year 2012, the Board approved two contracts with Ace Parking Management Inc., one for the parking management services in the amount of \$29.7 million and the second for the airport shuttle services in the amount of \$28.8 million. The total amounts spent as of June 30, 2015, were \$17.3 million for parking management services and \$22.6 million for airport shuttle services. These contracts are scheduled for completion in 2017. As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority's remaining commitment is approximately \$12.4 million for the parking management contract and \$6.23 million for the shuttle service contract.
- iv. In fiscal year 2014, the Board approved a contract with Austin-Sundt JV for the design and construction of the proposed Rental Car Center in the amount of \$14.0 million and additional approval of \$10.0 million. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved an additional \$223.9 million. As of June 30, 2015, \$189.7 million had been spent and the contract is due to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- v. In fiscal year 2013, the Board approved a contract with Demattei Wong Architecture in support of the Rental Car Center project in the amount of \$10.0 million and an additional approval of \$17.0 million. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved an additional \$5.0 million. As of June 30, 2015, \$19.2 million had been spent and the contract is due to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- vi. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$29.2 million contract with SP Plus Corporation to transport rental car companies' customers between the Rental Car Center facility and the terminals and includes the operation, management and maintenance of the shuttle vehicles.
- vii. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$5.7 million contract with Granite Construction Company for the construction of the Northside bypass Taxiway to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- viii. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$10.4 million contract with Orion Construction Corporation for the installation of the Northside utility storm drain trunk to provide required storm drainage infrastructure to be completed in fiscal year 2016.
- ix. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$60.0 million contract with AECOM Technical Services, Inc. for project support for the development of the Northside solar projects to be completed in fiscal year 2018.
- x. In fiscal year 2015, the Board approved a \$5.5 million contract with Granite Construction Company for the construction of the Rental Car Center bus parking facility to be completed in fiscal year 2016.

NOTE 13.
COMMITMENTS AND
CONTINGENCIES
(CONTINUED)

CONTINGENCIES:

As of June 30, 2015, the Airport Authority is subject to contingencies arising from legal matters as described below:

The Airport Authority has leases and operating agreements with various tenants. These agreements typically include provisions requiring the tenants/operators to indemnify the Airport Authority for any damage to property or losses to the Airport Authority as a result of the tenant's operations. Also, the leases and operating agreements typically require the Airport Authority to be

named as an additional insured under certain insurance policies of the tenants/operators. The Airport Authority also tenders these claims to its own insurers once they become asserted claims. When these types of claims are asserted against the Airport Authority, the Airport Authority not only vigorously opposes them but also vigorously seeks contribution and/or indemnity from all tenants/operators involved, from the tenants'/operators' insurers and from its own insurers. The Airport Authority's legal counsel cannot predict the net exposure to the Airport Authority with respect to these matters, or the probability or remoteness of any outcome.



**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)
FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2015**

**San Diego County Regional
Airport Authority**

Schedule of pension funding progress for the Airport Authority is as follows (dollars in thousands) (GASB Statements No. 27 and 50)*:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)/(Asset)	Funded Ratio	Annual Covered Payroll	UAAL/(Asset) as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
6/30/08	\$ 57,748	\$ 56,808	\$ (940)	101.7%	\$ 23,488	(4.0%)
6/30/09	58,981	67,871	8,890	86.9%	24,693	36.0%
6/30/10	73,401	76,447	3,046	96.0%	25,709	11.8%
6/30/11	86,309	84,042	(2,267)	102.7%	25,148	(9.0%)
6/30/12	95,793	97,225	1,432	98.5%	24,726	5.8%
6/30/13	107,616	115,200	7,584	93.4%	26,380	28.7%

*The fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 was not restated for the effects of GASB Statement No. 68.

Schedule of OPEB funding progress for the Airport Authority is as follows (dollars in thousands)*:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability AAL	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability UAAL	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percent of Covered Payroll	Interest Rate	Salary Scale
7/1/08	\$ -	\$ 10,327	\$ 10,327	0.0%	\$ 19,417	53.2%	7.75%	3.25%
7/1/09	2,674	12,206	9,532	21.9%	19,514	48.8%	7.75%	3.25%
7/1/10	4,474	14,149	9,675	31.6%	20,148	48.0%	7.75%	3.25%
7/1/11	7,604	22,197	14,593	34.3%	18,728	77.9%	7.60%	3.25%
7/1/12	7,604	22,197	14,593	34.3%	18,728	77.9%	7.61%	3.25%
7/1/13	12,667	31,553	18,886	40.1%	17,567	107.5%	7.36%	3.00%

* In accordance with GASB Statement No. 45, the Airport Authority has an actuarial valuation completed biennially.



**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)
FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2015
(CONTINUED)**

**San Diego County Regional
Airport Authority**

Schedule of changes in the net pension liability (asset), last 10 fiscal years (GASB Statement No. 68):

	<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability:	
Service cost	\$ 6,099,481
Interest (includes interest on service cost)	8,465,485
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	<u>(2,913,221)</u>
Net change in total pension liability	11,651,745
 Total pension liability - June 30, 2013	 <u>115,200,048</u>
 Total pension liability - June 30, 2014	 <u>\$ 126,851,793</u>
 Plan Fiduciary Net Position:	
Contributions - employer	\$ 5,670,847
Contributions - employee	1,019,220
Net investment income	18,302,683
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	(2,913,221)
Administrative expense	<u>(332,645)</u>
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	21,746,884
 Plan fiduciary net position - June 30, 2013	 <u>108,456,250</u>
 Plan fiduciary net position - June 30, 2014	 <u>\$ 130,203,134</u>
 Net pension liability (asset) - June 30, 2014	 \$ (3,351,341)
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	102.64%
 Covered employee payroll	 \$ 26,380,323
Net pension liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll	(12.7%)

NOTE TO SCHEDULE:

This schedule is intended to display the most recent 10 years of data for annual changes in the net pension liability. Until such time has elapsed after implementing GASB Statement No. 68, this schedule will only present information from those years that are available.



**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)
FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2015
(CONTINUED)**

**San Diego County Regional
Airport Authority**

Schedule of contributions, last 10 fiscal years (in thousands) (GASB Statement No. 68):

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 2,900	\$ 2,600	\$ 3,800	\$ 4,300	\$ 3,000
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	3,728	2,600	3,800	4,300	7,600
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ (828)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (4,600)</u>
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 26,380	\$ 24,840	\$ 25,148	\$ 25,596	\$ 24,693
Contributions as a percentage of covered-payroll	14.13%	10.47%	15.11%	16.80%	30.78%

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Actuarially determined contribution	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,200	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,879	\$ 2,312
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	3,035	2,520	2,962	3,300	7,625
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ (35)</u>	<u>\$ (320)</u>	<u>\$ (362)</u>	<u>\$ (421)</u>	<u>\$ (5,313)</u>
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 23,488	\$ 21,957	\$ 19,116	\$ 17,609	\$ 15,606
Contributions as a percentage of covered-payroll	12.92%	11.48%	15.49%	18.74%	48.86%



WE MOVE PEOPLE

IN CALENDAR YEAR 2014, SAN SERVED 18.8 MILLION PASSENGERS, BREAKING THE RECORD SET IN 2007. SAN ALSO SET NEW RECORDS FOR TOTAL PASSENGER BOARDINGS AND INTERNATIONAL PASSENGERS.

18.8 MILLION

TOTAL PASSENGERS LAST YEAR, EXCEEDING THE PREVIOUS RECORD OF 18,326,734 SET IN 2007 BY 2.3 PERCENT.

672,972

TOTAL INTERNATIONAL PASSENGERS, A 7.2 PERCENT INCREASE OVER 2013, AND THE THIRD CONSECUTIVE YEAR SAN HAD A RECORD-BREAKING INCREASE IN THIS CATEGORY.

9,383,793

IN ANOTHER IMPORTANT CATEGORY, SAN SET A RECORD FOR TOTAL ENPLANEMENTS, OR DEPARTING PASSENGERS.

STATISTICAL SECTION

AUTHORITY OPERATING REVENUES AND EXPENSES

AUTHORITY NET POSITION BY COMPONENT

AUTHORITY CHANGE IN NET POSITION

AUTHORITY LARGEST SOURCES OF REVENUES

AUTHORITY LANDING FEE RATE

TERMINAL RATES BILLED TO AIRLINES

AIRLINE COST PER ENPLANED PASSENGER

AUTHORITY EMPLOYEE HEADCOUNT

AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS

AIRCRAFT LANDED WEIGHTS

AIRCRAFT LANDED WEIGHTS BY AIRLINE

PASSENGER ENPLANEMENTS

ENPLANEMENT MARKET SHARE BY AIRLINE BY FISCAL YEAR

GROWTH IN ENPLANED PASSENGERS, SDIA VS. US

CAPITAL ASSETS

POPULATION AND PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME - SAN DIEGO COUNTY

PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY

SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY SECTOR

LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATES

DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE

DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE - SERIES 2014 CFC BONDS

DEBT PER ENPLANED PASSENGER



EXHIBIT S-1

AUTHORITY REVENUES AND O&M EXPENSES

(\$000)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Operating Revenues

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Airline revenue										
Landing fees	\$ 22,243	\$ 24,006	\$ 24,763	\$ 18,689	\$ 18,672	\$ 18,579	\$ 18,419	\$ 19,658	\$ 19,107	\$ 21,390
Aircraft parking fees	-	-	-	3,221	3,406	2,921	3,134	3,191	2,503	2,716
Building rentals	21,137	22,495	24,265	23,057	23,835	26,980	30,633	41,840	46,001	48,153
Security surcharge	7,759	8,441	8,619	10,204	11,900	14,886	18,649	23,360	25,777	25,180
Other aviation revenue	1,868	1,757	1,808	1,565	1,585	1,597	1,595	1,591	4,488	4,893
Concession revenue	29,362	34,201	38,785	36,280	36,249	37,103	40,427	42,041	47,770	52,496
Parking and ground transportation revenue	26,904	28,392	31,038	31,492	30,296	31,645	31,470	35,750	38,959	41,632
Ground rentals	5,505	4,994	5,207	5,776	5,923	8,656	8,044	9,162	9,603	13,074
Other operating revenue	4,717	1,081	1,197	693	1,829	1,640	1,179	905	1,529	971
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 119,495	\$ 125,367	\$ 135,682	\$ 130,977	\$ 133,695	\$ 144,007	\$ 153,550	\$ 177,498	\$ 195,737	\$ 210,505

Operating Expenses Before Depreciation

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Salaries and benefits	\$ 26,847	\$ 28,333	\$ 32,912	\$ 34,741	\$ 35,386	\$ 38,267	\$ 37,237	\$ 38,092	\$ 39,135	\$ 39,212
Contractual services	31,967	26,391	27,378	27,464	27,999	26,113	26,906	29,284	31,559	32,422
Safety and security	14,777	15,946	19,110	19,930	20,131	21,344	22,625	23,994	24,151	23,466
Space rental	11,353	10,842	10,901	10,888	10,906	10,906	11,415	10,897	10,478	10,433
Utilities	5,416	6,421	6,430	6,912	6,871	6,413	6,674	6,659	8,680	10,152
Maintenance	5,390	8,393	8,735	8,002	9,231	8,174	8,497	11,204	13,982	14,516
Equipment and systems	736	980	1,333	678	891	570	403	469	643	1,805
Materials and supplies	591	762	795	641	413	345	304	406	440	519
Insurance	1,162	1,999	1,227	1,096	1,166	1,066	764	795	988	1,145
Employee development and support	906	909	1,035	1,030	990	1,041	916	1,235	1,171	1,136
Business development	1,329	2,096	2,733	2,509	2,033	2,275	2,093	2,444	2,661	2,493
Equipment rentals and repairs	882	1,479	1,396	1,387	1,271	1,327	1,335	1,317	2,932	2,951
Total Operating Expenses Before Depreciation	\$ 101,356	\$ 104,551	\$ 113,985	\$ 115,278	\$ 117,288	\$ 117,841	\$ 119,169	\$ 126,796	\$ 136,820	\$ 140,250

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

EXHIBIT S-2

AUTHORITY NET POSITION BY COMPONENT (\$000)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010*	2011*	2012*	2013	2014	2015**
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 219,218	\$ 236,762	\$ 238,144	\$ 249,498	\$ 274,769	\$ 352,276	\$ 339,467	\$ 359,640	\$ 312,780	\$ 316,250
Other restricted net position	96,633	103,787	136,548	167,827	139,672	147,513	172,076	167,384	204,642	215,968
Unrestricted net position	117,940	124,984	120,429	95,858	145,224	102,466	149,346	200,040	209,594	210,522
Total net position	\$ 433,791	\$ 465,533	\$ 495,121	\$ 513,183	\$ 559,664	\$ 602,255	\$ 660,889	\$ 727,064	\$ 727,016	\$ 742,740

* Amounts for 2010 - 2012 were restated as per GASB 65

** Amounts for 2015 were restated as per GASB 68

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

EXHIBIT S-3**AUTHORITY CHANGE IN NET POSITION**

(\$'000)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010*	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Operating revenues:										
Airline revenue:										
Landing fees	\$ 22,243	\$ 24,006	\$ 24,763	\$ 18,689	\$ 18,672	\$ 18,579	\$ 18,419	\$ 19,658	\$ 19,107	\$ 21,390
Aircraft parking fees	-	-	-	3,221	3,406	2,921	3,134	3,191	2,503	2,716
Building rentals	21,137	22,495	24,265	23,057	23,835	26,980	30,633	41,840	46,001	48,153
Security surcharge	7,759	8,441	8,619	10,204	11,900	14,886	18,649	23,360	25,777	25,180
Other aviation revenue	1,868	1,757	1,808	1,565	1,584	1,597	1,595	1,591	4,488	4,893
Concession revenue	29,362	34,201	38,785	36,280	36,249	37,103	40,427	42,041	47,770	52,496
Parking and ground transportation revenue	26,904	28,392	31,038	31,492	30,296	31,645	31,470	35,750	38,959	41,632
Ground rentals	5,505	4,994	5,207	5,776	5,923	8,656	8,044	9,162	9,603	13,074
Other operating revenue	4,717	1,081	1,197	693	1,829	1,640	1,179	905	1,529	971
Total operating revenues	119,495	125,367	135,682	130,977	133,695	144,007	153,550	177,498	195,737	210,505
Operating expenses:										
Salaries and benefits	26,847	28,333	32,912	34,741	35,386	38,267	37,237	38,092	39,135	39,212
Contractual services	31,967	26,391	27,378	27,464	27,999	26,113	26,906	29,284	31,559	32,422
Safety and security	14,777	15,946	19,110	19,930	20,131	21,344	22,625	23,994	24,151	23,465
Space rental	11,353	10,842	10,901	10,888	10,906	10,906	11,415	10,897	10,478	10,433
Utilities	5,416	6,421	6,430	6,912	6,871	6,413	6,674	6,659	8,680	10,152
Maintenance	5,390	8,393	8,735	8,002	9,231	8,174	8,497	11,204	13,982	14,516
Equipment and systems	736	980	1,333	678	891	570	403	469	643	1,805
Materials and supplies	591	762	795	641	413	345	304	406	440	519
Insurance	1,162	1,999	1,227	1,096	1,166	1,066	764	795	988	1,145
Employee development and support	906	909	1,035	1,030	990	1,041	916	1,235	1,171	1,136
Business development	1,329	2,096	2,733	2,509	2,033	2,275	2,093	2,444	2,661	2,493
Equipment rentals and repairs	882	1,479	1,396	1,387	1,271	1,327	1,335	1,317	2,933	2,952
Total operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	101,356	104,551	113,985	115,278	117,288	117,841	119,169	126,796	136,821	140,250
Income from operations before depreciation and amortization	18,139	20,816	21,697	15,699	16,407	26,166	34,381	50,702	58,916	70,255
Depreciation and amortization	31,559	33,468	36,764	38,196	42,424	49,138	44,532	41,624	77,205	77,559
Operating income (loss)	(13,420)	(12,652)	(15,067)	(22,497)	(26,018)	(22,972)	(10,151)	9,078	(18,289)	(7,304)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):										
Passenger facility charges	34,981	36,452	37,401	33,219	34,049	33,998	34,639	35,437	35,770	38,517
Customer facility charges	-	-	-	1,695	10,783	10,986	11,487	19,117	27,545	32,465
Quieter Home Program, net	(908)	(3,092)	(3,990)	(5,573)	(1,629)	(3,359)	(3,531)	(1,589)	(2,750)	(2,811)
Joint Studies Program	(688)	(120)	(963)	(180)	(244)	(129)	(73)	(55)	(152)	(145)
Interest income	9,306	11,969	13,431	9,434	6,667	6,408	5,492	4,140	5,211	5,747
Interest expense	(4,809)	(4,683)	(4,086)	(2,998)	(3,472)	(12,295)	(2,027)	(16,530)	(56,376)	(59,516)
"Build America Bonds" Rebate						3,691	4,996	4,779	4,636	4,631
Other revenues (expenses), net	964	(3,282)	12	316	(1,004)	(92)	(3,032)	(4,278)	434	1,367
Nonoperating revenue, net	38,846	37,244	41,805	35,913	45,149	39,208	47,951	41,021	14,318	20,255
Income before capital grant contributions	25,426	24,592	26,738	13,416	19,131	16,236	37,800	50,099	(3,971)	12,951
Capital grant contributions	12,145	7,150	2,850	4,646	27,350	26,355	20,834	16,077	3,924	10,765
Change in net position	37,571	31,742	29,588	18,062	46,482	42,591	58,634	66,176	(47)	23,716
Prior Period Adjustment as per GASB 68										(7,993)
Net position, beginning of year	396,220	433,791	465,533	495,121	513,183	559,665	602,255	660,889	727,064	727,017
Net position, end of year	\$ 433,791	\$ 465,533	\$ 495,121	\$ 513,183	\$ 559,665	\$ 602,255	\$ 660,889	\$ 727,064	\$ 727,017	\$ 742,740

* Amounts for 2010 - 2012 were restated as per GASB 65

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

EXHIBIT S-4

AUTHORITY LARGEST SOURCES OF REVENUE (\$000)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Tenant	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Southwest Airlines	\$ 13,464,404	\$ 15,624,767	\$ 16,920,722	\$ 17,658,629	\$ 19,428,103	\$ 21,306,108
United Airlines	5,717,234	6,623,373	6,522,426	6,344,127	7,905,284	9,280,812
Delta Airlines	4,876,095	5,347,415	5,168,634	4,647,333	6,663,671	8,003,895
American Airlines	10,191,557	8,303,616	7,750,147	5,543,732	7,693,564	7,611,443
Alaska Airlines	2,464,162	2,843,993	2,800,385	2,754,173	2,951,554	3,482,098
Enterprise Rent-A-Car	2,888,849	2,007,684	2,530,192	2,501,720	2,517,682	4,431,129
Hertz Rent-A-Car	5,979,512	6,728,751	6,860,949	5,816,230	5,861,737	5,635,151
US Airways	571,874	1,714,362	4,048,246	3,478,789	3,756,383	3,899,253
Avis Budget Rent-A-Car Group	6,002,357	4,465,182	6,193,565	5,505,770	3,378,607	3,842,594
Landmark Aviation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

EXHIBIT S-5

AUTHORITY LANDING FEE RATE (\$ PER 1,000 LBS.)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30



Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Landing Fees are the revenues from passenger and cargo air carriers for landing aircraft at SDIA.

EXHIBIT S-4
AUTHORITY LARGEST SOURCES OF
REVENUE (\$000) - CONTINUED

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Tenant	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015 % of Total Operating Revenue
Southwest Airlines	\$ 23,357,007	\$ 27,598,908	\$ 29,548,565	\$ 33,107,335	15.7%
United Airlines	10,931,601	15,817,886	15,364,094	15,687,045	7.5%
Delta Airlines	8,911,886	10,898,540	12,005,146	13,560,515	6.4%
American Airlines	8,197,015	9,765,412	10,030,675	10,453,233	5.0%
Alaska Airlines	4,265,739	6,167,257	8,008,057	9,712,564	4.6%
Enterprise Rent-A-Car	7,290,392	6,934,784	7,162,116	7,998,222	3.8%
Hertz Rent-A-Car	5,795,690	5,961,730	6,149,759	6,236,082	3.0%
US Airways	4,388,522	5,408,046	5,754,465	5,434,790	2.6%
Avis Budget Rent-A-Car Group	4,507,266	4,697,455	4,822,212	5,131,645	2.4%
Landmark Aviation	-	-	2,027,308	5,042,672	2.4%

EXHIBIT S-6


TERMINAL RATES BILLED TO
 AIRLINES (\$000)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Fiscal Year	Terminal Rates Per Square Foot*	% Change
2006	\$56.62	16.5 %
2007	\$58.39	3.1 %
2008	\$66.67	14.2 %
2009	\$57.38	(13.9)%
2010	\$59.53	3.7 %
2011	\$73.09	22.8 %
2012	\$83.31	14.0 %
2013	\$117.33	40.8 %
2014	\$111.13	(5.3)%
2015	\$129.35	16.4 %

*Net of janitorial credit

Terminal Rate Per Square Foot



Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Information presented reflects those years that the Airport Authority was in operation.

Terminal Rates are rates billed to airlines for the rent of terminal space per square foot.

Beginning with FY 2005, the Security Surcharge was excluded from Terminal Rates and charged separately.

EXHIBIT S-7

AIRLINE COST PER ENPLANED PASSENGER

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Fiscal Year	Enplaned Passengers	Cost per Enplaned Passenger
2006	8,749,734	\$5.98
2007	8,892,069	\$6.31
2008	9,389,327	\$6.26
2009	8,535,774	\$6.36
2010	8,453,886	\$6.73
2011	8,441,120	\$7.54
2012	8,575,475	\$8.33
2013	8,737,617	\$10.16
2014	9,082,244	\$10.49
2015	9,713,066	\$10.26

Cost per Enplaned Passenger




Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Airline Cost per Enplaned Passenger is the total annual cost of fees and charges paid by the airlines divided by the total fiscal year enplanements

EXHIBIT S-8

AUTHORITY EMPLOYEE HEADCOUNT

Fiscal Years Ended June 30



Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

The Airport Authority does not have part-time employees. This chart reflects the average number of employees for the fiscal years shown above.

EXHIBIT S-9


AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS

(TAKEOFFS AND LANDINGS)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Fiscal Year	Air Carriers	Air Taxi	General Aviation	Military	Total
2006	154,092	54,156	17,383	1,121	226,752
2007	157,198	50,068	17,195	983	225,444
2008	167,753	55,373	16,123	1,040	240,289
2009	155,766	39,122	12,721	1,174	208,783
2010	149,718	32,100	11,674	1,017	194,509
2011	146,215	28,273	10,938	755	186,181
2012	149,104	26,398	12,120	658	188,280
2013	154,781	23,370	9,586	567	188,304
2014	155,310	22,953	8,930	597	187,790
2015	160,726	24,336	9,537	669	195,268

Source: FAA ATADS Report: Air Operations Standard Report (itinerant only)



Aircraft operations are the takeoffs and landings at SDIA. They represent the level of demand for air service by the airlines operating at SDIA.

EXHIBIT S-10


AIRCRAFT LANDED WEIGHT

(TAKEOFFS AND LANDINGS)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Fiscal Year	Aircraft Landed Weight in 1000 lbs	% Change
2006	11,604,873	3.6 %
2007	11,773,957	1.5 %
2008	12,501,191	6.2 %
2009	11,496,758	(8.0)%
2010	10,892,867	(5.3)%
2011	10,606,160	(2.6)%
2012	10,819,902	2.0 %
2013	11,015,716	1.8 %
2014	11,186,768	1.6 %
2015	11,534,880	3.1 %

Aircraft Landed Weights (000 lbs)



Source: San Diego Regional Airport Authority

Landed Weight is the maximum gross certificated landed weight in one thousand pound units as stated in the airlines' flight operational manual. Landed weight is used to calculate landing fees for both airline and general aviation aircraft operated at the airport.

EXHIBIT S-11**AIRCRAFT LANDED WEIGHTS BY
AIRLINE (THOUSAND POUNDS)**

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Airline	2006	% of Total	2007	% of Total	2008	% of Total	2009	% of Total	2010	% of Total
Southwest Airlines	3,768,374	32.5%	3,956,170	33.6%	4,416,996	35.3%	4,415,780	38.4%	4,068,974	37.4%
United Airlines *	1,767,394	15.2%	1,803,693	15.3%	1,761,692	14.1%	1,670,479	14.5%	1,662,541	15.3%
Delta Airlines	850,348	7.3%	798,104	6.8%	839,172	6.7%	713,622	6.2%	893,467	8.2%
Alaska Airlines	616,552	5.3%	668,390	5.7%	612,282	4.9%	536,281	4.7%	511,813	4.7%
American Airlines	1,089,872	9.4%	961,143	8.2%	890,796	7.1%	848,513	7.4%	766,151	7.0%
US Airways	250,303	2.2%	391,358	3.3%	713,030	5.7%	684,354	6.0%	626,510	5.8%
Skywest Airlines	251,902	2.2%	246,559	2.1%	195,777	1.6%	219,416	1.9%	332,408	3.1%
Federal Express	445,744	3.8%	456,152	3.9%	447,636	3.6%	402,665	3.5%	400,303	3.7%
Spirit Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virgin America	-	-	-	-	3,122	0.0%	221,333	1.9%	205,348	1.9%
JetBlue Airlines	174,337	1.5%	175,333	1.5%	288,239	2.3%	297,340	2.6%	201,071	1.8%
British Airways	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frontier Airlines	246,749	2.1%	283,898	2.4%	287,387	2.3%	237,269	2.1%	227,847	2.1%
Hawaiian Airlines	145,920	1.3%	211,840	1.8%	235,200	1.9%	137,145	1.2%	121,600	1.1%
Japan Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	9,607,495	78.9%	9,952,639	80.7%	10,691,329	81.9%	10,512,096	87.9%	10,136,906	89.4%
All Others	1,997,378	17.2%	1,821,318	15.5%	1,810,162	14.5%	984,661	8.6%	755,961	6.9%
TOTAL	11,604,873	96.2%	11,773,957	96.1%	12,501,491	96.4%	11,496,758	96.5%	10,892,867	96.3%
Annual % Change	3.6%		1.5%		6.2%		-8.0%		-5.3%	

* United and Continental completed their merger on October 1, 2010 and began operating as United on November 30, 2011. Data for United and Continental have been combined in this table.
Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority.

EXHIBIT S-11
AIRCRAFT LANDED WEIGHTS BY
AIRLINE (THOUSAND POUNDS) -
CONTINUED

Fiscal Years Ended June 30


Airline	2011	% of Total	2012	% of Total	2013	% of Total	2014	% of Total	2015	% of Total
Southwest Airlines	4,001,530	37.7%	3,953,536	36.5%	3,907,554	35.5%	3,925,362	35.1%	4,214,314	36.6%
United Airlines *	1,583,372	14.9%	1,502,203	13.9%	1,387,854	12.6%	1,340,736	12.0%	1,227,974	10.7%
Delta Airlines	1,062,254	10.0%	1,047,068	9.7%	1,023,608	9.3%	1,016,878	9.1%	1,077,103	9.3%
Alaska Airlines	595,238	5.6%	648,359	6.0%	750,000	6.8%	884,727	7.9%	888,065	7.7%
American Airlines	672,059	6.3%	701,126	6.5%	685,836	6.2%	718,069	6.4%	790,055	6.9%
US Airways	603,439	5.7%	643,014	5.9%	653,915	5.9%	631,485	5.6%	569,856	4.9%
Skywest Airlines	338,812	3.2%	306,789	2.8%	428,595	3.9%	396,054	3.5%	408,608	3.5%
Federal Express	421,239	4.0%	452,453	4.2%	451,797	4.1%	419,127	3.7%	384,686	3.3%
Spirit Airlines	-	-	98,931	0.9%	208,200	1.9%	245,669	2.2%	296,925	2.6%
Virgin America	173,686	1.6%	208,253	1.9%	235,934	2.1%	232,136	2.1%	240,781	2.1%
JetBlue Airlines	167,369	1.6%	166,232	1.5%	168,080	1.5%	189,979	1.7%	193,848	1.7%
British Airways	13,800	0.1%	167,440	1.5%	163,760	1.5%	166,980	1.5%	166,980	1.4%
Frontier Airlines	249,492	2.4%	208,936	1.9%	196,614	1.8%	192,493	1.7%	153,880	1.3%
Hawaiian Airlines	134,080	1.3%	118,088	1.1%	140,637	1.3%	147,325	1.3%	146,284	1.3%
Japan Airlines	-	-	-	-	47,125	0.4%	138,700	1.2%	138,700	1.2%
Subtotal	10,136,528	91.6%	10,222,427	94.5%	10,449,511	94.9%	10,645,720	95.2%	10,898,059	94.6%
All Others	469,632	4.4%	597,474	5.5%	566,205	5.1%	541,046	4.8%	636,821	5.4%
TOTAL	10,606,160	96.0%	10,819,902	100.0%	11,015,716	100.0%	11,186,766	100.0%	11,534,880	100.0%
Annual % Change	-2.6%		2.0%		1.8%		1.6%		3.1%	

EXHIBIT S-12

PASSENGER ENPLANEMENTS


Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Fiscal Year	Passenger Enplanements	% Change
2006	8,749,734	3.6%
2007	8,892,069	1.6%
2008	9,389,327	5.6%
2009	8,535,774	(9.1%)
2010	8,453,886	(1.0%)
2011	8,441,120	(0.2%)
2012	8,575,475	1.6%
2013	8,737,617	1.9%
2014	9,082,244	3.9%
2015	9,713,066	6.9%



Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

Enplaned passenger is any revenue passenger boarding at the airport, including any passenger that previously disembarked from another aircraft (i.e. connecting passenger).



TOPPING OUT

SAN CELEBRATED A MAJOR MILESTONE IN JUNE IN THE \$316 MILLION RENTAL CAR CENTER'S CONSTRUCTION: COMPLETION OF THE 2 MILLION SQUARE-FOOT FACILITY'S INNOVATIVE CONCRETE FACADE. SCHEDULED TO OPEN IN JANUARY 2016, THE RENTAL CAR CENTER WILL HOUSE MOST OF THE RENTAL CAR COMPANIES SERVING THE AIRPORT, INCLUDING NATIONAL BRANDS, AS WELL AS LOCAL, INDEPENDENT AND SMALL BUSINESS RENTAL CAR COMPANIES, IN ONE CENTRAL LOCATION OFF PACIFIC HIGHWAY.

EXHIBIT S-13

ENPLANEMENT MARKET SHARE BY AIRLINE BY FISCAL YEAR

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Air Carrier	2006		2007		2008		2009		2010	
	Enplane-ments	Share								
Aeromexico	58,969	0.7%	39,518	0.4%	32,223	0.3%	27,772	0.3%	24,335	0.3%
Air Canada	-	-	55,398	0.6%	55,031	0.6%	27,255	0.3%	46,959	0.6%
AirTran Airways	-	-	7,983	0.1%	97,937	1.0%	66,475	0.8%	37,530	0.4%
Alaska Airlines	492,891	5.6%	536,784	6.0%	498,169	5.3%	428,515	5.0%	435,722	5.2%
Aloha Airlines	41,882	0.5%	38,418	0.4%	33,620	0.4%	-	-	-	-
America West	451,904	5.2%	374,072	4.2%	78,298	0.8%	-	-	-	-
American Airlines	968,832	11.1%	873,624	9.8%	808,790	8.6%	735,067	8.6%	704,909	8.3%
British Airways	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delta Airlines	666,101	7.6%	633,772	7.1%	687,104	7.3%	618,127	7.2%	900,510	10.7%
Frontier Airlines	171,544	2.0%	196,598	2.2%	231,926	2.5%	203,689	2.4%	196,628	2.3%
Hawaiian Airlines	112,410	1.3%	154,932	1.7%	160,939	1.7%	100,626	1.2%	90,874	1.1%
Japan Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JetBlue Airlines	161,594	1.8%	151,984	1.7%	224,205	2.4%	235,199	2.8%	167,031	2.0%
Midwest Airlines	18,688	0.2%	34,551	0.4%	42,763	0.5%	8,380	0.1%	-	-
Northwest Airlines	292,393	3.3%	286,952	3.2%	295,724	3.1%	272,684	3.2%	-	-
Southwest Airlines	2,979,763	34.1%	3,106,431	34.9%	3,306,386	35.2%	3,122,090	36.6%	3,183,084	37.7%
Spirit	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sun Country Airlines	41,091	0.5%	45,931	0.5%	44,454	0.5%	35,885	0.4%	24,984	0.3%
United Airlines *	989,744	11.3%	990,725	11.1%	978,816	10.4%	927,023	10.9%	920,960	10.9%
US Airways	212,622	2.4%	300,568	3.4%	552,751	5.9%	563,392	6.6%	512,558	6.1%
Virgin America	-	-	-	-	57,292	0.6%	155,649	1.8%	151,110	1.8%
Volaris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	27,329	0.3%	8,128	0.1%	47,257	0.5%	25,457	0.3%	51,541	0.6%
Total Air Carrier	8,142,456	93.1%	8,339,558	93.8%	8,754,541	93.2%	8,056,527	94.4%	7,956,178	94.1%
Commuter										
American Eagle	287,136	3.3%	275,087	3.1%	238,147	2.5%	232,289	2.7%	207,272	2.5%
Compass (Delta Connection)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Express Jet Airlines	-	-	17,603	0.2%	202,429	2.2%	36,034	7.5%	-	-
Horizon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mesa Airlines	117,330	1.3%	42,219	0.5%	17,098	0.2%	7,381	0.1%	18,670	0.2%
Seaport Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Skywest Airlines	202,812	2.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Commuter	607,278	6.9%	552,511	6.2%	634,786	6.8%	479,247	5.6%	497,708	5.9%
Total Enplanements	8,749,734	100%	8,892,069	100%	9,389,327	100%	8,535,774	100%	8,453,886	100%

* United and Continental completed their merger on October 1, 2010 and began operating as United on November 30, 2011. Data for United and Continental has been included in the United Airlines row.

* Airtran was acquired by Southwest in May 2011 and began operating as Southwest Airlines in March 1, 2012.

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority


EXHIBIT S-13
ENPLANEMENT MARKET SHARE BY
AIRLINE BY FISCAL YEAR - CONTINUED

Fiscal Years Ended June 30

Air Carrier	2011 Enplane- ments	Share	2012 Enplane- ments	Share	2013 Enplane- ments	Share	2014 Enplane- ments	Share	2015 Enplane- ments	Share
Aeromexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Air Canada	58,539	0.7%	56,470	0.7%	45,058	0.5%	36,636	0.4%	41,175	0.4%
AirTran Airways	17,978	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alaska Airlines	514,498	6.1%	579,457	6.8%	673,731	7.7%	830,349	9.1%	871,775	9.0%
Aloha Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
America West	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
American Airlines	658,752	7.8%	664,466	7.7%	650,826	7.4%	693,995	7.6%	747,493	7.7%
British Airways	6,912	0.1%	81,437	0.9%	81,534	0.9%	84,600	0.9%	84,263	0.9%
Delta Airlines	919,323	10.9%	935,777	10.9%	904,734	10.4%	915,907	10.1%	992,498	10.2%
Frontier Airlines	219,008	2.6%	198,708	2.3%	184,020	2.1%	185,270	2.0%	150,595	1.6%
Hawaiian Airlines	98,887	1.2%	86,211	1.0%	94,283	1.1%	98,667	1.1%	96,963	1.0%
Japan Airlines	-	-	-	-	18,249	0.2%	54,213	0.6%	59,372	0.6%
JetBlue Airlines	141,684	1.7%	147,051	1.7%	152,571	1.7%	173,282	1.9%	178,590	1.8%
Midwest Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Northwest Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southwest Airlines	3,277,931	38.8%	3,252,290	37.9%	3,253,225	37.2%	3,352,870	36.9%	3,736,688	38.5%
Spirit	-	-	77,873	0.9%	164,189	1.9%	201,414	2.2%	252,219	2.6%
Sun Country Airlines	24,175	0.3%	15,889	0.2%	23,836	0.3%	27,276	0.3%	28,732	0.3%
United Airlines *	878,307	10.4%	1,266,007	14.8%	1,175,869	13.5%	1,167,661	12.9%	1,113,510	11.5%
US Airways	523,378	6.2%	535,906	6.2%	560,738	6.4%	554,244	6.1%	523,034	5.4%
Virgin America	133,377	1.6%	166,326	1.9%	168,297	1.9%	156,729	1.7%	175,973	1.8%
Volaris	-	-	45,589	0.5%	30,885	0.4%	23,285	0.3%	20,004	0.2%
Other	37,776	0.4%	43,634	0.5%	43,212	0.5%	39,664	0.4%	41,129	0.4%
Total Air Carrier	8,006,625	94.9%	8,153,091	95.1%	8,225,257	94.1%	8,596,062	94.6%	9,114,013	93.8%
Commuter										
American Eagle	155,421	1.8%	140,574	1.6%	82,377	0.9%	51,126	0.6%	-	0.0%
Compass (Delta Connection)	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,563	0.1%	140,012	1.4%
Express Jet Airlines	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Horizon	-	-	5,900	0.1%	77,392	0.9%	84,000	0.9%	83,764	0.9%
Mesa Airlines	6,709	0.1%	12,766	0.1%	206	0.0%	-	-	-	-
Seaport Airlines	-	-	-	-	196	0.0%	1,128	0.0%	3,298	0.0%
Skywest Airlines	-	-	-	-	352,189	4.0%	341,365	3.8%	371,979	3.8%
Total Commuter	434,495	5.1%	422,384	4.9%	512,360	5.9%	486,182	5.4%	599,053	6.2%
Total Enplanements	8,441,120	100%	8,575,475	100%	8,737,617	100%	9,082,244	100%	9,713,066	100%

EXHIBIT S-14

YEAR-OVER-YEAR PERCENTAGE GROWTH IN PASSENGER ENPLANEMENTS, SDIA VS. US



* U.S. Average is based on T-100 Market Reporting Data from the DOT Bureau of Transportation Statistics.

This chart compares SDIA's year over year enplanement change compared to the US scheduled mainline service.

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority and US Dept of Transportation's T-100 enplanement data.

EXHIBIT S-15
CAPITAL ASSETS

San Diego International Airport

Number of runways	1
Length of runway (feet)	9,401 feet
Number of gates	51
Commuter plane parking positions	10
Terminal Rentable Square footage	606,408
Airport Land Area	661 acres
On airport parking spaces (public)	3,201
Off airport parking spaces (public)	3,181

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

The parking spaces shown above are controlled and operated by the Airport Authority and reported on a weighted average basis.

The terminal rentable square footage is a weighted average figure that reflects square footage changes due to construction or remodeling.



EXHIBIT S-16

POPULATION & PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME SAN DIEGO COUNTY

Calendar Year	Estimated		Per Capita Personal		Total Personal	
	Population ^[1]	% Change	Income ^[2]	% Change	Income ^[2]	% Change
2006	3,065,077	0.9 %	42,801	6.0 %	131,188,360,677	6.9 %
2007	3,100,132	1.1 %	45,911	7.3 %	142,330,160,252	8.5 %
2008	3,131,552	1.0 %	46,649	1.6 %	146,083,769,248	2.6 %
2009	3,173,407	1.3 %	42,325	(9.3)%	134,314,451,275	(8.1)%
2010	3,091,579	(2.6)%	43,104	1.8 %	133,259,421,216	(0.8)%
2011	3,118,876	0.9 %	48,066	11.5 %	149,911,893,816	12.5 %
2012	3,128,734	0.3 %	49,719	3.4 %	155,557,525,746	3.8 %
2013	3,150,178	0.7 %	49,778	0.1 %	156,809,560,484	0.8 %
2014	3,194,362	1.4 %	53,626	7.7 %	171,300,856,612	9.2 %
2015	3,227,496	1.0 %	55,273	3.1 %	178,393,386,408	4.1 %

Sources:

[1] California Department of Finance, E-1 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State, at January 1st of the calendar years shown.

[2] U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Accounts, Local Area Personal Income. 2014 and 2015 per capita personal income are estimates by the California Department of Transportation. Prior year's 2011 and 2013 per capita personal income has been updated.




EXHIBIT S-17
PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS IN
SAN DIEGO COUNTY

2015

Employer	Local Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total Industry Employment
U.S. Federal Government	45,700	1	2.92%
State of California	40,100	2	2.56%
UC, San Diego	28,341	3	1.81%
Sharp Health Care	16,477	4	1.05%
Scripps Health	13,717	5	0.88%
Qualcomm Inc.	13,700	6	0.87%
City of San Diego	10,584	7	0.68%
UC San Diego Health System	7,726	8	0.49%
Kaiser Foundation	7,549	9	0.48%
General Atomics	6,714	10	0.43%

Total Civilian Labor Force in San Diego County (June 2006): **1,520,474**

Total Civilian Labor Force in San Diego County (June 2015): **1,565,900**

Source: Employers - San Diego Daily Transcript Sourcebook: 2006 & 2015
 Total Industry Employment - California Employment Development Dept.,
 Labor Market Info June 2015 - March 2014 Benchmark

2006

Employer	Local Employees	Rank	Percentage of Total Industry Employment
U.S. Navy	49,000	1	3.22%
U.S. Federal Government	38,700	2	2.55%
State of California	37,100	3	2.44%
University of California, San Diego	24,790	4	1.63%
San Diego Unified School District	21,073	5	1.39%
City of San Diego	20,700	6	1.36%
County of San Diego	18,900	7	1.24%
Sharp Health Care	13,269	8	0.87%
U.S. Postal Service	11,611	9	0.76%
Scripps Health	10,313	10	0.68%

EXHIBIT S-18

SAN DIEGO COUNTY EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY SECTOR

Industry Sectors	June 2015 Industry Employment	% of Total
Trade, Transportation and Utilities	217,900	15.5%
Government	238,900	17.0%
Professional and Business Services	239,400	17.1%
Leisure and Hospitality	186,100	13.3%
Education and Health Services	193,700	13.8%
Manufacturing	98,800	7.0%
Construction and Mining	67,700	4.8%
Financial Activities	72,200	5.1%
Other Services	52,100	3.7%
Information	25,200	1.8%
Agriculture	10,900	0.8%
Total	1,402,900	

Source: California Employment Development Dept., Labor Market Info: Industry Employment & Labor Force, March 2014 Benchmark




EXHIBIT S-19

SAN DIEGO COUNTY LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT, AND UNEMPLOYMENT RATES

Year	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment	Unemployment Rate	
				SD County	State
2006	1,520,474	1,457,500	60,500	4.0%	4.9%
2007	1,542,445	1,471,600	70,900	4.6%	5.4%
2008	1,548,700	1,462,300	92,900	6.0%	7.2%
2009	1,554,100	1,406,100	151,300	9.7%	11.4%
2010	1,558,200	1,393,900	164,300	10.5%	12.4%
2011	1,583,700	1,419,400	164,300	10.4%	10.7%
2012	1,598,800	1,450,600	148,200	9.3%	10.7%
2013	1,596,000	1,470,900	125,100	7.8%	9.2%
2014	1,588,500	1,491,600	96,900	6.1%	7.3%
2015	1,565,900	1,487,900	77,900	5.0%	6.2%

Source: California Employment Development Dept., Labor Market Information Division
Unemployment Rate and Labor Force, not seasonally adjusted



EXHIBIT S-20
DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE

Senior Bonds	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Revenues (1)	\$ 123,308,672	\$ 133,924,976	\$ 144,379,133	\$ 138,334,601	\$ 138,113,792
Operating and Maintenance Expenses	(97,675,011)	(103,942,210)	(114,375,096)	(115,221,068)	(116,275,132)
Net Revenues (2)	25,633,661	29,982,766	30,004,037	23,113,533	21,838,660
Senior Bond Debt Service (3)					
Principal	5,995,000	2,670,000	2,805,000	2,950,000	3,105,000
Interest	2,949,705	2,665,725	2,532,225	2,391,975	2,244,475
PFC Funds Applied to Senior Debt					
Total Debt Service for the Senior Bond	8,944,705	5,335,725	5,337,225	5,341,975	5,349,475
Senior Bonds Debt Service Coverage (x)	2.87	5.62	5.62	4.33	4.08
Subordinate Debt					
Subordinate Net Revenues (2)				\$ 17,771,558	\$ 16,489,185
Subordinate Annual Debt Service (4)					
Principal					
Interest					
Variable Rate Debt (5)				1,128,080	723,857
PFC Funds Applied to Debt Service					
Total Subordinate Annual Debt Service				\$ 1,128,080	\$ 723,857
Subordinate Obligations Debt Service Coverage (x)				15.75	22.78
Aggregate Debt					
Aggregate Net Revenues				\$ 23,113,533	\$ 21,838,660
Aggregate Annual Debt Service					
Principal				2,950,000	3,105,000
Interest				2,391,975	2,244,475
Variable Rate Debt (5)				1,128,080	723,857
PFC Funds Applied to Debt Service					
Total Subordinate Annual Debt Service				\$ 6,470,055	\$ 6,073,332
Aggregate Obligations Debt Service Coverage (x)				3.57	3.60

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority

- (1) Revenues are calculated pursuant to the provisions of the Master Senior Indenture and the Master Subordinate Indenture.
- (2) Net Revenues and Subordinate Net Revenues are calculated pursuant to the provisions of the Master Senior Indenture and Master Subordinate Indenture, as appropriate.
- (3) Debt service with respect to the Senior Bonds is calculated pursuant to the provisions of the Master Senior Indenture.
- (4) Subordinate Annual Debt Service is calculated pursuant to the provisions of the Master Subordinate Indenture.
- (5) Includes principal and interest.
- (6) Information regarding Subordinate Obligations Debt Service Coverage provided in connection with the first fiscal year for which Subordinate Annual Debt Service was due with respect to the 2010 Bonds. Subordinate Annual Debt Service for prior years consisted of debt service on the Authority's Subordinate Commercial Paper Notes and is not presented for Fiscal Years 2005-2008.

EXHIBIT S-20
DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE - CONTINUED

	2011(6)	2012	2013	2014	2015
Senior Bonds					
Revenues (1)	\$ 148,963,671	\$ 158,311,779	\$ 181,051,929	\$ 199,834,430	\$ 214,770,544
Operating and Maintenance Expenses	(117,100,946)	(118,941,148)	(126,662,546)	(136,604,105)	(142,781,639)
Net Revenues (2)	31,862,725	39,370,631	54,389,383	63,230,326	71,988,905
Senior Bond Debt Service (3)					
Principal	3,265,000	3,430,000	-	-	2,030,000
Interest	2,089,225	1,925,975	2,478,489	16,645,435	18,034,575
PFC Funds Applied to Senior Debt			(714,077)	(7,140,301)	(8,669,966)
Total Debt Service for the Senior Bond	5,354,225	5,355,975	1,764,412	9,505,134	11,394,609
Senior Bonds Debt Service Coverage (x)	5.95	7.35	30.83	6.65	6.32
Subordinate Debt					
Subordinate Net Revenues (2)	\$ 26,508,500	\$ 34,014,656	\$ 52,624,971	\$ 53,725,192	\$ 60,594,296
Subordinate Annual Debt Service (4)					
Principal	715,000	980,000	1,000,000	5,785,000	8,665,000
Interest	2,971,984	6,599,760	26,194,616	27,069,283	26,853,179
Variable Rate Debt (5)	1,220,226	1,077,867	5,519,872	6,446,951	6,736,945
PFC Funds Applied to Debt Service			(20,061,962)	(20,718,863)	(21,554,245)
Total Subordinate Annual Debt Service	\$ 4,907,210	\$ 8,657,627	\$ 12,652,526	\$ 18,582,372	\$ 20,700,879
Subordinate Obligations Debt Service Coverage (x)	5.40	3.93	4.16	2.89	2.93
Aggregate Debt					
Aggregate Net Revenues	\$ 31,862,725	\$ 39,370,631	\$ 54,389,383	\$ 63,230,326	\$ 71,988,905
Aggregate Annual Debt Service					
Principal	3,980,000	4,410,000	1,000,000	5,785,000	10,695,000
Interest	5,061,209	8,525,735	28,673,105	43,714,718	44,887,754
Variable Rate Debt (5)	1,220,226	1,077,867	5,519,872	6,446,951	6,736,945
PFC Funds Applied to Debt Service			(20,776,039)	(27,859,164)	(30,224,211)
Total Subordinate Annual Debt Service	\$ 10,261,435	\$ 14,013,602	\$ 14,416,938	\$ 28,087,505	\$ 32,095,488
Aggregate Obligations Debt Service Coverage (x)	3.11	2.81	3.77	2.25	2.24



EXHIBIT S-21

DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE - SERIES 2014

CFC BONDS

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
CFC Collections	\$ 27,545,001	\$ 32,464,843
Bond Funding Supplemental Consideration	-	-
Transfers from CFC Stabilization Fund	-	-
Interest Earnings ¹	204,194	295,726
Total Amounts Available	<u>27,749,195</u>	<u>32,760,569</u>
Rolling Coverage Fund Balance ²	-	-
Total Amounts Available, plus Rolling Coverage Fund Balance	<u>\$ 27,749,195</u>	<u>\$ 32,760,569</u>
Series 2014 Debt Service Requirements	-	-
Coverage excluding Rolling Coverage Fund	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Coverage including Rolling Coverage Fund	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>

¹ Includes earnings on investments in the Senior Reserve Fund, the Rolling Coverage Fund and the CFC Surplus Fund.

² Includes amount on deposit in the Rolling Coverage Fund at the beginning of each Fiscal Year, up to an amount not to exceed 30% of the Series 2014 Debt Service Requirements for such Fiscal Year.



EXHIBIT S-22

DEBT PER ENPLANED PASSENGER

Fiscal Year	Outstanding Bond Debt (1)	Outstanding Variable Debt	Capital Leases	Total Outstanding Debt	Enplaned Passengers	Debt per Enplaned Passenger
2006	59,451,787	51,694,000		111,145,787	8,749,734	12.70
2007	55,709,517	51,694,000		107,403,517	8,892,069	12.08
2008	52,812,246	49,430,000		102,242,246	9,389,327	10.89
2009	49,779,975	84,430,000		134,209,975	8,535,774	15.72
2010	46,602,704	164,430,000	377,172	211,409,876	8,453,886	25.01
2011	640,920,314	21,509,000	519,866	662,949,180	8,441,120	78.54
2012	635,307,968	20,729,000	361,641	656,398,609	8,575,475	76.54
2013	1,027,411,188	50,969,000	8,152,588	1,086,532,776	8,737,617	124.35
2014 (2)	1,327,897,591	44,884,000	7,810,927	1,380,592,518	9,082,244	152.01
2015	1,317,784,291	38,705,000	7,971,993	1,364,461,284	9,713,066	140.48

Source: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority


(1) Outstanding Bond Debt includes unamortized bond premium

(2) Starting in 2014, Outstanding Bond Debt includes CFC Bond issuance



CELEBRATING THE CITY'S **CROWN JEWEL**

IN MARCH, SAN UNVEILED ITS NEW YEAR-LONG TEMPORARY EXHIBITION ENTITLED "BALBOA PARK & THE CITY: CELEBRATING SAN DIEGO'S PANAMA-CALIFORNIA EXPOSITION." WITH 30 INSTALLATIONS, THIS WAS THE AIRPORT'S LARGEST TEMPORARY EXHIBITION EVER, INCLUDING IMAGES, COLLECTIBLES, AND ARTIFACTS FROM SEVEN DIFFERENT LOCAL INSTITUTIONS AND INDIVIDUAL COLLECTORS, AS WELL AS ORIGINAL ARTWORKS BY LOCAL ARTISTS.





BOA
PARK



P
ACTIVE
NO WAITING

VALET PARKING

SAN DIEGO
COUNTY
REGIONAL
AIRPORT
AUTHORITY

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL
FINANCIAL REPORT

FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2015 & 2014



**SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT**

**Item No.
9**

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Fiscal Year 2016 First Quarter Activities Report and Audit Recommendations Issued by the Office of the Chief Auditor

Recommendation:

The Audit Committee recommends that the Board accept the information.

Background/Justification:

The Charter for the Office of the Chief Auditor (OCA), as approved by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Board, establishes the roles, responsibilities, and working relationship of the Chief Auditor with the Audit Committee and with Authority management. To reflect current operational practices, the Charter was revised on September 4, 2014, Resolution No. 2014-0089.

The Charter directs the Office of the Chief Auditor to periodically communicate to the Audit Committee with respect to management's systems of control, audit findings, management's responses, including any steps adopted to resolve a noted issue.

The attached Fiscal Year 2016 First Quarter Activity Report (Attachment A) summarizes the undertakings and accomplishments of the Chief Auditor's office from July 1, 2015, through September 30, 2015.

During the first quarter, the Office of the Chief Auditor completed eight (8) audits of the Fiscal Year 2016 Audit Plan and issued zero (0) recommendations. Appendix C of the activity report provides the status of audit recommendations awaiting implementation that were previously issued by the Office of the Chief Auditor.

A presentation on the First Quarter activities of the Office of the Chief Auditor was given during a Special Meeting of the Audit Committee on December 7, 2015. The Committee unanimously voted to forward the report to the Board for information.

Fiscal Impact:

None

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action as an administrative activity is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not applicable

Prepared by:

MARK A. BURCHYETT
CHIEF AUDITOR

FISCAL YEAR 2016 FIRST QUARTER REPORT



November 4, 2015

FY16 First Quarter Report

Paul Robinson, Chair
Audit Committee
San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
P.O. Box 82776
San Diego, California 92138-2776

Dear Mr. Robinson:

The Office of the Chief Auditor (OCA) presents our Fiscal Year 2016 First Quarter Report. The report details both the audit and the administrative activities of the OCA during the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2016; and it includes the resolutions of past audit findings and information regarding the future plans of the OCA.

The First Quarter Report will be presented at the next Audit Committee meeting scheduled for November 16, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Mark A. Burchyett", is written over a horizontal line.

Mark A. Burchyett
Chief Auditor

Audit Results

During the first quarter, the OCA continued its work on audits contained within the FY16 audit plan, as authorized by the Audit Committee, and wrapped up audits from the FY15 audit plan. In total, during the first quarter, the OCA issued eight (8) audit reports. For the month of September, we issued three (3) audit reports, for which audit snapshots are located in Appendix A. The audit reports issued during the quarter did not include any recommendations for management. The completed audits for the first quarter are listed in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1: Audits Completed During the First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2015

Audit	Report No.	Date	Type of Audit
Ace Parking Management, Inc.	15022	7/20/2015	Revenue Contract
High Flying Foods San Diego, LLC	15027	7/20/2015	Revenue Contract
Host International, Inc. Capital Investment Expenses	16041	8/14/2015	Expense Contract
Agreements with Expenditure Limits Not to Exceed \$100,000	16036	8/17/2015	Internal Process
VA Consulting, Inc.	16013	8/26/2015	Expense Contract
Abadjis Systems Ltd.	16009	9/2/2015	Expense Contract
Board Member and Executive Business Expenses	16033	9/10/2015	Internal Process
Host International Inc. (FSP 1)	16025	9/11/2015	Revenue Contract

In addition to the completed audits, the Office of the Chief Auditor had ten (10) audits in progress as of September 30, 2015, as shown in Figure 2 below. Of the ten (10) audits, at the end of the quarter, two (2) draft audit reports had been forwarded to the affected departments for review and comment. Of these two, one audit report, for Nuera Contracting & Consulting, has been issued.

Figure 2: Audits In Progress as of September 30, 2015

Audit	Type of Audit
Business and Travel Expenses	Internal Process
BW - Budget-SDA, LLC	Revenue Contract
DTG Operations dba Thrifty & Dollar	Revenue Contract
IT Monitoring and Evaluation	Internal Process
Landmark Aviation GSO-SAN, LLC	Revenue Contract
Merriwether Williams Insurance Services	Expense Contract
New Zoom Inc. dba ZoomSystems (RP 6)	Revenue Contract
Nuera Contracting & Consulting LP	Expense Contract
SSP America Inc. (FSP 3)	Revenue Contract
The Hertz Corporation	Revenue Contract

Recommendation Follow-Up

To ensure that audit issues are addressed in a timely manner, the OCA tracks the status of its recommendations on an on-going basis. For the last month in the quarter, the OCA tracked the implementation status of 17 recommendations that were issued during FY15, or prior. As shown in Figure 3 below, nine (9) of the recommendations have been completed or implemented while eight (8) remain outstanding.

See Appendix C for a complete listing of all outstanding recommendations and their status.

Figure 3: Status of Recommendations as of September 30, 2015

Recommendations:				
Tracked	Completed	In Progress	Open	Not Accepted
17	9	8	0	0

In tracking recommendations the OCA uses the following designations:

- **Completed:** This designation is used for recommendations that the OCA has determined to be adequately implemented or for recommendations where alternate action is taken that adequately addresses the risk identified.
- **In Progress:** These recommendations have been partially addressed or partial corrective action has been taken. If adequate progress is not being made, it will be noted as such.
- **Open:** This category of recommendations have not yet been addressed. Usually, this designation is used when there has not been adequate time between report issuance and recommendation follow-up.
- **Not Accepted:** This designation is used for recommendations that an auditee does not accept and, therefore, will not implement. This category can represent a failing on the part of the OCA, as all recommendations should be workable and acceptable to the affected departments.

It appears that adequate progress is being made with the majority of recommendations. The OCA will continue its monthly tracking of their status. Specifically, the non-completion of the “In Progress” recommendations should not have a material adverse effect on the Authority.

Non-Audit Activities

Along with the audit activities detailed above, the OCA continues its involvement in several non-audit projects and activities. Specifically, the OCA was involved in the following:

Audit Committee:

The Audit Committee met on August 17, 2015. During that meeting, the Committee received required information presented by the Authority's external auditor related to their scope and timeline for conducting the Fiscal Year End June 30, 2015, audit; an update on the Construction Audit activity; and the OCAs FY15 Annual Activity Report. The next Audit Committee meeting is November 16, 2015.

Construction Audit Activity:

The OCA continued its Construction Audit activity separate from the Annual Audit Plan. On-going activities related to the Green Build include:

- Review of Green Build closeout activities for T2W, including a review of final PFC and grant funding of the projects
- Review of capitalized costs assigned to the Green Build projects
- Review of on-going projects in T2E and T1 that are under the Turner/PCL/Flatiron joint venture contract

On-going activities related to other construction projects include:

- Analysis of project management (soft) costs incurred in capital projects
- Review of costs incurred that are identified as eligible for Customer Facility Charge (CFC) reimbursement

Through attendance at the Capital Improvement Committee meetings, Development Program meetings, and other construction planning related meetings; and, working closely with members of the Accounting and Business & Financial Management Departments, the OCA Construction Auditor continues to provide assistance in ensuring the Authority is meeting compliance requirements for ongoing and planned projects. Additionally, the OCA Construction Auditor remains involved with issues identified by the Airport Design and Construction team, giving assistance and attending meetings specific to the aspects of the Authority's construction activity.

Ethics Compliance Program:

The OCA continues to run the Authority Ethics Program and confidential hotline system. See Appendix B, Ethics Hotline Call Summary, for a complete summation of calls received during the quarter.

Training:

During the first quarter, OCA staff participated in several Internet-based and self-paced training seminars. Of note were sessions regarding identifying and fighting fraud and new ideas in operational risk management.

Performance Measures

The OCA establishes performance measures each year to provide a benchmark to gauge its success. The five (5) performance measures for FY16, along with their current status, are detailed below in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Status of Performance Measures as of September 30, 2015

Performance Measure	Goal	Progress as of September 30, 2015
Percentage of the audit plan completed annually	100%	20%
Additional revenue/cost savings identified through audits	n/a	\$0
Percentage of staff time spent on audit activities	80% ¹	87%
Percentage of audits completed within budgeted time	80%	88%
Implementation of Recommendations	90%	53%

Percentage of the audit plan completed annually: This measure provides information on what has been accomplished regarding the planned audit projects for the year. To date the OCA has completed 20% of the plan and an additional 24% of the audit plan is currently in-progress. We also have established quarterly goals for the completion of our audit plan. For the first quarter, we had a completion goal of 27% of the audit plan. Regardless, we should be able to meet our annual goal of completing the entire plan by the end of the fiscal year.

Additional revenue/cost savings identified: While the value of an audit cannot be adequately assessed by this performance measure, it does provide quantifiable values for completed audits. During the first quarter of FY16 we did not identify any additional revenue or cost savings.

Percentage of staff time spent on audit activities: This measure helps ensure that the OCA spends an adequate amount of time on audit activities rather than administrative activities. To date, the OCA is over its current goal of 80%.

Percentage of audits completed within budgeted time: This category monitors how efficient audit staff is in performing their audits. Specifically, audit staff is held accountable to the internally prepared audit budgets for each project. However, it recognizes that budgets may need adjustment(s) as additional facts become known during an audit. For the fiscal year to date, the OCA is over its goal of completing 80% of its projects within the budgeted amount of time.

Implementation of Recommendations: This goal measures the value that the OCA is providing to the Authority by measuring how audit recommendations have impacted the Authority. For the fiscal year, 9 of 17 recommendations were implemented. While the percentage of implemented recommendations is under our goal, we are on track to achieve the goal, with an aim to have 90% of our recommendations implemented within the year.

¹ This percentage is the percentage of time staff spends on audit projects, construction audit activities, training, and the Ethics Program, vs. total staff time worked.

Going Forward

For completion during the second quarter of FY16, the OCA has targeted all of the audits currently in progress. The completion of these audits will result in the accomplishment of 51% of the FY16 Audit Plan. Any additional time will be utilized by beginning further work on the Committee Approved FY16 Audit Plan. Figure 5 identifies the audits scheduled for completion in the second quarter.

Figure 5: Audits Scheduled for Completion in the Second Quarter of Fiscal Year 2016

Audit	Type of Audit
Business and Travel Expenses	Internal Process
Merriwether Williams Insurance Services	Expense Contract
Nuera Contracting & Consulting LP	Expense Contract
Ocean Blue Environmental Services	Expense Contract
Aircraft Rescue & Fire Fighting	Expense Contract
IT Monitoring and Evaluation	Internal Process
BW - Budget-SDA, LLC	Revenue Contract
DTG Operations dba Thrifty & Dollar	Revenue Contract
Landmark Aviation GSO-SAN, LLC	Revenue Contract
New Zoom Inc. dba ZoomSystems (RP 6)	Revenue Contract
SSP America Inc. (FSP 3)	Revenue Contract
The Hertz Corporation	Revenue Contract
SSP America Inc. (FSP 5)	Revenue Contract

Abadjis Systems Ltd.

Report Number 16009, September 2015

Background

Major construction projects at the San Diego Regional Airport Authority (Authority) are administered through the Capital Improvements Program (CIP). Capital Improvement and Annual Projects are administered by the Facilities Development Department (FDD), and the Airport Design and Construction Department (ADC) has dedicated staff specific to the larger, long-term, projects in this category. Abadjis was selected as one of three (3) consultant firms to supply Project Management and Construction Management (PM/CM) expertise on May 10, 2012. The Authority entered into an on-call agreement with Abadjis for a term of three (3) years with the option of two (2) one-year extensions. The agreement contained a not-to-exceed figure of \$18,000,000. For the Audit Period of September 1, 2013, through the close of the contract on May 10, 2013, the Authority paid Abadjis a total of \$10,387,088. The objective of the audit was to determine whether the Authority complied with Authority Policy in entering into the contract with Abadjis and whether the Authority and Abadjis abided by the terms of the contract after its award.

Audit Results

Audit work found that the Authority complied with Policy in awarding the contract to Abadjis. Testing also confirmed that the contractor's Personnel Authorization (PA) labor rates are consistent with the rate ranges stated in the contract; that contractor invoices charge the correct PA rates; and, that the hours charged are adequately supported. Total charges for PAs and Task Authorizations (TAs) are within the limits of those Authorizations. Further, all Abadjis invoices received adequate review and proper approvals prior to payment.

Board Member and Executive Expenses

Report Number 16033, September 2015

Background

The 2016 Audit Plan included an audit of Board Member and Executive business expenses and Board Member compensation as an annual audit item. Authority Policy 3.30, Business Expense Reimbursement Policy, requires that the Office of the Chief Auditor (OCA) conduct an annual audit of expenses related to Board Members, the President/CEO, General Counsel, and the Chief Auditor.

California legislation Assembly Bill 1234 requires that members of a legislative body submit an expense report in a timely manner for all meals, lodging, travel, and other business related expenses. Cal. Public Utilities Code (PUC) §170017 sets the compensation amount for board members, and provides the regulations and rules related to compensation.

The requirements set forth in PUC § 170017 were adopted into the Authority's policies in Policy 1.10, Appointment, Term, Succession, and Compensation of the Board of Directors. Resolution No. 2009-0149R provides pre-approval for various meetings Board Members may attend. Authority Policy 1.20, Formation of Board Committees, provides detail on compensation for Committee Members, including the Audit Committee public members. Business and travel expense reimbursement is set forth in Policy 3.30, Business Expense Reimbursement Policy, and Policy 3.40, Travel and Lodging Expense Reimbursement.

Audit Results

Audit work performed determined that Board Member and Executive expenses and Board Member compensation substantially complied with Authority policy. The audit did not identify any issues or observations.

Host International, Inc. (FSP 1)
Report Number 16025, September 2015

Background

Host International, Inc. (Host) is one of twelve concessionaires selected by the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Authority) in September 2011 as part of the Concession Development Program (CDP). Host operates at the San Diego International Airport (SDIA) under two (2) separate leases with terms from December 1, 2012, through May 8, 2024. Each lease contains a distinct “package” that details a fixed Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) and percentage rents of gross sales within a specified range. Food Service Package 1 (FSP 1) consists of 7 individual Food & Beverage concessions.

The percentage rent defined in the lease is calculated monthly and is based on the following percentages of gross income of the operations or businesses conducted on or from the leased premises:

- 13% of Gross Receipts from the sale of food and non-alcoholic beverages.
- 16% of Gross Receipts from the sale of alcoholic beverages.

In addition to the percentage rent, Host pays the Authority for miscellaneous expenses under a Cost Recovery Program (CRP). These expenses include Trash Collection, Janitorial Services, Receiving and Distribution Center (RDC) Management Fees, RDC Utilities, and other fees.

Host reported gross sales of \$28,568,408 and paid \$3,830,092 in percentage rent under Package 1 and paid an additional \$820,805 for CRP fees during the audit period from May 9, 2012, through June 30, 2015. The Terminals and Tenants Department manages the operational aspects of the lease and the Business & Financial Management Department manages the contractual and financial aspects of the lease. The objective of this audit was to assess the accuracy of the sales generated by Host during the audit period and to ensure that the revenue received by the Authority was aligned with the terms of the lease.

Audit Results

We found that the Terminals and Tenants Department and the Business & Financial Management Department are adequately monitoring Host to ensure that the concessionaire is in compliance with the majority of the contract requirements. In addition, management controls to ensure that Host is accurately reporting sales and paying revenue to the Authority were in place and operating effectively.

Ethics Hotline
July – September 2015

	Number of Reports Received	Number Received Anonymously	Details Support Potential Code Violation (Ethics or Workplace)	Investigation of Concern	Response (email or phone to non-anonymous reports)
Code of Ethics Concerns					
Potential Misuse of Public Funds					
<i>Construction/Car Rental</i>	23	8	0	n/a	15
<i>Construction/Access Roads</i>	5	4	0	n/a	1
<i>Advertising</i>	2	2	0	n/a	0
Potential Misuse of Resources					
<i>Employee Barbeque</i>	12	5	0	n/a	7
Acceptance of Gifts	3	0	0	n/a	3
Non Ethics Related Concerns					
TSA Practices and Behavior	11	7	0	n/a	4
ATO Practices and Behavior	9	6	0	n/a	3
Aircraft Noise	5	3	0	n/a	2
General Workplace Concerns					
Volunteer Opportunity Emails	12	3	0	n/a	9
Workplace Practices/Behavior	8	6	0	n/a	2
Performance Reviews	7	6	0	n/a	1
Workplace Equitability	3	3	0	n/a	0
Potential Discrimination	2	2	2	Y (1)	0

(1) Issue was forwarded to Management for review and response

Rec. No.	Department Name	Audit Report Description	Risk Score	Risk	Recommendation	Status as of September 30, 2015	OCA's Assessment	Estimated Completion Date
11-10	GROUND TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #11032 dated February 4, 2011, Taxicab Cost Recovery Program	20	Impact: 10 Probability: 10	To ensure the accurate recording of all ground transportation activities at SDIA, the Ground Transportation Department should upgrade or replace the Automated Vehicle Identification (AVI) system. Once the AVI system is updated or replaced, the trip fee payment process should be automated. The AVI system data would be uploaded daily to a website accessible to the taxicab operators to allow them to track and download the trip data per taxicab. Monthly, the Ground Transportation Department would lump sum bill the activity to the taxicab companies. This would eliminate an unnecessary risk of misappropriation of Authority assets and the reliance on LPI employees to properly record and account for the collections.	As of October 1, 2015, the Automated Vehicle Identification (AVI) system, including new roadway readers, transponders, and software, have been replaced and upgraded; Vendor website online to inform permit holders of trip counts and fees; Trip counts uploaded daily. MS Dynamics and US Bank payment system have been installed to lump sum bill the activity to the taxicab companies. The Ground Transportation Department has implemented new procedures to ensure the accuracy and timeliness of the data and the responsiveness to permit holder's questions.	Completed	N/A
15-18	ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	17	Impact: 9 Probability: 8	The Accounting Department should develop a transaction-specific documentation requirement guide to be included in the Manual, and in the guidance for check requests, to mitigate any conflicts between the various Authority purchasing guidelines.	Accounting is currently working with BKD (Authority's external auditor) to review our processes and will implement improvements based upon the review. All User Guides will be updated and documentation requirements will be consistent for all payment methods. In addition, user training sessions will be conducted.	In Progress	June 2016
15-15	FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15010, dated April 30, 2015, PCL Construction Services, Inc.	16	Impact: 8 Probability: 8	The Facilities Development Department (FDD) should ensure their contract management procedures include requiring contractors submit a full Schedule of Values (SOV) with each payment application detailing the work performed to date to support the amount billed. Additionally, an updated SOV should be required for all change orders, indicating by line item each revision in value and additions to the SOV for additional work approved in the change orders.	FDD changed its accounting procedures to require additional documentation (Schedule of Values) be provided with each payment application. Additionally, FDD is working with General Counsel and Procurement to update specific sections of its boilerplate contracts to include these requirements.	Completed	N/A

NOTE: Risk Score is based upon the combined scores of Impact and Probability. Both Impact and Probability are ranked on a scale of 1-10, with maximum possible scores (highest risk) of 10, and a maximum possible combined score of 20.

Rec. No.	Department Name	Audit Report Description	Risk Score	Risk	Recommendation	Status as of September 30, 2015	OCA's Assessment	Estimated Completion Date
15-13	AVIATION SECURITY & PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15040, dated April 17, 2015, San Diego Unified Port District Billings - Fiscal Year 2014	15	Impact: 8 Probability: 7	We recommend that the Aviation Security & Public Safety Department notify Port staff concerning the Fiscal Year 2014 under-billing for Harbor Police Department services, and determine the most appropriate method for the Authority to remit the additional \$269,942 owed to the Port.	Full payment in the amount of \$269,942 was remitted to the Port on 8/20/15.	Completed	N/A
15-19	PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	15	Impact: 8 Probability: 7	Procurement should ensure that a fully trained backup is performing the full duties and responsibilities of the P-Card Program Analyst during extended absences. A formal training manual should be created and updated annually to address any new procedures or issues noted in the preceding year. The manual can then be used by the backup person to provide the annual training if the P-Card Analyst is not available.	Procurement has identified and fully trained a back-up for the P-Card Program Analyst. A formal desk manual will be created and updated annually to address new procedures.	In Progress	March 2016
15-21	PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	15	Impact: 8 Probability: 7	Procurement should evaluate the feasibility of instituting an approved vendor list or reviewing all vendors and utilizing some of the built in controls within the US Bank cards.	The government CALcard program disallows 10 merchant categories. Procurement has reviewed all US Bank's merchant categories and identified an additional 61 merchant categories to be closed by mid November.	In Progress	November 2015
15-29	BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15029, dated March 30, 2015, Hudson Group	15	Impact: 8 Probability: 7	We recommend that the Business & Financial Management Department notify the concessionaires that the Authority may periodically request documents for the purpose of an audit and that it is the responsibility of the concessionaire to comply with the request, or penalties may be imposed.	Business and Financial Management will work with Internal Audit on a case by case basis to facilitate the requests.	Completed	N/A

NOTE: Risk Score is based upon the combined scores of Impact and Probability. Both Impact and Probability are ranked on a scale of 1-10, with maximum possible scores (highest risk) of 10, and a maximum possible combined score of 20.

Rec. No.	Department Name	Audit Report Description	Risk Score	Risk	Recommendation	Status as of September 30, 2015	OCA's Assessment	Estimated Completion Date
15-22	PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	14	Impact: 7 Probability: 7	Procurement should provide additional analysis of the P-Card Program to Management on a regular basis.	Procurement is working on various spend report formats. New reports and an advance training program was piloted with the Director of the Facilities Management Department. Standard transaction and spend analysis reports are used for additional analysis and recommendations to management.	In Progress	December 2015
15-23	AVIATION SECURITY & PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15034, dated May 18, 2015 Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting Expense Billings - Fiscal Year 2014	14	Impact: 8 Probability: 6	We recommend that the Aviation Security & Public Safety Department (AVSEC) notify the City concerning the overbilling of \$39,668 for personnel expenses. These adjustments should be included in the final calculation of the amount due to the City for Fiscal Year 2014 ARFF services.	We agreed that the City could reduce their FY16 period one (July invoice) by the amount owed to the Authority (\$39,668). We have yet to receive an invoice for this period. Accounts Payable is following up on the status of the invoice.	In Progress	November 2015
15-10	BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15018, dated March 12, 2015, Enterprise Holdings Inc.	13	Impact: 7 Probability: 6	The Business and Financial Management Department should request that the Accounting Department issue an invoice to Enterprise Holdings Inc. in the amount of \$45,427 for the underpayment of license fees and CFCs.	Enterprise was invoiced for the entire amount and it was collected in August.	Completed	N/A
15-16	PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	13	Impact: 6 Probability: 7	To ensure that Approving Officials take ownership of their review responsibilities, the Procurement Department should revise the Manual to include the same disciplinary measures for Approving Officials who approve reconciliations with inadequate documentation, or questionable, prohibited, or restricted purchases, as those imposed on P-Cardholders.	Procurement is actively working on revisions to the P-Card Manuals to reflect updates and recommendations that strengthen controls.	In Progress	December 2015

NOTE: Risk Score is based upon the combined scores of Impact and Probability. Both Impact and Probability are ranked on a scale of 1-10, with maximum possible scores (highest risk) of 10, and a maximum possible combined score of 20.

Rec. No.	Department Name	Audit Report Description	Risk Score	Risk	Recommendation	Status as of September 30, 2015	OCA's Assessment	Estimated Completion Date
15-14	AVIATION SECURITY & PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15040, dated April 17, 2015, San Diego Unified Port District Billings - Fiscal Year 2014	11	Impact: 6 Probability: 5	The Aviation Security & Public Safety Department should review and approve General Service hours and expenses prior to exceeding agreed upon estimated hours and costs. In addition, the Department should work with the Port to determine if an increase of the approved estimated hours and expense would be appropriate for future fiscal years.	Going forward, Harbor Police Department (HPD) General Service Hours will be based on the two previous year's actual hours using actual (not blended) rates. We anticipate that this will result in a more accurate estimate. We will establish the FY 2017 HPD Service Level Agreement (SLA) in June 2015.	Completed	N/A
15-17	ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	11	Impact: 6 Probability: 5	To ensure that personnel changes do not diminish reviews of P-Card purchases, Accounting should ensure that personnel assigned to this function are properly trained.	1 – Process documentation will be reviewed and expanded upon. 2 – Effective immediately, management will provide additional oversight, especially during personnel transitions.	In Progress	December 2015
15-11	BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15026, dated March 18, 2015, Gate Gourmet Inc.	10	Impact: 5 Probability: 5	We recommend that the Business and Financial Management Department request the Accounting Department to issue an invoice to Gate Gourmet in the amount of \$1,719.16 for underpaid concession fees. Also, the department should introduce controls to ensure that revenue from all Gate Gourmet customers is included in monthly concession calculations.	Gate Gourmet was invoiced for the entire amount and it was collected in August.	Completed	N/A
15-20	PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15037, dated May 18, 2015, Procurement Card Program	10	Impact: 5 Probability: 5	Procurement should ensure that the Manual and the User Guide are reviewed and updated annually to ensure that internal controls are in place and effective surrounding all P-Card transactions.	Procurement is actively working on revisions to the P-Card Manuals to reflect updates and recommendations that strengthen controls.	In Progress	November 2015
15-24	AIRSIDE OPERATIONS PUBLIC SAFETY & SECURITY DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15039, dated May 22, 2015, Emergency Medical Technician-Paramedic Services	9	Impact: 5 Probability: 4	The Airside Operations/Public Safety & Security Department should request the City to add as an Authority credit \$1,495 (\$4,486/3) to the City's EMT-P service invoices for the next three (3) months.	Credit in the amount of \$4,486 was applied to the City's June 2015 invoice. This invoice was paid on September 20, 2015.	Completed	N/A

NOTE: Risk Score is based upon the combined scores of Impact and Probability. Both Impact and Probability are ranked on a scale of 1-10, with maximum possible scores (highest risk) of 10, and a maximum possible combined score of 20.

Rec. No.	Department Name	Audit Report Description	Risk Score	Risk	Recommendation	Status as of September 30, 2015	OCA's Assessment	Estimated Completion Date
15-25	CORPORATE & INFORMATION GOVERNANCE DEPARTMENT	Audit Report #15005, dated June 5, 2015, Public Records Management	9	Impact: 5 Probability: 4	Authority Policy 8.61, Document Reproduction for the Public, should be amended to ensure compliance with the California Public Records Act (CPRA) . Specifically, the Policy should ensure that the fees for duplication of records are consistent with the CPRA and recover the direct cost of duplication. Additionally, to ensure that the Policy is consistent with the CPRA, and completely transparent regarding all costs for duplication of records from Public Records Requests, we recommend that the Policy should include the formats of records to be provided, with the costs to be charged for producing those records, and a statement regarding charges for actual shipping costs.	Corporate & Information Governance amended the policy, which was presented to the Board and adopted at its September 17, 2015, meeting.	Completed	N/A

NOTE: Risk Score is based upon the combined scores of Impact and Probability. Both Impact and Probability are ranked on a scale of 1-10, with maximum possible scores (highest risk) of 10, and a maximum possible combined score of 20.



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

**Item No.
10**

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Authorize the President/CEO to Negotiate and Execute a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement With US Bank, NA to Continue the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0129, approving and authorizing the President/CEO to negotiate and execute a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 with US Bank, NA in order to continue the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program.

Background/Justification:

The Authority's Procurement & Small Business Development Department manages the Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program (Program). The purpose of the Program is to assist contractors, wishing to do business with the Authority, who are having difficulty obtaining required surety bonds. As part of the Program, the Authority utilizes a Standby Letter of Credit Facility (SBLCF) to allow the issuance of individual Standby Letters of Credit (LOC) to sureties and lenders. LOC's are issued on behalf of small business contractors to support their participation in Authority contracts. Merriweather & Williams Insurance Services (M&W) administers the Program and is instrumental in initiating the issuance, extension, termination, and/or renewal of each LOC; however the Authority's written approval is required prior to issuance of each LOC.

To minimize credit risk to the Authority, M&W conducts a rigorous process to evaluate and educate small businesses wishing to participate in the Program and provides a framework to ensure they have the tools to be successful. M&W also works with sureties and lenders to ensure underwriting criteria adequately protects Authority resources.

The existing SBLCF that supports the Program is provided by Wells Fargo Bank and will expire on December 31, 2015. The Program is authorized to issue up to \$4,000,000 of LOCs at any one time in amounts not to exceed \$750,000, for a maximum term of 12 months, but can be renewed on an annual basis. The LOC's are secured with a cash collateral deposit held by the bank.

A Request for Bids (RFB) for a replacement SBLCF was issued in September, 2015 whereby 20 firms viewed the RFB opportunity and 1 Bid was received on October 19, 2015. Authority staff, after reviewing the Bid, recommends awarding a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement for the SBLCF to U.S Bank, National Association.

Page 2 of 2

The costs to maintain and operate the SBLCF include an issuing fee of 0.85% of the face value of the LOC and a non-utilized fee of 0.60%. All-in-costs are expected to average \$30,000 per year; however these costs will be offset by the interest income generated from the collateral account.

Fiscal Impact:

Adequate funding for the SBLCF is included in the adopted FY 2016 and conceptually approved FY 2017 Operating Expense Budgets within the Outside Services line item. Expenses impacting future budget years not yet adopted/approved by the board will be included in future year budget requests.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy
- Customer Strategy
- Employee Strategy
- Financial Strategy
- Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

The Authority has the following inclusionary programs/policies: a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program, an Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) Program, Policy 5.12 and Policy 5.14. These programs/policies are intended to promote the inclusion of small, local, service disabled veteran owned, historically underrepresented businesses and other business enterprises, on all contracts. Only one of the programs/policies named above can be used in any single contracting opportunity.

This contract does not utilize federal funds and provides limited opportunities for sub-contractor participation; therefore; at the option of the Authority, Policy 5.12 was applied to promote the participation of qualified small businesses. Policy 5.12 provides a preference of up to five percent (5%) to small businesses in the award of selected Authority contracts. When bid price is the primary selection criteria, the maximum amount of the preference cannot exceed \$100,000. The preference is only applied in measuring the bid. The final contract award is based on the amount of the original bid. In accordance to Policy 5.12, the recommended firm, US Bank, received 0% small business preference.

Prepared by:

SCOTT BRICKNER
VICE PRESIDENT, FINANCE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT/TREASURER

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0129

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE PRESIDENT/CEO TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE A LETTER OF CREDIT AND REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$4,000,000 WITH US BANK, NA IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE AUTHORITY'S BONDING AND CONTRACT FINANCING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Authority currently utilizes a Standby Letter of Credit Facility (SBLCF) to allow the issuance of individual Irrevocable Letters of Credit (LOC) to sureties and lenders to support small business participation in Authority contracts as part of the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program (Program); and

WHEREAS, the SBLCF is secured with a collateral deposit and up to \$4,000,000 of LOC's may be issued in amounts not to exceed \$750,000, for a maximum term of 12 months; and

WHEREAS, the existing SBLCF that supports the Program will expire on December 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the Authority released a Request for Bids (RFB) in September, 2015 to obtain the services of a qualified firm to perform SBLCF services for the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Authority received one (1) Bid in response to the RFB from U.S. Bank National Association; and

WHEREAS, Authority staff reviewed the Bid and finds it is responsive and that U.S. Bank National Association is responsible; and

WHEREAS, the Authority staff, after reviewing the Bid, recommends award of an agreement for SBLCF services to U.S. Bank National Association; and

WHEREAS, the Board determines that awarding a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement to U.S. Bank National Association is in the best interest of the Authority.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves and authorizes the President/CEO to negotiate and execute a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement in an amount not-to-exceed \$4,000,000 with U.S. Bank National Association to continue the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Authority and its officers, employees and agents hereby are authorized, empowered and directed to do and perform all such acts as may be necessary or appropriate in order to effectuate fully the foregoing resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board, that it finds that this action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code § 21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code § 30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE SERVICES/
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL

ITEM 10



SAN DIEGO
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

LET'S **GO.**

Authorize the President/CEO to Negotiate and Execute a Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement With US Bank, NA to Continue the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program

Geoff Bryant
Manager, Airport Finance



Bonding & Contract Financing Assistance Program

- The purpose of this Bonding & Contract Financing Assistance Program (Program) is to assist contractors who are having difficulty in obtaining required surety bonds
 - Contractors need to be able to display financial strength, demonstrate capacity, and establish a track record of bonding in order to gain access to surety credit; this is a challenge for small businesses
- LOCs are issued to sureties and lenders on behalf of small businesses in the Program



Bonding & Contract Financing Assistance Program (cont.)

- Program administered by Merriweather & Williams Insurance Services who initiate the issuance, extension, termination, and/or renewal of each LOC
- Authority's written approval is required prior to the LOC issuance
- Credit risk is minimized by rigorous contractor evaluation process
- Total LOC's outstanding cannot exceed \$4,000,000




Existing LOC Facility

- LOC facility is currently provided by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association
- Individual LOCs issued in amounts not to exceed \$750,000 for a maximum term of 12 months
- LOC facility secured by collateral deposit
- The Wells Fargo LOC facility expires on December 31, 2015



2015 LOC Request for Bid (RFB)


- RFB for a replacement LOC program was issued in September
- 20 Firms viewed the opportunity - there was one response
- The Authority is currently negotiating a letter of credit and reimbursement agreement with the respondent, U.S. Bank, National Association
 - LOC Issuing Fee 0.85% for each LOC issued
 - Non-utilized fee of 0.60%
- Total costs are expected to average \$30,000 per annum
- Costs will be offset by interest income on the collateral account



Recommendation

Staff recommends the Board authorize:

The President/CEO, on behalf of the Authority, to negotiate and execute a letter of credit and reimbursement agreement in an amount not to exceed four million dollars (\$4,000,000) with U.S. Bank, National Association in order to continue the Authority's Bonding and Contract Financing Assistance Program.

A photograph of a sunset sky with a plane flying in the upper left corner. The sky is filled with large, dark, reddish-brown clouds. The word "QUESTIONS?" is written in white, bold, sans-serif font in the center of the image. A horizontal blue line is positioned below the text.

QUESTIONS?



**SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT**

**Item No.
11**

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Award of Contract to Hazard Construction Company for Rehabilitate Cross Taxiway B8 and Terminal Aprons at San Diego International Airport

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0130, awarding a contract to Hazard Construction Company in the amount of \$4,766,600 for Project No. 104177, Rehabilitate Cross Taxiway B8 and Terminal Aprons at San Diego International Airport.

Background/Justification:

This project is a San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Authority") Board ("Board") approved project in the FY2016 Capital Improvement Program ("CIP").

The pavement at Taxiway B8 and the south side Cargo and Terminal 1 aprons are in need of rehabilitation. Taxiway B8 is the most heavily used exit taxiway at the Airport and deterioration can affect Airport operations and safety. This project will provide for the milling and replacement of asphalt pavements at Taxiway B8 and the Cargo Apron, and make select repairs and replacement of concrete pavement slabs at the Terminal 1 passenger apron, extending the expected life of the existing pavement by approximately 20 years. The project will require the closure of one gate per rotunda, a total of two gates at a time at Terminal 1. This phasing approach has been coordinated with the station managers of the impacted airlines including Alaska, SeaPort, Southwest and Frontier Airlines. (Attachment A)

This contract was advertised on October 9, 2015, and sealed bids were opened on November 9, 2015. The following bids were received: (Attachment B)

Company	Total Bid
Hazard Construction Company	\$4,766,600
Granite Construction Company	\$5,091,850
Myers & Sons Construction Company	\$6,340,870

The Engineer's estimate is \$4,326,300

The low bid is 10.2% higher than the Engineer’s estimate. The major difference in the low bid as compared to the Engineer’s estimate is due to two factors not reflected in the original published Engineer’s estimate. Contractor mark ups have escalated by 10 to 15% due to recovery from the economic downturn and uncertainty of future TSA badging requirements. The Authority’s estimator revalidated the published Engineer’s estimate and concludes that it is within \$13,000 of the Contractor’s low bid.

The requirements of Authority Policy 5.14 (“Policy”), small business, local business and service disabled veteran owned small business goal and preference program, were applied to this bid solicitation and the Policy was used to determine the lowest responsible bidder. It was determined Hazard Construction Company’s low bid of \$4,766,600, is responsive, and Hazard Construction Company, is considered responsible. Staff recommends award to Hazard Construction Company, in the amount of \$4,766,600.

Fiscal Impact:

Adequate funds for Rehabilitate Cross Taxiway B8 and Terminal Aprons are included within the Board approved FY2016-FY2020 Capital Program Budget in Project No. 104177. Sources of funding for this project include Passenger Facility Charges and Airport Cash.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy
- Customer Strategy
- Employee Strategy
- Financial Strategy
- Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a “project” subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.

B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

The Authority has the following inclusionary programs/policies: a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program, an Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) Program, Policy 5.12 and Policy 5.14. These programs/policies are intended to promote the inclusion of small, local, service disabled veteran owned, historically underrepresented businesses and other business enterprises, on all contracts. Only one of the programs/policies named above can be used in any single contracting opportunity.

This contract does not utilize federal funds and provides opportunities for sub-contractor participation; therefore; at the option of the Authority, Policy 5.14 was applied. Policy 5.14 establishes separate goals for the participation of: (1) small businesses; (2) local businesses; and, (3) service disabled veteran owned small businesses (SDVOSB). The local business participation goal can only be applied when the overall local business participation of all Authority contracts at the time of solicitation is less than 60%. The maximum preference applied under Policy 5.14 is seven percent (7%): three percent (3%) for small business participation; two percent (2%) for local business participation; and, two percent (2%) for SDVOSB participation. When bid price is the primary selection criteria, the maximum amount of the preference cannot exceed \$200,000. The preference is only applied in measuring the bid. The final contract award is based on the amount of the original bid. When bid price is not the primary selection criteria, the preference is only applied to determine which proposers are interviewed for final consideration. Per Policy 5.14, the preference is not applied in the final selection.

In accordance with Policy 5.14, Hazard Construction Company partially met the SBE goal of 39% with 29% certified small business participation for a 2% certified small business preference and met the SDVOSB goal of 3% for a 2% SDVOSB participation preference. At the time of the solicitation it was determined that the Authority's overall local business participation exceeded 60% therefore no preference was applied for local business participation.

Prepared by:

IRAJ GHAEMI
DIRECTOR, FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT

PATH: P:\Development\700\COMMON\0815-00 Construction General Files\Maps and Drawings\MCAD working files\001 airport exhibita\2015\1-E-45 104177 Attachment A.dwg

ATTACHMENT A

CIP 104177 REHABILITATE CROSS TAXIWAY B8 AND TERMINAL APRONS

 PAVEMENT AREAS TO BE REPAIRED



ATTACHMENT B

CIP 104177, REHABILITATE CROSS TAXIWAY B8 AND TERMINAL APRONS - BID TABULATION

BIDS OPENED: November 9, 2015 @ 2:00 PM

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: (\$4,326,300.00)				ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		1		2		3	
GUARANTEE OF GOOD FAITH						Hazard Construction Company		Granite Construction Company		Myers & Sons Construction Company	
						6465 Marinuity Drive San Diego, CA 92121		6465 El Camino Real, Suite 200 Carlsbad, CA 92008		4000 Northgate Blvd., Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95834	
						Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America		Federal Insurance Company		Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America	
BID ITEM NO.	TITLE	QUANTITY	UNIT ITEM	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)
Bid Schedule A - Base Bid											
1	Overhead	120	DAY	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 540,000	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 600,000	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 1,680,000	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 600,000
2	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Airport SWPPP	LS	LS	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00
3	Mobilization	LS	LS	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 101,000.00	\$ 101,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00
4	Demobilization	LS	LS	\$ 70,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 77,000.00	\$ 77,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
5	Pavement Removal (Asphalt Concrete)	200	SY	\$ 67.00	\$ 13,400	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 8,000.00
6	Pavement Removal (PCC)	4,500	SY	\$ 90.00	\$ 405,000	\$ 75.00	\$ 337,500.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 170.00	\$ 765,000.00
7	Joints and Crack Repair	300	LF	\$ 25.00	\$ 7,500	\$ 14.00	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 12.00	\$ 3,600.00
8	Paint Removal	300	SF	\$ 8.00	\$ 2,400	\$ 11.00	\$ 3,300.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 6,000.00
9	Cold Milling	6,000	SY	\$ 9.00	\$ 54,000	\$ 15.00	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 8.00	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 90,000.00
10	Airfield Construction Area Control	LS	LS	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 350,000	\$ 360,000.00	\$ 360,000.00	\$ 568,750.00	\$ 568,750.00	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 250,000.00
11	Purchase Contingency	10	EA	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 20,000	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 45,000.00
12	Unclassified Excavation	300	OCY	\$ 40.00	\$ 12,000	\$ 30.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 37,500.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 12,000.00
13	Over-Excavation	300	CY	\$ 65.00	\$ 19,500	\$ 30.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 45.00	\$ 13,500.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 12,000.00
14	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Scheduling	18	WEEKLY	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 27,000	\$ 50.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 9,000.00
15	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Street Sweeping	120	DAY	\$ 950.00	\$ 114,000	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 96,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 180,000.00
16	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Guavel Bag Barriers	600	LF	\$ 20.00	\$ 12,000	\$ 5.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 7.00	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 30,000.00
17	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Storm Drain Inlet Protection	10	EA	\$ 300.00	\$ 3,000	\$ 150.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 6,000.00
18	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Temporary Stabilized Construction Entrance/Exit	1	EA	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
19	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Concrete Washout	1	EA	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 11,000	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
20	Geotextile-Geogrid (Subgrade Stabilization)-T1	4,500	SY	\$ 4.00	\$ 18,000	\$ 5.00	\$ 22,500.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 22,500.00
21	Geotextile-Fiber Fabric (Subgrade Stabilization)-T1	4,500	SY	\$ 2.50	\$ 11,250	\$ 1.50	\$ 6,750.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 22,500.00
22	Crushed Aggregate Base Course	1,000	CY	\$ 50.00	\$ 50,000	\$ 135.00	\$ 135,000.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 350,000.00
23	Cement-Treated Base Course	4,500	SY	\$ 30.00	\$ 135,000	\$ 20.00	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 112,500.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 450,000.00
24	Blumious Surface Course	2,000	TON	\$ 180.00	\$ 360,000	\$ 145.00	\$ 290,000.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 155.00	\$ 310,000.00
25	Crack Sealing	1,000	LF	\$ 5.00	\$ 5,000	\$ 15.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 12.00	\$ 12,000.00
26	Joint Sealing	5,000	LF	\$ 8.00	\$ 40,000	\$ 9.50	\$ 47,500.00	\$ 16.00	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 8.12	\$ 40,600.00
27	Partial-Depth Joint Spall Patching	1,000	SF	\$ 125.00	\$ 125,000	\$ 30.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 130.00	\$ 130,000.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 125,000.00
28	Full-Depth Patching	1,000	SF	\$ 200.00	\$ 200,000	\$ 40.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 125,000.00	\$ 325.00	\$ 325,000.00
29	Airfield Markings with Reflective Media	7,000	SF	\$ 2.20	\$ 15,400	\$ 5.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 4.80	\$ 33,600.00	\$ 1.50	\$ 10,500.00
30	Airfield Markings without Reflective Media	1,000	SF	\$ 1.00	\$ 1,000	\$ 5.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 3.20	\$ 3,200.00	\$ 1.50	\$ 1,500.00
31	Dewatering and Flow Control	LS	LS	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
Total for Bid Schedule A				\$ 2,771,950.00	\$ 2,771,950.00	\$ 2,488,150.00	\$ 2,488,150.00	\$ 3,909,450.00	\$ 3,909,450.00	\$ 4,093,870.00	\$ 4,093,870.00
Bid Schedule B - Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control											
1	Pavement Removal (Asphalt Concrete) - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	200	SY	\$ 40.00	\$ 8,000	\$ 20.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 2,000.00
2	Paint Removal - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	600	SF	\$ 8.00	\$ 4,800	\$ 11.00	\$ 6,600.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 12,000.00
3	Cold Milling - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	11,000	SY	\$ 9.00	\$ 99,000	\$ 15.00	\$ 165,000.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 44,000.00	\$ 12.00	\$ 132,000.00
4	Airfield Construction Area Control - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	LS	LS	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00
5	Over-Excavation - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	500	CY	\$ 49.00	\$ 24,500	\$ 30.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 45.00	\$ 22,500.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 37,500.00
6	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Guavel Bag Barriers - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	2,000	LF	\$ 20.00	\$ 40,000	\$ 5.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 7.00	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 100,000.00
7	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Storm Drain Inlet Protection - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	10	EA	\$ 300.00	\$ 3,000	\$ 150.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 6,000.00
8	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Temporary Stabilized Construction Entrance/Exit - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	1	EA	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
9	Temporary Erosion/Sediment Control-Concrete Washout - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	1	EA	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 11,000	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00
10	Geotextile-Geogrid (Subgrade Stabilization) - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	300	SY	\$ 4.00	\$ 1,200	\$ 5.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 1,500.00
11	Geotextile-Fiber Fabric (Subgrade Stabilization) - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	300	SY	\$ 2.50	\$ 750	\$ 1.50	\$ 450.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 1,500.00
12	Crushed Aggregate Base Course - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	200	TON	\$ 40.00	\$ 8,000	\$ 50.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 325.00	\$ 65,000.00
13	Blumious Surface Course - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	2,000	TON	\$ 180.00	\$ 360,000	\$ 145.00	\$ 290,000.00	\$ 110.00	\$ 220,000.00	\$ 135.00	\$ 270,000.00
14	Airfield Markings with Reflective Media - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	2,000	SF	\$ 2.20	\$ 4,400	\$ 6.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 4.80	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 1.50	\$ 3,000.00
15	Airfield Markings without Reflective Media - Taxi Lane E and Cargo Apron	1,000	SF	\$ 1.00	\$ 1,000	\$ 5.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 3.20	\$ 3,200.00	\$ 1.50	\$ 1,500.00
Total for Bid Schedule B				\$ 589,350.00	\$ 589,350.00	\$ 571,450.00	\$ 571,450.00	\$ 589,000.00	\$ 589,000.00	\$ 692,500.00	\$ 692,500.00

**ATTACHMENT B
CIP 104177, REHABILITATE CROSS TAXIWAY B8 AND TERMINAL APRONS - BID TABULATION**

BIDS OPENED: November 9, 2015 @ 2:00 PM

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: (\$4,326,300.00)				ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		1		2		3	
						Hazard Construction Company 0405 Meridian Drive San Diego, CA 92162		Granite Construction Company 6800 El Camino Real, Suite 200 Carlsbad, CA 92008		Myers & Sons Construction Company 4000 Northgate Blvd., Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95834	
GUARANTEE OF GOOD FAITH						Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America		Federal Insurance Company		Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America	
BID ITEM NO	TITLE	QUANTITY	UNIT ITEM	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)	UNIT PRICE (In Figures)	TOTAL (In Figures)

Bid Schedule C - Allowances

1	Allowance for Permits and Fees (Excludes Dewatering & Disposal)	Allowance	Allowance	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
2	Allowance for Contaminated Material Soil Disposal Fees	Allowance	Allowance	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00
3	Allowance for Dewatering Discharge Fees	Allowance	Allowance	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
4	Allowance for Environmental Procedures	Allowance	Allowance	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00
5	Allowance for Unforeseen and Miscellaneous Conditions	Allowance	Allowance	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
Total for Bid Schedule C					\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00

Bid Schedule D - Alternative 1 - P-601 Concrete

1	15-inch Portland Cement Concrete Pavement	2,300	SY	\$ 160.00	\$ 368,000.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 805,000.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 287,500.00	\$ 325.00	\$ 747,500.00
2	15-inch Reinforced Portland Cement Concrete Pavement	2,200	SY	\$ 235.00	\$ 517,000.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 792,000.00	\$ 135.00	\$ 297,000.00	\$ 335.00	\$ 737,000.00
Total for Bid Schedule D					\$ 885,000.00	\$ 1,597,000.00	\$ 584,500.00	\$ 1,484,500.00	\$ 6,340,870.00	\$ 6,340,870.00	\$ 6,340,870.00
Total for (Bid Schedule A+B+C+D)					\$ 4,326,300.00	\$ 4,766,800.00	\$ 5,091,850.00	\$ 6,340,870.00	\$ 6,340,870.00	\$ 6,340,870.00	\$ 6,340,870.00

Bid Schedule E - Alternative 2 - P-520 Concrete

1	15-inch High Early Strength Concrete Pavement	2,300	SY	\$ 800.00	\$ 1,840,000.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 805,000.00	\$ 326.00	\$ 749,800.00	\$ 480.00	\$ 1,104,000.00
2	15-inch High Early Strength Reinforced Concrete Pavement	2,200	SY	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 2,420,000.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 792,000.00	\$ 347.00	\$ 763,400.00	\$ 490.00	\$ 1,078,000.00
Total for Bid Schedule E					\$ 4,260,000.00	\$ 1,597,000.00	\$ 1,513,200.00	\$ 2,182,000.00	\$ 7,038,370.00	\$ 7,038,370.00	\$ 7,038,370.00
Total for (Bid Schedule A+B+C+E)					\$ 7,761,300.00	\$ 4,766,800.00	\$ 6,020,550.00	\$ 7,038,370.00	\$ 7,038,370.00	\$ 7,038,370.00	\$ 7,038,370.00

ADDENDUM NO. NOTED BY BIDDERS ON THEIR SUBMITTED BID SCHEDULE:

1	Yes	Yes	Yes
---	-----	-----	-----

CONTRACTOR'S Submitted Bid Amount

Points	Policy 5.14 Bid Adjustment Amount Table
6 or 6%	\$200,000.00
4 or 4%	\$190,664.00
3 or 3%	\$142,998.00
2 or 2%	\$95,332.00
1 or 1%	\$47,666.00

Points	Policy 5.14 Bid Adjustment Amount
4	\$190,664.00
Adjustment Amount (4 Points)	\$4,575,938.00

Points	Policy 5.14 Bid Adjustment Amount
1	\$47,666.00
Adjustment Amount (1 Point)	\$5,044,194.00

Points	Policy 5.14 Bid Adjustment Amount
0	\$0.00
Adjustment Amount (0 Point)	\$6,340,870.00

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0130

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY, AWARDED A CONTRACT TO HAZARD CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,766,600 FOR PROJECT NO. 104177, REHABILITATE CROSS TAXIWAY B8 AND TERMINAL APRONS AT SAN DIEGO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

WHEREAS, this project is a San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Authority") Board ("Board") approved project in the FY2016 Capital Improvement Program ("CIP"); and

WHEREAS the pavement at Taxiway B8 and the Cargo and Terminal 1 aprons are in need of rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, Taxiway B8 is the most heavily used exit taxiway at the Airport and deterioration can affect Airport operations and safety; and

WHEREAS, this project will provide for the milling and replacement of asphalt pavements at Taxiway B8 and the south side Cargo Apron, and make select repairs and replacement of a pavement slabs at the Terminal 1 passenger apron, extending the expected life of the existing pavement by approximately 20 years; and

WHEREAS, this contract was advertised on October 9, 2015; and

WHEREAS, on November 9, 2015, the Authority opened sealed bids received in response to the Bid Solicitation Package; and

WHEREAS, the requirements of Authority Policy 5.14 ("Policy"), small business, local business, and service disabled veteran owned small business goal and preference program, were applied to this bid solicitation; and

WHEREAS, it was determined the apparent low bidder, Hazard Construction Company, submitted a bid in the amount of \$4,766,600, and

WHEREAS, Authority's staff has duly considered Hazard Construction Company's bid, and has determined Hazard Construction Company is responsible, and that its bid is responsive in all respects; and

WHEREAS, the Board believes that it is in the best interest of the Authority and the public that it serves, for the Board to award Hazard Construction Company, the contract for Project No. 104177, Rehabilitate Cross Taxiway B8 and Terminal Aprons, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Bid Solicitation Package.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby awards a contract to Hazard Construction Company, in the amount of \$4,766,600 for Project No. 104177, Rehabilitate Cross Taxiway B8 and Terminal Aprons at San Diego International Airport; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Authority's President/CEO or designee hereby is authorized to execute and deliver such contract to Hazard Construction Company; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority and its officers, employee, and agents are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to do and perform such acts as may be necessary or appropriate in order to effectuate fully the foregoing resolutions; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board finds this Board action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code §21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code §30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE /
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
12

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Approve and Authorize Amendment 4 to the Contract with Leigh Fisher Increasing the Contract Duration and Not-to-Exceed Amount for the Airport Development Plan (ADP) Environmental Analyses

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0131, approving and authorizing Amendment 4 to the Leigh Fisher contract increasing the term by four (4) years to expire on February 21, 2020 and increasing the amount by \$2,570,000 for a new total not-to-exceed amount of \$8,692,145 for the Airport Development Plan (ADP) Environmental Analyses.

Background/Justification:

The Airport Development Plan (ADP) is the Airport Authority's planning effort to determine the future needs and plans of San Diego International Airport (SDIA) through the year 2035. At the November 2015, Board meeting, the Board approved Alternative 5 as the "preferred alternative" and directed staff to conduct the environmental analyses for the ADP.

Both state and federal environmental analyses will be conducted, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The environmental analyses will include a review of Alternatives 1 – 5 and the No Action/No Build alternative. This will provide a range of alternatives, as required by both CEQA and NEPA. At this time, it is anticipated that the alternatives will each include two phases: the replacement of Terminal 1 (including 19 - 20 terminal replacement gates and associated airside apron areas, a parking structure, dual-level curb, and Airport Access Road) and the Ultimate Configuration (including additional terminal gates, a new Federal Inspection Services [FIS] facility, additional Remain Overnight [RON] aircraft positions, an additional parking structure, and other long-term needs).

Including the Ultimate Configuration in the environmental analyses will trigger an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under NEPA, as the eastern extension of a new taxiway/taxilane and the final RON parking positions at the far east end of the airport may impact the California least tern habitat. When the original budget was prepared, it was anticipated that any alternatives would avoid the habitat and a less extensive Environmental Assessment (EA) would suffice. It is expected that the CEQA analysis will result in an Environmental Impact Report.

The environmental analysis for the ADP will include only the section of the proposed Airport Access Road that is on airport property, as development of the access road beyond SDIA's boundaries will be a multi-jurisdictional effort and the timing of the project cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, environmental analysis of off-airport segments would be

analyzed in a separate document that would be coordinated with other lead agencies, such as the City of San Diego, the Unified Port District, and SANDAG. If necessary, staff will return to the Board at a later time for direction on proceeding with any off-airport environmental analysis. In October 2011, the Board adopted Resolution No. 2011-0115, approving a contract of up to \$6.5 million and four (4) years for a consultant team lead by LeighFisher to prepare the plan and the associated environmental documentation. The consultant contract commenced on February 22, 2012 and expires on February 21, 2016. It has a not-to-exceed value of \$6,122,145, which is composed of an estimated \$1,479,279 for the environmental analyses and \$4,642,866 for all other tasks (e.g., project management, preparing the aviation forecasts and alternatives, updating the Airport Layout Plan [ALP], etc.). The contract had three previous amendments, which changed payment schedules, added staff titles, and changed task names in the scope. None included changes to contract duration or value. As of November 2015, there is \$1,889,889 remaining in the total project budget.

Now that the alternatives have been developed and the full extent of the environmental analyses is clear, the contract duration and budget requires adjustment. The primary changes include the need to analyze five alternatives plus the No action/No project alternative, which is more than originally anticipated, and the need for an EIS as opposed to an EA, which was also not originally anticipated.

Negotiations on the additional contract duration and budget have begun with the contractor, but have not been finalized. LeighFisher estimates the additional work to require 4 years, requiring a 4-year contract extension. Further, LeighFisher initially estimates the additional work to cost between \$1.4 million and \$2.57 million. This is in addition to the \$1,889,889 remaining in the contract. Therefore, staff is requesting authorization to increase the contract term by 4 years and the contract encumbrance by up to \$2.57 million. Contractor negotiations are expected to be completed in January 2016, with an amended contract in place prior to February 21, 2016.

Fiscal Impact:

Adequate funds are included in the Airport Development Plan project, 104149, for FY 2016 within the Board approved FY2016-FY2020 Capital Program Budget. The sources of funding for this project are PFCs. \$2.57 million additional budget will be requested in the proposed FY2017 – FY2021 Capital Program budgets. Sources of Funding will be determined during the budget process.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action, authorizing study of project alternatives and preparation of environmental documents, is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action, is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. NEPA: This Board action, authorizing study of project alternatives and preparation of environmental documents, is not subject to the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"). It is an action to fund the NEPA studies during the Environmental Review process.
- C. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

The Authority has the following inclusionary programs/policies: a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program, an Airport Concession Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (ACDBE) Program, Policy 5.12 and Policy 5.14. These programs/policies are intended to promote the inclusion of small, local, service disabled veteran owned, historically underrepresented businesses and other business enterprises, on all contracts. Only one of the programs/policies named above can be used in any single contracting opportunity.

At the time of this RFQ/Statement of Qualification, September 2011, the ADP study was anticipated to utilize FAA grant funding. The plan of finance ultimately scheduled the use of Passenger Facility Charges as the funding source of this project, a non-federal source. Consequently, no preferences were applied to the award of this contract. However, Leigh Fisher & Associates committed to working with the Airport Authority to maximize participation by small, local, historically underrepresented businesses on the project. To date, there is 6.24% small business participation on the contract.

Prepared by:

KEITH WILSCHETZ
DIRECTOR, PLANNING & NOISE MITIGATION

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0131

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY TO APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE AMENDMENT 4 TO THE LEIGH FISHER CONTRACT INCREASING THE TERM BY FOUR (4) YEARS TO EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 21, 2020 AND INCREASING THE AMOUNT BY \$2,570,000 FOR A NEW TOTAL NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT OF - \$8,692,145 FOR THE AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT PLAN (ADP) ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES

WHEREAS, the Airport Development Plan (ADP) is the planning effort of Authority staff and the consulting firm of LeighFisher to determine the future needs and plans of San Diego International Airport (SDIA) through the year 2035; and

WHEREAS, in November 2015, the Board approved Alternative 5 as the "preferred alternative" and directed staff to conduct the environmental analyses for the ADP; and

WHEREAS, both state and federal environmental analyses will be conducted, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which will include a review of Alternatives 1 – 5 and the No Action/No Build alternative; and

WHEREAS, the environmental analysis for the ADP will include only the section of the proposed Airport Access Road that is on airport property; and

WHEREAS, the Board adopted Resolution No. 2011-0115 in October 2011, approving a contract of up to \$6.5 million and four (4) years for a consultant team lead by Leigh Fisher; and

WHEREAS, the original contract commenced on February 22, 2012 and expires on February 21, 2020, with a not-to-exceed value of \$6,122,145, composed of \$1,479,279 for the environmental analyses and \$4,642,866 for all other tasks; and

WHEREAS, as of November 2015, \$1,889,889 remained in the total project budget; and

WHEREAS, the increased contract price and term are necessary to analyze five alternatives plus the No action/No project alternative and the need for an EIS as opposed to an EA, both of which were not originally anticipated; and

WHEREAS, negotiations on the additional contract duration and cost have begun with the contractor, but have not been finalized; and

WHEREAS, LeighFisher estimates that the additional work to require an additional 4 years, requiring a 4-year contract extension: and

WHEREAS, LeighFisher estimates that the additional work will require between \$1.4 million and \$2.57 million in additional funds; and

WHEREAS, staff is requesting authorization to increase the contract duration by 4 years and the cost by up to \$2.57 million.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves and authorizes Amendment 4 to the Leigh Fisher contract increasing the term by four (4) years to expire on February 21, 2020 and increasing the amount by \$2,570,000 for a new total not-to-exceed amount of \$8,692,145 for the Airport Development Plan (ADP) Environmental Analyses; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority and its officers, employees, and agents are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to do and perform such acts as may be necessary or appropriate to continue the ADP process, including the necessary CEQA and NEPA process; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the Board finds that this action, as a planning action, is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code §21065); is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code §30106); is not subject to National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA").

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE/
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
13

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

December 2015 Legislative Report and 2016 Legislative Agenda

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0132, approving the December 2015 Legislative Report and 2016 Legislative Agenda.

Background/Justification:

The Legislative Advocacy Program Policy adopted by the Board on November 10, 2003, requires that Authority staff present the Board with monthly reports concerning the status of legislation with potential impact to the Authority. This policy also requires the Board to adopt a legislative agenda which will serve as the foundation for the Authority's legislative advocacy program.

The December 2015 Legislative Report updates Board members on legislative activities that have taken place during the month of November. The Authority Board provides direction to staff on legislative issues by adoption of a monthly Legislative Report (Attachment A). The 2016 Legislative Agenda (Attachment B) includes general legislative guidelines and specific goals that the Authority's legislative team recommends the Board approve for the upcoming year. Following Board approval, staff will work with the Authority's legislative consultants to closely monitor the policy areas included in the 2016 Legislative Agenda and implement Board direction.

State Legislative Action

The Authority's legislative team does not recommend that the Board adopt any new positions on state legislation.

The State Legislature is scheduled to convene its next regular session on January 4, 2016.

Federal Legislative Action

The Authority's legislative team recommends that the Board adopt a SUPPORT position on H.R. 3965, the "Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Community Accountability Act of 2015". This bill would require the FAA Administrator to undertake actions to limit negative impacts of the implementation of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) on individuals living in the vicinity of affected airports and allows the

Administrator to give preference to overlays of existing flight paths and procedures to ensure land use compatibility. In addition, the Administrator would be required to appoint an FAA Community Ombudsman for each region of the FAA to serve as a liaison between affected communities and the Administrator. Ombudsmen would also monitor the impact of NextGen implementation on communities near affected airports and make recommendations to the Administrator to address community concerns and consider community input. Under H.R. 3965, the FAA could not implement revisions of flight paths or procedures via a categorical exemption if an ombudsman or airport operator notifies the FAA that proposed changes will have a significant adverse impact on individuals in the vicinity of such airport or if extraordinary circumstances exist. The FAA would also be required to provide a 30-day public comment period before deeming new or revised flight paths covered under a categorical exemption. In addition, the bill would require the Administrator of the FAA to reconsider a flight path or procedure established or revised under NextGen if an FAA Community Ombudsman or affected airport operator notifies the Administrator that the changes would result in significant adverse impact on the human environment in the vicinity of the airport.

The Authority's legislative team recommends that the Board adopt a WATCH position on H.R. 3384, the "Quiet Communities Act of 2015". This bill would authorize \$21 million in funding within the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to reestablish the Office of Noise Abatement and Control, which was established in 1972 and defunded in 1982. The EPA Administrator would also be required to conduct an airport noise study and transmit a report on the study results to Congress.

On December 1, 2015, House and Senate conferees approved H.R. 22, the Surface Transportation Reauthorization and Reform Act of 2015. This bill authorizes \$305 billion in funding for surface transportation projects over six years. During the consideration of this legislation, a provision to redirect additional Customs and Border Protection (CBP) revenue to fund highway projects was successfully opposed by the aviation industry and removed from the final bill. The Authority's legislative team will continue to vigilantly track similar legislation and work closely with our partners to ensure that any additional CBP revenue will not be used for non-CBP purposes.

Fiscal Impact:

Not applicable.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21065.

- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not applicable.

Prepared by:

MICHAEL KULIS
DIRECTOR, INTER-GOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0132

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN
DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
APPROVING THE DECEMBER 2015 LEGISLATIVE
REPORT AND THE 2016 LEGISLATIVE AGENDA

WHEREAS, the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (“Authority”) operates San Diego International Airport as well as plans for necessary improvements to the regional air transportation system in San Diego County, including serving as the responsible agency for airport land use planning within the County; and

WHEREAS, the Authority has a responsibility to promote public policies consistent with the Authority’s mandates and objectives; and

WHEREAS, Authority staff works locally and coordinates with legislative advocates in Sacramento and Washington, D.C. to identify and pursue legislative opportunities in defense and support of initiatives and programs of interest to the Authority; and

WHEREAS, under the Authority’s Legislative Advocacy Program Policy, the Authority Board gives direction to Authority staff on pending legislation; and

WHEREAS, the Authority Board in directing staff may adopt positions on legislation that has been determined to have a potential impact on the Authority’s operations and functions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves the December 2015 Legislative Report and the 2016 Legislative Agenda (Attachments A and B); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board finds that this Board action is not a “project” as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub. Res. Code § 21065); and is not a “development” as defined by the California Coastal Act (Pub. Res. Code § 30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a special meeting this 17th day of December, 2015 by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE/
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL

December 2015 Legislative Report

Federal Legislation

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 3384 (Meng) – Quiet Communities Act of 2015

Background/Summary

This bill would require the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to reestablish the Office of Noise Abatement and Control - established in 1972 and defunded since 1982 - and require the Administrator to conduct an airport noise study, submitting the results to Congress.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This bill will be monitored by the Authority's legislative staff for any potential impact to San Diego International Airport.

Status: 7/29/15 – Introduced

Position: Watch

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 3965 (Gallego) – FAA Community Accountability Act of 2015

Background/Summary

This bill would require the FAA Administrator to undertake actions to limit negative impacts of the implementation of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) on individuals living in the vicinity of affected airports and allows the Administrator to give preference to overlays of existing flight paths and procedures to ensure land use compatibility. The following are specific provisions included in the bill:

- The Administrator would be required to appoint an FAA Community Ombudsman for each region of the FAA to serve as a liaison between affected communities and the Administrator.
- Ombudsmen would also monitor the impact of NextGen implementation on communities near affected airports and make recommendations to the Administrator to address community concerns and consider community input.
- FAA could not implement revisions of flight paths or procedures via a categorical exemption if an ombudsman or airport operator notifies the FAA that proposed changes will have a significant adverse impact on individuals in the vicinity of such airport or if extraordinary circumstances exist.

**Shaded text represents new or updated legislative information*

- FAA would be required to provide a 30-day public comment period before deeming new or revised flight paths covered under a categorical exemption.
- The Administrator would be required to reconsider a flight path or procedure established or revised under NextGen if an FAA Community Ombudsman or affected airport operator notifies the Administrator that the changes would result in significant adverse impact on the human environment in the vicinity of the airport.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This legislation would enhance the role of the Authority and residents living in close proximity to the airport during the consideration and implementation of the NextGen Air Transportation System.

Status: 11/5/15 – Introduced

Position: Support

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 1835 (Mica) – The Air Traffic Controller Reform and Employee Stock Ownership Act of 2015

Background/Summary

This bill would privatize some facets of the nation’s air traffic control system and create a new private corporation that would oversee functions currently handled by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The Secretary of Transportation would be required to submit a transfer plan to Congress within 60 days of enactment of H.R. 1835.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This bill is being closely monitored by the Authority’s legislative team for any potential impact to San Diego International Airport. It is anticipated that legislation similar to H.R. 1835 will be included in a comprehensive FAA Reauthorization Bill.

Status: 4/16/15 – Introduced and Referred to the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

Position: Watch (5/21/15)

**Shaded text represents new or updated legislative information*

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2577 (Diaz-Balart) – Fiscal Year 2016 Transportation Appropriations Act

Background/Summary

This bill would provide funding for the Department of Transportation and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for Fiscal Year 2016. The bill contains \$9.87 billion for FAA operations and \$3.35 billion, the full authorized level, for the Airport Improvement Program. H.R. 2577 would provide funding for 14,500 air traffic controllers, 7,400 safety inspectors and operational support. The bill would also fund FAA's Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) at \$931 million, and fund the Contract Tower Program at \$154 million.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

Passage of this bill would benefit San Diego International Airport by providing continued funding for FAA operations and the AIP program, which will assist in funding airport projects.

Status: 6/9/15 - Approved by the House on a vote of 216-210 and referred to the Senate Appropriations Committee
6/25/15 - Reported out of Senate Appropriations Committee and considered by the full Senate.

Position: Support (5/21/15)

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2750 (Katko) – The Improved Security Vetting for Aviation Workers Act of 2015

Background/Summary

This bill would codify recommendations issued by the Department of Homeland Security Inspector General related to the vetting and badging of airport employees. This bill would require the establishment of new guidance procedures for the annual review of badging offices by the end of 2015. Inspections will include a review of applicants' Criminal History Records Check (CHRC) and work authorization documentation. The legislation would also require airport badging offices to indicate, on an employee's credentials, the date their authorization to work in the United States ends. Further, the bill would require the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) to review cases involving credentials denied due to issues determining the legal status of an employee. The findings of this review will be used to identify and correct weaknesses of airports.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

If enacted, Authority staff will coordinate with TSA staff on any actions necessary to implement these new requirements.

**Shaded text represents new or updated legislative information*

Status: 7/27/15 – Approved by the House on a voice vote and referred to Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation

Position: Watch (7/23/15)

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2843 (Katko) – The TSA PreCheck Expansion Act

Background/Summary

This bill would require the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) Administrator to coordinate with private sector partners to increase public enrollment in the PreCheck Program and to maximize the availability of PreCheck screening, particularly during peak and other high volume travel times.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

Authority staff will coordinate with TSA staff to assist in implementing the actions in H.R. 2843 if this legislation is enacted.

Status: 7/27/15 - Approved by the House on a voice vote and referred to Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation

Position: Watch (7/23/15)

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2127 (Thompson) – The Securing Expedited Screening Act

Background/Summary

This bill would require the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) to limit expedited security screening at airports to passengers enrolled in a Department of Homeland Security trusted traveler program, members of the armed forces, and other low risk travelers.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This legislation is not expected to result in any significant impact to San Diego International Airport operations.

Status: 7/27/15 - Approved by the House on a voice vote and referred to Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation

Position: Watch (7/23/15)

**Shaded text represents new or updated legislative information*

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2770 (Rice) – The Keeping Our Travelers Safe and Secure Act

Background/Summary

This bill would require the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) Administrator to develop and implement a preventative maintenance validation process for security-related screening technology at airports.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This bill is not expected to impact San Diego International Airport operations.

Status: 7/27/15 - Approved by the House on a vote of 380-0 and referred to Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation

Position: Watch (7/23/15)

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2776 (Cohen) – The Carry-On Freedom Act of 2015

Background/Summary

This bill would direct the Secretary of Transportation to issue regulations that prevent airlines from reducing the size of carry-on luggage from the size standards utilized by airlines on June 8, 2015.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This bill is not expected to impact operations at San Diego International Airport.

Status: 6/15/15 – Introduced and referred to the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

Position: Watch (7/23/15)

Legislation/Topic

H.R. 2530 (Duckworth) – The Friendly Airports for Mothers Act of 2015

Background/Summary

This bill would require large and medium hub airports to install and maintain post-security lactation areas at each airport terminal building. These areas must have a locking door, sitting area, flat surface, electrical outlet, and accessibility compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and must not be located in a restroom.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

The legislation is not expected to require any changes to SDIA airport facilities as post-security lactation areas in the terminals already exist.

**Shaded text represents new or updated legislative information*

Status: 5/22/15 – Referred to the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

Position: Watch (7/23/15)

Legislation/Topic

S.1608 (Feinstein) – Consumer Safety Drone Act

Background/Summary

This bill would require the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration to issue a regulation governing the operation of consumer drones. Specifically, the regulation would include: limits on altitude for consumer drones; a means of preventing unauthorized operation within protected airspace; a system that enables the avoidance of collisions; a technological means to maintain safety in the event of compromised communications between drone and operator; and a means to prevent tampering with safety mechanisms and educational materials for consumers.

Anticipated Impact/Discussion

This legislation would assist Authority staff in maintaining public safety and could help prevent drone incursions on airport property and in the flight path of aircraft operating at SDIA.

Status: 6/18/15 – Introduced and referred to Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation

Position: Support (9/17/15)

**Shaded text represents new or updated legislative information*

**San Diego County Regional Airport Authority
2016 Legislative Agenda**

State Policy/Legislation

Transportation Network Companies

In 2015, Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill 1422, legislation requiring transportation network companies (TNCs) to participate in the California Department of Motor Vehicles pull-notice system. This action allows TNCs to regularly check the driving records of participating drivers. It is anticipated that the State Legislature will consider additional bills next year related to the operation and oversight of TNCs.

Recommendation: Work with the California Airports Council and others to monitor Transportation Network Company legislation and regulations and their anticipated impact on San Diego International Airport (SDIA).

Aviation Fuel Tax

The State of California charges sales tax on aviation fuel sold at California airports. The State deposits revenue from that tax into its General Fund and is not restricted to using that revenue for aviation-related projects and programs. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) notified the State of California of a policy change requiring state and local governments to redirect certain general sales tax revenues derived from aviation fuel to airport capital and operating costs in accordance with federal law. State and local governments are required to submit compliance plans to the FAA by December 8, 2015, and implement plans by December 8, 2017. The State of California responded to the FAA on November 25, 2015, declaring that state expenditures on airport capital and operating costs exceed the estimated state general sales tax revenues derived from aviation fuel and that the state is in compliance with federal law. California Airports Council staff continues to closely track the communication between the FAA and the State of California concerning the compliance with applicable laws.

Recommendation: Work closely with the California Airports Council (CAC), other airports and local and state governments to formulate a strategy and develop any necessary legislation to ensure the state's compliance with the amended FAA policy.

Drones (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles)

The use of drones and other unmanned aerial vehicles by hobbyists and for commercial purposes continues to grow rapidly. With few laws in place to regulate their activity, there are many public safety and privacy concerns that need to be addressed. In 2015, eight bills were introduced in the California State Legislature that attempted to place some restrictions or regulations on drone use, only one of which was signed into law by the Governor. It is anticipated that drones will remain a challenging and increasingly controversial issue next year. In addition to seeking federal action on this issue (see Page 4), there will be a need to pursue state and/or local legislation to prevent interference by drones on airport operations.

Recommendation: Work closely with the California Airports Council (CAC) and law enforcement to develop legislation to protect airport operations from drone interference.

Energy Use Reduction

The Airport Authority has undertaken steps in recent years to become more energy-independent, including the installation of a 12kV electrical service and distribution system and agreements to purchase electricity produced by photovoltaic panels at SDIA. Although Authority staff continues to explore additional opportunities to reduce our reliance on traditional energy sources, impediments to implementing certain actions exist. Requirements to pay fees for reducing the amount of electricity purchased from the local utility provider as well as stand-by charges for the opportunity to purchase electricity from the grid during emergencies and equipment maintenance could inhibit the Authority's ability to further reduce our reliance on traditional energy sources. Authority staff will continue to identify requirements and charges that could impede our ability to utilize non-traditional and "green" energy supplies to power SDIA facilities and equipment as well as actions that could be taken to alter current public utility rules, regulations and statutes. Staff will also pursue funding opportunities for new energy projects at SDIA.

Recommendation: *Identify regulatory and statutory impediments to potential projects and activities that would increase energy independence at SDIA and coordinate with other interested parties to address identified impediments and identify potential funding opportunities.*

Cap-and-Trade Program

Staff will continue to work with the Authority's legislative consultants and others to identify and apply for any applicable grant opportunities from the California Air Resources Board Cap-and-Trade program. In 2014, the Governor signed into law SB 1204, legislation creating a new program to fund zero and near-emission trucks, buses and off-road vehicles and equipment technologies from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. In 2015, the Department of Finance and the Air Resources Board developed a revised second draft of the Cap-and-Trade Investment Plan. This Plan will be submitted to the Legislature in early 2016 followed by a rollout of funding programs. Staff will work with the Authority's state legislative consultants to identify and apply for potential airport funding opportunities from these programs.

Recommendation: *Identify and pursue available funding from emission reduction programs for eligible Authority programs and projects and submit strategic comments on draft funding guidelines when necessary.*

Unfunded Mandates

Authority staff continues to work with its legislative consultants and the California Airports Council to identify proposals that could impose unfunded state mandates on the airport, thereby increasing Authority expenses.

Recommendation: *Identify any proposed unfunded state mandates and analyze their impact/cost to the Authority/San Diego International Airport.*

State Grants

Staff will continue to work with the Authority's legislative consultants and others to identify and apply for any applicable state grant funding, including grants for security, environmental/sustainability initiatives, arts and education programs, planning and energy related programs. Opportunities may include seeking funds resulting from the State-sponsored Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions auctions.

Recommendation: Continue to identify and pursue available State funding for eligible Authority programs and projects and submit strategic comments on draft funding guidelines when necessary.

Federal Policy/Legislation

Funding for Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Programs

The FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 extended federal aviation programs through Fiscal Year 2015. On September 30, 2015, the President signed into law H.R. 3614, the Airport and Airway Extension Act of 2015, which extended authorization of these programs through March 2016. It is anticipated that Congress will begin to consider the next FAA Reauthorization bill in early 2016. Airport advocates continue to educate legislators on the need to increase the current limit on passenger facility charges (PFCs) to a level of \$8.50 and index the PFC for inflation. Airport advocates also continue to request that Congress authorize adequate levels of Airport Improvement Program (AIP) funds in the FAA Reauthorization Bill.

Recommendation: Strongly advocate for passage of adequate AIP funding levels during the FAA reauthorization and annual appropriations process. Further, as conversations continue on the next FAA reauthorization bill, pursue additional airport funding opportunities, including an increase to the current PFC limit.

Passenger Facility Charge

Although the Administration has repeatedly proposed an increase in the PFC limit, Congress has not increased the PFC level in 16 years. A PFC increase would provide the Authority with additional resources for airport improvements including the implementation of the Airport Development Plan.

Recommendation: Continue to work with airport advocates to support an increase in the current PFC limit.

Customs and Border Protection Staffing

United States Customs and Border Protection is responsible for ensuring that the individuals and cargo entering the U.S. possess the proper documentation to enter the country. CBP personnel allocations at California airports, as well as CBP fee and airport designation structures, are insufficient to meet the growing demand of passengers arriving to the U.S. from international destinations. When the CBP is unable to accommodate passenger processing for new international service, airports, airlines, regional economies and the CBP itself, lose revenue opportunities. Currently, CBP operations do not include staffing resource consultations with airports. Airport Authority Board and staff representatives continue to meet with CBP officials both locally and at CBP headquarters to discuss the CBP staffing needs at San Diego International Airport and advocate for adequate staffing allocations at SDIA.

Recommendation: Authority staff and consultants will continue to closely coordinate with CBP officials, Congress, and other interested parties to ensure that an adequate level of CBP staffing will be provided for existing and new international service at SDIA. The legislative team will also oppose any potential shifting of CBP revenue to pay for non-CBP programs and activities.

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)

The use of drones and other unmanned aerial vehicles by hobbyists and for commercial purposes continues to grow rapidly. However, action taken by the FAA to address the potential threat of drones to aviation activity has been limited. Senator Feinstein introduced legislation, S.1608, the Consumer Drone Safety Act, that would better regulate the operation of consumer drones by placing limits on the altitude of their

operations, preventing unauthorized operation near airports, the flight paths and other areas, and using technology and educational measures to ensure the safety of the National Airspace System. This bill is supported by the CAC and Airport Authority.

Recommendation: Continue to work closely with our federal legislative consultants, the California Airports Council (CAC) and others to advocate for the passage of S.1608 and other legislation, as well as the creation and implementation of regulations, that would reduce the threat of drone impacts on airport operations.

Elimination of Alternative Minimum Tax on Private Activity Bonds

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 provided a two-year alternative minimum tax (AMT) exemption for private activity bonds. The FAA estimates that U.S. airports, including SDIA, that issued private activity bonds during this tax "holiday" will save more than \$1 billion over the life of the bonds. Airport industry advocacy associations continue to advocate for an extension to the AMT exemption, which expired at the end of 2010. The Future of Aviation Advisory Committee, created by former U.S. Transportation Secretary LaHood, advocated in 2011 for an extension to the AMT exemption. Additionally, on August 22, 2011, the California Legislature approved Assembly Joint Resolution 3, expressing the Legislature's desire for Congress to extend the Alternative Minimum Tax holiday for private activity bonds.

Recommendation: Support industry efforts to provide an Alternative Minimum Tax exemption for airport private activity bonds.

Intermodal Transit Center Funding

Following a year-long airport planning effort involving several elected and appointed San Diego leaders, a concept for an Intermodal Transit Center (ITC) connecting SDIA to other regional transit modes was agreed upon. The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) has begun the planning for the ITC in coordination with Authority staff, as the ITC will connect to the new Rental Car Center. Additional coordination will be necessary as this project moves forward. The Authority is supporting the efforts of SANDAG in identifying and obtaining funding necessary to build the ITC project.

Recommendation: Continue to coordinate with SANDAG, the U.S. Department of Transportation and others to identify and advocate for funding necessary to advance the Intermodal Transit Center.

Federal Grants

The Authority has previously received various federal grants, including Voluntary Airport Low Emission (VALE) grants to install preconditioned air units that allow aircraft to reduce the amount of time needed to run their engines, providing fuel savings and emissions reductions. Authority staff will continue to work with our legislative consultants and others to identify and apply for any applicable federal grant funding, including Airport Improvement Program (AIP), VALE Program, Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER), homeland security, infrastructure, and planning funding.

Recommendation: Continue to identify and pursue available federal funding for SDIA programs and projects.

Security

Congress is expected to continue its consideration of federal measures aimed at enhancing security at U.S. airports. Specific policy issues may include changes to airport employee vetting/screening procedures, bolstering airport credentials, additional screening of Transportation Security Administration (TSA) employees, and tighter restrictions for the Visa Waiver Program.

Recommendation: *Work with airport advocates and others to review and guide proposed changes to current security policies and procedures and work with TSA and other SDIA security personnel to implement the required changes.*

Operations/Noise Mitigation

SDIA Airport Noise Mitigation staff manage several programs aimed at reducing aircraft noise impact on the San Diego community. Activities under these programs include noise monitoring to ensure compliance with local, state and federal regulations (e.g. compliance with State of California Title 21 variance process), and facilitation of the Quieter Home Program, the Airport Noise Advisory Committee and the Curfew Violations Review Panel. Since 1998, federal funding has been provided to perform sound insulation treatments to eligible residences near SDIA to mitigate aircraft noise. In 2015, the FAA proposed certain changes to SDIA flight paths and procedures under the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) Southern California Metroplex project that are expected to negatively impact certain communities near SDIA. Recently, legislation was introduced in Congress (H.R. 3965) to provide airports, and residents living near airports, with an enhanced level of participation in FAA decisions concerning proposed changes to flight paths and procedures.

Recommendation: *Advocate for an appropriate level of federal funding to continue Quieter Home Program noise mitigation activities. Advocate for legislative and regulatory actions that protect the quality of life for communities in proximity to SDIA.*



December 2015 Legislative Report and 2016 Legislative Agenda

Presented by: Michael Kulis,
Director, Inter-Governmental
Relations

December 17, 2015

2015 State Legislative Review

AB 24 (Nazarian) Transportation Network Companies: public safety

- Would have placed new safety related operating requirements on transportation network companies (TNCs) and their drivers
- Specifically, would have prohibited the California Public Utilities Commission (PUC) from issuing or renewing a permit/certification unless the applicant participates in the DMV's Employer Pull Notice system
- Under original version, TNCs would have been required to register vehicles used to transport passengers for compensation with the PUC and provide mandatory controlled substance and alcohol testing for drivers
 - **Authority Position – Support if Amended**
 - **Died in Assembly Appropriations Committee**

2015 State Legislative Review

AB 729 (Atkins) San Diego Unified Port District: land held in trust

- “Spot bill” that would have made non-substantive changes to the San Diego Unified Port District Act.
 - **Authority Position - Watch**
 - **This is a two-year bill**

AB 828 (Low) Vehicles: transportation services

- Would have excluded from the definition of “commercial vehicle” for purposes of the California Vehicle Code, any motor vehicles operated in connection with a TNC if specified conditions were satisfied.
 - **Authority Position - Watch**
 - **Died in Senate Committee on Energy, Utilities and Commerce**

2015 State Legislative Review

AB 1015 (Bloom) Parking: car share vehicles

- Authorizes a local authority to, by ordinance or resolution, designate certain streets or portions of streets for the non-exclusive parking privilege of motor vehicles participating in a car share or ridesharing program.
 - **Authority Position - Watch**
 - **Signed into law**

AB 1347 (Chiu) Public Contracts Claims

- Would have established a new claims resolution process for contractors performing public works projects. The enactment of this bill would have negatively impacted the Authority's contracting process and created opportunities for delay, conflict and additional costs.
 - **Authority Position - Oppose**
 - **Vetoed by the Governor**

2015 State Legislative Review

AB 1422 (Cooper) Transportation Network Companies

- Requires TNCs to participate in the CA Department of Motor Vehicles pull-notice system which authorizes TNCs to regularly check the driving records of participating drivers.
 - **Authority Position - Support**
 - **Signed into law**

SB 272 (Hertzberg) The California Public Records Act: local agencies inventory

- This bill amends the CA Public Records Act to require most local public agencies to compile a catalog of information technology, software and computer systems they use and publish the information on the agency's website.
 - **Authority Position - Oppose**
 - **Signed into law**

2015 State Legislative Review

SB 541 (Hill) Public Utilities Commission: transportation companies

- This legislation requires the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) to undertake actions to ensure compliance with the Household Goods Carriers Act and the Passenger Charter-party Carriers' Act and provide the State Legislature with a report on their capabilities to carry out those specified activities by January 1, 2017.
 - **Authority Position - Watch**
 - **Signed into law**

SB 747 (McGuire) Airports: financial assistance

- Would have required, effective December 8, 2017, that General Fund revenues derived from state sales and use taxes on aviation fuel be transferred to the Aeronautics Account in the State Transportation Fund. Caltrans would have been required to allocate these funds for airport and aviation-related purposes.
 - **Authority Position - Support**
 - **Died in Senate Appropriations Committee**

2016 Leadership/Representation



- Assemblymember Anthony Rendon (Los Angeles) will replace Toni Atkins as Assembly Speaker
- All 11 San Diego Delegation Members Remain the Same
- Assembly and Senate Leaders both from Los Angeles

2016 Major State Issues



- Climate Policy
- Special Sessions
 - Transportation
 - Health and Human Services
- Drought/Water

2016 Aviation/Airport Issues

- State Support of Federal FAA Reauthorization Bill and PFC Modernization
- Aviation Fuel Tax Policy Changes
- Transportation Network Company Operations
- Caltrans Aeronautics Funding/Grant Program
- Energy Use Reductions/"Green" Energy
- Cap-and-Trade Grants
- Drones (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles)

2015 Federal Legislative Review

- Terrorism Risk Insurance Act Extended
- H.R. 719 (Katko) - Transportation Security Administration Office of Accountability Act signed into law
- H.R. 720 (Katko) - The Gerardo Hernandez Airport Security Act signed into law
- Congress Considering Aviation Security and Visa Waiver Program Changes

2016 Leadership/Representation



- On October 29, 2015, Representative Paul Ryan (R-Wisconsin) replaced John Boehner as Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives
- GOP maintains control of both the House and the Senate
- All 5 San Diego Delegation Members remain the same

Transportation and Infrastructure Committee



- Bill Shuster (PA) – Chairman
- Peter DeFazio (OR) – Ranking Member
- H.R. 22, Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (FAST) Bill signed into law
- Committee expected to Consider FAA Reauthorization Bill in early 2016



2015-2016 Major Federal Issues




- Omnibus FY 2016 Bill
- ISIS / Border Security
- Tax Extender Bill
- Immigration Reform
- Presidential Nominations
- Climate Change/Carbon Emissions
- Health Care




2016 Aviation/Airport Issues

- FAA Reauthorization Bill
 - *Passenger Facility Charge*
 - *Airport Improvement Program Funding*
 - *DCA Slot Pairings*
 - *Privatization/Reform*
 - *NextGen Funding*
- Transportation Network Company Regulation/Legislation
- Customs and Border Protection Funding/Staffing
- Drones (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles)
- Aviation Security



Questions?





SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
14

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Adopt Authority Policy 8.63 – Privacy of Personal Information

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0124, approving Authority Policy 8.63 – Privacy of Personal Information.

Background/Justification:

At its July 23, 2015 Board meeting, staff provided the Board with an update on the Authority's business development initiatives. Some of the technology initiatives planned for implementation could potentially involve the collection of personal information from members of the public, as well as, Authority tenants and employees.

During the presentation, there was discussion among Board members regarding the collection of personal information. The primary concern was the lack of an Authority policy to address privacy and protection of personal information. Specific concerns included:

- Notifying those affected as to what personal information is being collected and how the information is to be used,
- Who has the ability to gather and see personal information,
- Where is the information stored and what security systems and protocols are in place for protection, and
- How long the information will be retained and destruction procedures.

The privacy of personal information collected by the Authority is protected by Section 1 of Article I of the California Constitution, the U.S. Constitution, federal, state and local laws.

Currently the Authority collects personal information from customers who valet their car at the airport and make reservations using the parking reservation system. The Authority will be implementing a SDIA Mobile App within the next few months that will also collect personal information from individuals.

Examples of some of the information currently collected or proposed to be collected include:

- Customer names,
- Phone numbers,
- Home addresses,
- E-mail addresses,
- Make and model of personal vehicles, and
- Aggregate demographic data such as; age, preferences, and locations.

This data may be used for customer service purposes and to help create better products and services. The Authority may use a third party to manage and oversee the system(s) and data collection. If the Authority chooses to do so, the third party will be required to manage and oversee the data according to the Authority's privacy policy and any contractual relationships that are in place.

Prior to the collection of PI from any person, the Authority will first disclose how such PI may be collected and used, and require the person's consent.

Any airport business, including the Authority, that collects credit card information must comply with the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS). The PCI DSS standard establishes the requirements to ensure all who process, store or transmit credit card information maintain a secure environment. Credit Card data is encrypted at the point of transaction and is transmitted electronically directly to a financial institution. This data is not maintained on any Authority owned or controlled computer and network systems. All other personal information collected from Authority customers is stored and protected on computer or network systems owned or controlled by the Authority. Access and release of this information complies with established law.

In regards to personal information collected on Authority contractors, employees and tenants, there are specific laws governing the protection and release of this information. The Authority's Aviation Security and Public Safety Department (AS & PS) collects personal information on all of these groups in order to conduct background checks, which collection is required before an Airport badge can be issued. AS and PS Department protects the collection, storage, and disposition of personal information (PI) using the same standards as set forth by the federal government for Sensitive Security Information (SSI). All information is collected, handled, and maintained only by designated persons. Such information is stored on secured electronic networks or in secured physical locations such as the Access Control Office. Except as required by statute or operational necessity, this PI is not released to other individuals without the consent of the protected person.

The Talent, Culture and Capability and Accounting Departments collect personal information on Authority employees for benefits, employment and payroll purposes. Personal information collected on Authority contractors, employees and tenants is stored and protected on secure computer and network systems located on-site and with third party vendors (i.e., E1, Microsoft, NeoGov, etc.). There are approved Employment Standards regarding the collection, protection and use of this information.

Examples of some of the information currently being collected includes:

- Name
- Address
- E-mail Address
- Birth Date
- Phone Number
- Social Security Number
- Medical Information

Authority Policy 8.60 sets the policy for the proper and efficient management of the records and information of the Authority regardless of format. A Records and Information Program was adopted by the Board on May 5, 2005 that applies to all Authority records and information. The records and information maintained in these systems are maintained in accordance with the Authority's Records and Information Management Program, which covers ownership, record retention and destruction.

The Authority recognizes that privacy is a personal and fundamental right protected by Section 1 of Article I of the California Constitution and the U.S. Constitution. The proposed policy was developed to ensure that the Authority is only collecting personal information that is needed and to ensure that the information is protected. This policy, if approved, would apply to personal information in the possession, control and custody of the Authority. There will be written procedures that implement this policy.

Fiscal Impact:

No Applicable.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action, as an administrative action, is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not Applicable.

Prepared by:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE AND INFORMATION GOVERNANCE

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0124

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE
SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT
AUTHORITY, APPROVING AUTHORITY POLICY
8.63 – PRIVACY OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

WHEREAS, the Board recognizes that privacy is a personal and fundamental right protected by Section 1 of Article I of the California Constitution, the U.S. Constitution, Federal, State and local law; and

WHEREAS, in the course of its business, the Authority may collect, maintain, use and store personal information related to the public, Authority tenants and employees; and

WHEREAS, the Board wishes to establish a policy to address the privacy and protection of personal information.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves Authority Policy 8.63 - Privacy of Personal Information (Exhibit A); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Board finds that this action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code §21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code §30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE /
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

POLICIES

- ARTICLE 8** - **GENERAL OPERATIONS**
PART 8.6 - **DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS**
SECTION 8.63 - **PRIVACY OF PERSONAL INFORMATION**
-

PURPOSE: To establish a policy statement of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (the “Authority”) for the prudent and reasonable protection of personal information (“PI”) to the extent practicable.

POLICY STATEMENT:

(1) The Authority recognizes that privacy is a personal and fundamental right protected by Section 1 of Article I of the California Constitution, the U.S. Constitution, federal, state and local law. The Authority will not sell, lease or intentionally share PI in its possession with anyone else, except as follows:

- (a) to the extent the Authority deems it necessary in furtherance of and for the purpose it was submitted;
- (b) for use by an Authority employee acting solely in his or her official capacity;
- (c) to help locate the owner of lost property;
- (d) where required by applicable laws, including the California Public Records Act (Cal. Gov. Code § 6250 *et seq.*);
- (e) where compelled by court order;
- (f) where consented-to by the subject individual;
- (g) where already in the public domain;
- (h) where provided to the Authority on a public record or other record in furtherance of conducting business with the Authority (e.g., a meeting sign-in sheet or responses to requests for proposals, qualifications or bids); or
- (i) in the course of an Authority or law enforcement investigation.

(2) In the event of any data breach of Authority records that includes PI, the Authority will make reasonable attempts to notify the owner(s) following discovery, where the PI was, or is reasonably believed to have been, accessed and/or acquired by an unauthorized person.

(3) Examples of Authority-protected PI elements include, but are not limited to:

- (a) user name and password;
- (b) full social security number;
- (c) driver's license number;
- (d) citizenship/legal status;
- (e) race/ethnicity;
- (f) birth date;
- (g) home and personal cell telephone numbers;
- (h) personal email address, mailing and home address;
- (i) religious preference;
- (j) security clearance;
- (k) mother's middle and maiden names;
- (l) family information: marital status, spouse information, child information, emergency contact information;
- (m) biometric information;
- (n) medical information;
- (o) disability information;
- (p) law enforcement records; and
- (q) military records.

(4) Examples of PI elements not protected by the Authority include, but are not limited to:

- (a) name and job description;
- (b) office location; *
- (c) office and work cell telephone numbers; *
- (d) business e-mail address;
- (e) information provided to the Authority on a meeting sign-in sheet or responses to requests for proposals, qualifications or bids; *
- (f) badge number; and *
- (g) salary, benefits and pension amounts.

(5) Prior to the intentional collection of PI from any person, the Authority will first disclose how such PI may be collected and used, and require the person's consent.

(6) The Authority shall retain PI in accordance with its Records Retention Policy

* *Except where disclosure is discretionary or would be in violation of local, state, or federal statutes; or release of such information would potentially jeopardize the safety of the individual.*

[Adopted by Resolution No. 2015-[X] dated October [X], 2015.]

ITEM 14

Adopt Authority Policy 8.63 – Privacy of Personal Information (PI)



Presented by:

Tony R. Russell, CRM, MMC
Director | Corporate and Information Governance/
Authority Clerk

and

Rick Belliotti
Director | Information & Technology Services

December 17, 2015



Overview

- Purpose
- Concerns
- Current Collection of PI
- Anticipated/Future Impacts
- Legal and Regulatory Compliance
- Authority Employment Standards
- Information Protection and Security
- Records and Information Retention



Purpose

Establish a policy for the prudent and reasonable protection of personal information

Concerns



- Will customers be notified as to the personal information being collected about them?
- Who has the ability to gather and see personal information?
- Where will the information be stored?
- What are the security systems and protocols for the protection of personal information?
- How long will the information be retained?

Existing Processes/Systems Collecting Personal Information



- Flash Valet
- Parking Reservation System
- Badging (*Airport Employees, Contractors, Tenants*)
- Human Resources/Payroll (*Authority Employees*)
- Others
 - Website (complaints, lost & found, Bids Online, NeoGov)
 - Bids, Request for Proposals, etc.

Personal Information Currently Being Collected



Customers

- Customer names,
- Phone numbers,
- Home addresses,
- E-mail addresses,
- Make and model of personal vehicles

Authority Employees and Airport Contractors/Employees/Tenants

- Name
- Address
- E-mail Address
- Birth Date
- Phone Number
- Social Security Number
- Medical Information

Anticipated/Future Impacts



- Mobile Apps
 - Demographic information such as age, preferences (*food, parking, frequency of travel, etc.*) and location
- Customer Loyalty Program (*associated with frequent patronage of our parking and concessions programs*)
- Parking Reservations (*Currently have a parking reservation system, plan to increase marketing this service*)
 - Name, address, e-mail address and phone number



Legal and Regulatory Compliance

- U.S. Constitution
- California Constitution (*Section 1 of Article I*)
- Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard
- California Online Privacy Protection Act (OPPA) of 2003
- Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
- California Public Records Act
- Federal, State and Local Laws


Authority Employment Standards

- Post Job Offer/Pre-Employment Evaluations
- Workplace Privacy
- Personnel Records
 - Employment Verifications/References

Information Protection and Security



- Typical network security (i.e., firewall, intrusion prevention system, intrusion detection system)
- Encryption (i.e., E1 data, MS Office 365)
- Audits (i.e., PCI-DSS audit)
- Cyber Security Specialist hired to oversee all aspects of Cyber security.



Records and Information Retention

- Records and Information Management Program
 - Records Retention Schedule
 - Destruction Procedures and Schedule
 - Includes third party software and systems (such as E1, GateKeeper, NeoGov, Wingspan, etc.)

Policy Overview



- Provides examples of PI
- Establishes guidelines for the release of PI
- Requires that persons be notified of the types of PI being collected about them and obtain their consent
- Requires that PI be retained in accordance with established Records Retention Policy

Recap



- Will customers be notified as to the personal information being collected about them?
- Who has the ability to gather and see personal information?
- Where will the information be stored?
- What are the security systems and protocols for the protection of personal information?
- How long will the information be retained?



Next Step

- Establish Privacy Language and End User License Agreement
- Develop a written procedure that implements the policy



Questions?



SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
STAFF REPORT

Item No.
15

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Adopt a Resolution Supporting the Public Comment Letter on the Draft SoCal Metroplex Environmental Assessment

Recommendation:

Adopt Resolution No. 2015-0133, supporting the attached September 3, 2015, public comment letter signed by Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO, San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, regarding the draft SoCal Metroplex Environmental Assessment.

Background/Justification:

On June 10, 2015, the FAA released the draft Environmental Assessment (EA) for the SoCal Metroplex project. Staff reviewed the draft EA and determined that there were several issues that the FAA should address. A letter of public comment was prepared and forwarded to the FAA on September 3, 2015. A copy of the letter is attached.

Five (5) items were requested:

1. Include Airport staff in the FAA's development of flight procedural changes.
2. Retain the POGGI departure procedure and the LOWMA waypoint.
3. Retain the BAYVU arrival.
4. Use the California Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) metric instead of the Day Night Average Noise Level (DNL) used in other states.
5. Allow the Airport a 180-day evaluation period to assess any adverse impacts resulting from implementation of the procedures. If adverse impacts are found, revert back to existing (Pre-Metroplex) procedures.

The Board supports these comments and adopts Board Resolution No. 2015-0133.

Fiscal Impact:

Not Applicable.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. CEQA: This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.
- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not Applicable.

Prepared by:

KEITH WILSCHETZ
DIRECTOR, AIRPORT PLANNING AND NOISE MITIGATION

RESOLUTION NO. 2015-0133

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY SUPPORTING THE SEPTEMBER 23, 2015, PUBLIC COMMENT LETTER SIGNED BY THELLA F. BOWENS, PRESIDENT/CEO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY, REGARDING THE DRAFT SOCAL METROPLEX ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

WHEREAS, the FAA released the draft environmental assessment (EA) for the SoCal Metroplex project on June 10, 2015; and

WHEREAS, staff reviewed the draft EA and determined that there were five major items of concern; and

WHEREAS, the attached public comment letter "Exhibit A" addresses the concerns that the Airport Authority has with the draft EA and includes those listed below.

1. Include Airport staff in the FAA's development of flight procedural changes.
2. Retain the POGGI departure procedure and the LOWMA waypoint.
3. Retain the BAYVU arrival.
4. Use the California Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) metric instead of the Day Night Average Noise Level (DNL) which is used in other states.
5. Allow the Airport a 180-day evaluation period to assess any adverse impacts resulting from implementation of the procedures. If adverse impacts are found, revert back to existing (Pre-Metroplex) procedures.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby supports the September 3, 2015, public comment letter signed by Thella F. Bowens, President/CEO, San Diego County Regional Airport Authority, regarding the draft SoCal Metroplex Environmental Assessment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the Board finds this Board action is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") (California Public Resources Code §21065); and is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act (California Public Resources Code §30106).

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED by the Board of the San Diego County Regional Airport Authority at a regular meeting this 17th day of December, 2015, by the following vote:

AYES: Board Members:

NOES: Board Members:

ABSENT: Board Members:

ATTEST:

TONY R. RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE &
INFORMATION GOVERNANCE /
AUTHORITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BRETON K. LOBNER
GENERAL COUNSEL

SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY

Exhibit A

P.O. BOX 82776, SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-2776
619.400.2400 WWW.SAN.ORG

September 3, 2015

SoCal Metroplex EA
Federal Aviation Administration
Western Service Center - Operations Support Group
1601 Lind Avenue SW
Renton, WA 98057

Re: San Diego County Regional Airport Authority Comment on Draft SoCal Metroplex
Environmental Assessment

To Whom It May Concern:

The San Diego County Regional Airport Authority (Authority) appreciates the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) providing the opportunity to review the proposed work product of the SOCAL Metroplex activity. Understanding the scope, timing, costs, and logistics involved in an endeavor as large as the SoCal Metroplex, the Authority recognizes and appreciates the efforts of Lynn Ray, Vice President Mission Support FAA, for bringing greater clarity to the proposed changes in the San Diego area through extended meetings, presentations, and reviews.

As the national aviation infrastructure expands to meet the NextGen goals, the FAA has a difficult and critical task of balancing the needs of all those affected. Over the last decade, airspace and flight procedure development has shifted from individual activities of limited scope to regional developments through Metroplex projects. The stakeholder group for Metroplex included Air Carrier Operators, Air Traffic Control, Military, Corporate Jet operators, and other parties whose business models participate in national daily flight operations. To date, airport agencies, such as the Authority, have not been stakeholders in either individual or regional airspace projects. However, the airports are on the "front line" with the community and we directly and regularly interact with people that are most impacted by FAA projects such as Metroplex. Airport staff must understand the details of the project so we can properly address inquiries that are directed to us. Involvement with the Airports can also provide critical information to the FAA that can influence the success of airspace projects. Our



SAN DIEGO
INTERNATIONAL
AIRPORT

Involvement is integral to successful implementation of FAA projects such as the SoCal Metroplex.

Upon review of the draft Environmental Assessment for the SoCal Metroplex (Draft EA) project for the San Diego International Airport (Airport), our comments are as follows:

1. The proposed replacement of the POGGI departure (IBBEE) removes the LOWMA waypoint south of Pt. Loma. In today's environment, some aircraft bypass LOWMA and thus fly over the community of Pt. Loma as a result. The permanent removal of LOWMA may increase these flights and move both noise and visual disturbance further to the north of Cabrillo Point. Both the current RNAV and conventional SIDs have the noise abatement goals included in their design. Since the proposed IBBEE RNAV SID does not contain the LOWMA waypoint south of Point Loma, the Authority is concerned that this may make compliance with the Airport's existing noise abatement procedures more difficult to achieve. We would suggest the FAA consider retaining the LOWMA waypoint to ensure the Airport's noise abatement procedures can be maintained to limit aircraft flying over the Pt. Loma community.
2. While the new arrival procedure from the northwest follows a similar path to the current procedure, the proposed COMIX RNAV STAR is further south than the existing BAYVU RNAV STAR. Our concern is that the new path is shifted away from the historical flight path that has been used for flights arriving from the northwest, which may result in shifting of noise patterns. We believe it would be more prudent to leave the path at its historical location currently between LCOVE and STEP N waypoints.
3. The proposed RNP approach to Runway 27 may result in a concentration of traffic over a much narrower path causing a reduction in today's pattern of traffic dispersion. The path also turns sooner and over a higher population density than occurs with a majority of aircraft flying the current radar vector flight paths. The FAA offered an unofficial estimate that 40% of future aircraft would utilize the RNP approach. We believe this estimate represents a reasonable benchmark for a more in-depth evaluation of the procedure. The Authority requests the FAA advise when the projected utilization of this procedure will exceed 40% in order to allow an opportunity to provide input on the observed impact/benefit of the procedure post implementation.
4. The Draft EA utilizes the Day Night Average Noise Level (DNL) noise metric. While this is a widely utilized metric for noise metrics across the nation, the FAA has accepted (FAA Order 1050.1F) the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)

metric in lieu of DNL for California projects. The CNEL metric weighs evening hours (7:00 p.m. to 9:59 p.m.) in addition to nighttime hours in the DNL metric. The residents of our community are familiar with the CNEL metric and we believe that since the FAA has utilized the CNEL metric in other California projects, for consistency the noise analysis should be re-done utilizing the CNEL metric.

5. The Authority further requests:
 - a. A 180-day post-implementation evaluation period to assess actual impact/benefit of the procedures as compared to the projected noise levels in the Environmental Assessment; and
 - b. The FAA retain the existing (pre-Metroplex) flight procedures during this review to allow a fallback option should an unanticipated adverse impact occur from the Metroplex procedures; and
 - c. If the Authority determines there is an adverse impact resulting from the implementation of the proposed procedures, the Authority will be included in collaborative efforts to resolve issues revealed during this 180-day evaluation period.

6. The Authority requests stakeholder status in future flight procedure development within San Diego County.

We believe that the FAA has achieved the desired efficiencies for Air Traffic Control and operators through the SoCal Metroplex project. We are grateful for the opportunity to provide input from the ground perspective and await a response to our concerns.

Sincerely,



Thella F. Bowens

President/CEO

San Diego County Regional Airport Authority



**SAN DIEGO COUNTY
REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY**

Meeting Date: **DECEMBER 17, 2015**

Subject:

Business and Travel Expense Reimbursement Reports for Board Members, President/CEO, Chief Auditor and General Counsel When Attending Conferences, Meetings, and Training at the Expense of the Authority

Recommendation:

For information only.

Background/Justification:

Authority Policy 3.30 (2)(b) and (4)(b) require that business expenses reimbursements of Board Members, the President/CEO, the Chief Auditor and the General Counsel be approved by the Executive Committee and presented to the Board for its information at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Authority Policy 3.40 (2)(b) and (3)(b) require that travel expense reimbursements of Board Members, the President/CEO, the Chief Auditor and the General Counsel be approved by the Executive Committee and presented to the Board for its information at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

The attached reports are being presented to comply with the requirements of Policies 3.30 and 3.40.

Fiscal Impact:

Funds for Business and Travel expenses are included in the FY 2015-2016 Budget.

Authority Strategies:

This item supports one or more of the Authority Strategies, as follows:

- Community Strategy Customer Strategy Employee Strategy Financial Strategy Operations Strategy

Environmental Review:

- A. This Board action is not a project that would have a significant effect on the environment as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as amended. 14 Cal. Code Regs. §15378. This Board action is not a "project" subject to CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §21065.

- B. California Coastal Act Review: This Board action is not a "development" as defined by the California Coastal Act. Cal. Pub. Res. Code §30106.

Application of Inclusionary Policies:

Not applicable.

Prepared by:

TONY RUSSELL
DIRECTOR, CORPORATE & INFORMATION GOVERNANCE/AUTHORITY CLERK

TRAVEL REQUESTS

THELLA F. BOWENS

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL REQUEST**

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. All travel requests must conform to applicable provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40.
- B. Personnel traveling at Authority expense shall, consistent with the provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40, use the most economical means available to affect the travel.

1. TRAVELER:

Travelers Name: Thella Bowens Dept: 6
 Position: Board Member President/CEO Gen. Counsel Chief Auditor
 All other Authority employees (does not require executive committee administrator approval)

2. DATE OF REQUEST: 11/16/15 PLANNED DATE OF DEPARTURE/RETURN: 3/5/16 / 3/9/16

3. DESTINATIONS/PURPOSE (Provide detailed explanation as to the purpose of the trip— continue on extra sheets of paper as necessary):

Destination: Dallas, TX Purpose: Attend 2016 Airport Revenue News Conference
 Explanation: _____

4. PROJECTED OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL EXPENSES

A. TRANSPORTATION COSTS:	
• AIRFARE	\$ 500.00
• OTHER TRANSPORTATION (Taxi, Train, Car Rental)	\$ 100.00
B. LODGING	\$ 876.00
C. MEALS	\$ 300.00
D. SEMINAR AND CONFERENCE FEES	\$ 400.00
E. ENTERTAINMENT (If applicable)	\$
F. OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES	\$ 50.00
TOTAL PROJECTED TRAVEL EXPENSE	\$ 2226.00

CERTIFICATION BY TRAVELER By my signature below, I certify that the above listed out-of-town travel and associated expenses conform to the Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40 and are reasonable and directly related to the Authority's business.

Travelers Signature: *Thella Bowens* Date: 25 Nov 2015

CERTIFICATION BY ADMINISTRATOR (Where Administrator is the Executive Committee, the Authority Clerk's signature is required).

- By my signature below, I certify the following:
1. I have conscientiously reviewed the above out-of-town travel request and the details provided on the reverse.
 2. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses are necessary for the advancement of the Authority's business and reasonable in comparison to the anticipated benefit to the Authority.
 3. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses conform to the requirements and intent of Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40.

Administrator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

AUTHORITY CLERK CERTIFICATION ON BEHALF OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

I, _____, hereby certify that this document was approved
 (Please leave blank. Whoever clerk's the meeting will insert their name and title.)
 by the Executive Committee at its _____ meeting.
 (Leave blank and we will insert the meeting date.)

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL REQUEST

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. All travel requests must conform to applicable provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40.
- B. Personnel traveling at Authority expense shall, consistent with the provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40, use the most economical means available to affect the travel.

1. TRAVELER:

Travelers Name: Thella F. Bowens Dept: Exec Office BU6
Position: Board Member President/CEO Gen. Counsel Chief Auditor
 All other Authority employees (does not require executive committee administrator approval)

2. DATE OF REQUEST: 11/13/15 PLANNED DATE OF DEPARTURE/RETURN: 3/12/16 / 3/16/16

3. DESTINATIONS/PURPOSE (Provide detailed explanation as to the purpose of the trip— continue on extra sheets of paper as necessary):

Destination: Cologne, Germany Purpose: Attend 2016 Passenger Terminal Expo; Speaking on Panel Re: Integration of Sustainability into San Diego's Airport Master Plan

Explanation: _____

4. PROJECTED OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL EXPENSES

A. TRANSPORTATION COSTS:	
• AIRFARE	\$ 9,100.00
• OTHER TRANSPORTATION (Taxi, Train, Car Rental)	\$ 200.00
B. LODGING	\$ 500.00
C. MEALS	\$ 400.00
D. SEMINAR AND CONFERENCE FEES	\$
E. ENTERTAINMENT (If applicable)	\$
F. OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES	\$ 100.00
TOTAL PROJECTED TRAVEL EXPENSE	\$ 10,300.00

CERTIFICATION BY TRAVELER By my signature below, I certify that the above listed out-of-town travel and associated expenses conform to the Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40 and are reasonable and directly related to the Authority's business.

Travelers Signature:  Date: 30. ^{NOVEMBER} 2015

CERTIFICATION BY ADMINISTRATOR (Where Administrator is the Executive Committee, the Authority Clerk's signature is required).

- By my signature below, I certify the following:
- 1. I have conscientiously reviewed the above out-of-town travel request and the details provided on the reverse.
 - 2. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses are necessary for the advancement of the Authority's business and reasonable in comparison to the anticipated benefit to the Authority.
 - 3. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses conform to the requirements and intent of Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40.

Administrator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

AUTHORITY CLERK CERTIFICATION ON BEHALF OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

I, _____, hereby certify that this document was approved
(Please leave blank. Whoever clerk's the meeting will insert their name and title.)
by the Executive Committee at its _____ meeting
(Leave blank and we will insert the meeting date.)

BRETON LOBNER

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL REQUEST

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. All travel requests must conform to applicable provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40.
- B. Personnel traveling at Authority expense shall, consistent with the provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40, use the most economical means available to affect the travel.

1. TRAVELER:

Travelers Name: Breton K. Lobner Dept: 15
Position: Board Member President/CEO Gen. Counsel Chief Auditor
 All other Authority employees (does not require executive committee administrator approval)

2. DATE OF REQUEST: 12/02/15 PLANNED DATE OF DEPARTURE/RETURN: 01/28/2016 / 01/30/2016

3. DESTINATIONS/PURPOSE (Provide detailed explanation as to the purpose of the trip— continue on extra sheets of paper as necessary):


Destination: Miami, FL Purpose: ACI-Steering Committee Meeting
Explanation: ACI Committee Meeting

4. PROJECTED OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL EXPENSES

A. TRANSPORTATION COSTS:

• AIRFARE	\$ 401.00
• OTHER TRANSPORTATION (Taxi, Train, Car Rental)	\$ 0.00
B. LODGING	\$ 0.00
C. MEALS	\$ 250.00
D. SEMINAR AND CONFERENCE FEES	\$ 0.00
E. ENTERTAINMENT (If applicable)	\$ 0
F. OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES	\$ 0
TOTAL PROJECTED TRAVEL EXPENSE	\$

CERTIFICATION BY TRAVELER By my signature below, I certify that the above listed out-of-town travel and associated expenses conform to the Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40 and are reasonable and directly related to the Authority's business.

Travelers Signature:  Date: 12-2-15

CERTIFICATION BY ADMINISTRATOR (Where Administrator is the Executive Committee, the Authority Clerk's signature is required).

By my signature below, I certify the following:

- 1. I have conscientiously reviewed the above out-of-town travel request and the details provided on the reverse.
- 2. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses are necessary for the advancement of the Authority's business and reasonable in comparison to the anticipated benefit to the Authority.
- 3. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses conform to the requirements and intent of Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40.

Administrator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

AUTHORITY CLERK CERTIFICATION ON BEHALF OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

I, _____, hereby certify that this document was approved
(Please leave blank. Whoever clerk's the meeting will insert their name and title.)
by the Executive Committee at its _____ meeting.
(Leave blank and we will insert the meeting date.)

EXPENSE REPORT

THELLA F. BOWENS

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
TRAVEL EXPENSE REPORT**

(To be completed within 30 days from travel return date)

TRAVELER: Thella F. Bowens DEPT. NAME & NO. Executive Office/BU 6
 DEPARTURE DATE: 11/7/2015 RETURN DATE: 11/13/2015 REPORT DUE: 12/13/15
 DESTINATION: Kyoto, Japan

Please refer to the Authority Travel and Lodging Expense Reimbursement Policy, Article 3, Part 3.4, Section 3.40, outlining appropriate reimbursable expenses and approvals. Please attach all required supporting documentation. All receipts must be detailed, (credit card receipts do not provide sufficient detail). Any special items should be explained in the space provided below.

	Authority Expenses (Prepaid by Authority)	Employee Expenses							TOTALS
		SUNDAY 11/8/15	MONDAY 11/9/15	TUESDAY 11/10/15	WEDNESDAY 11/11/15	THURSDAY 11/12/15	FRIDAY 11/13/15	SAT./RIDAY	
Air Fare, Railroad, Bus (attach copy of itinerary w/charges)	\$3,925.40								0.00
Conference Fees (provide copy of flyer/registration expenses)									0.00
Rental Car*									0.00
Gas and Oil*									0.00
Garage/Parking*									0.00
Mileage - attach mileage form*									0.00
Taxi and/or Shuttle Fare (include tips pd.)*			41.77			36.50			78.27
Hotel*		185.24	185.24	185.24	185.27	342.32			1,083.31
Telephone, Internet and Fax*									0.00
Laundry*									0.00
Tips - separately paid (maids, bellhop, other hotel srvs.)									0.00
Meals (include tips pd.)	Breakfast*								0.00
	Lunch*		24.69			34.92			59.61
	Dinner*					65.22			65.22
	Other Meals*								0.00
<i>Alcohol is a non-reimbursable expense</i>									
Hospitality ¹ *									0.00
Miscellaneous:									0.00
									0.00
									0.00
*Provide detailed receipts									0.00
Total Expenses prepaid by Authority	3,925.40	185.24	251.70	185.24	185.27	478.96	0.00	0.00	1,286.41

Explanation:	Total Expenses Prepaid by Authority	3,925.40
	Total Expenses Incurred by Employee (including cash advances)	1,286.41
	Grand Trip Total	5,211.81
	Less Cash Advance (attach copy of Authority ck)	
	Less Expenses Prepaid by Authority	3,925.40
	Due Traveler (positive amount)²	
	Due Authority (negative amount)³	1,286.41
<i>Note: Send this report to Accounting even if the amount is \$0.</i>		

I as traveler or administrator acknowledge that I have read, understand and agree to Authority policies 3.40 - Travel and Lodging Expense Reimbursement Policy⁴ and 3.30 - Business Expense Reimbursement Policy⁵ and that any purchases/claims that are not allowed will be my responsibility. I further certify that this report of travel expenses were incurred in connection with official Authority business and is true and correct.

Prepared By: Kim Ayers Ext.: 2447
 Traveler Signature: Thella F. Bowens Date: 11/30/15
 Approved By: _____ Date: _____

AUTHORITY CLERK CERTIFICATION ON BEHALF OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (To be certified if used by President/CEO, Gen. Counsel, or Chief Auditor)

I, _____ hereby certify that this document was approved by the Executive Committee at its _____ meeting.
 (Please leave blank. Whoever clerk's the meeting will insert their name and title.)
 (Leave blank and we will insert the meeting date.)

Failure to attach required documentation will result in the delay of processing reimbursement. If you have any questions, please see your department Administrative Assistant or call Accounting at ext. 2806.

RECEIPTS FROM TRAVEL TO KYOTO, JAPAN
NOVEMBER 7-13, 2015 - THELLA F. BOWENS

11/9/15

領収証
毎度ご乗車ありがとうございます。
車両番号 5668号
2015年11月09日
乗車料金
¥3500円
上記の通り正に領収致しました。
(個人)きりんタクシー
— 別紙日中雙語の上
旅行、観光、空港送迎の予約は
TEL: 075-605-6618
携帯: 090-8679-5794

TAXI
CASH
\$30.78

- Details of transaction -

CASH
RATE

No. 000747
2015/11/09 Mon 10:03:54
GRAND_PRINCE_HOTEL_KYOTO

Inserted Currency
USD : 280
Rate : ¥113.71
Equivalent : ¥32,876

Withdrawal Amount
JPY : ¥32,876

The total is rounded up to the nearest yen

Thank you very much.
Have a nice stay in Japan!

JTB

糖 執
高 Takashimaya
京都

TEL 075-221-8811
領収証

POS 01 2015年11月09日 13:59
担当者 1 取引#11540
1名

伝票No. 000571
なすと牛肉のXO醤炒め ¥1,600
五目炒飯 ¥1,000

外税商品計 ¥2,600
商品計 ¥2,600

飲食計 ¥2,600

消費税対象額 ¥2,600
消費税等 ¥208

合 計 ¥2,808

お預金額 ¥10,000
お釣り ¥7,192

テーブルNo. 21
担当 2

LUNCH

CASH
\$24.69

領収証

TAXI
\$10.99
CASH

毎度ご乗車ありがとうございます。

車両番号 0号

2015年11月09日

乗車料金
¥1250円

上記の通り正に領収致しました。

(個人)内村タクシー
携帯 090-8828-4970

RECEIPT

Grand Prince Hotel Kyoto

Takaraga-ike Sakyo-ku
Kyoto 606-8505 Japan
Phone: 81-75-712-1111 Fax: 81-75-712-7677
www.princehotels.co.jp/kyoto-e

GUEST MR. / MS. Thelia F. Bowens

ROOM No. 431 PSN 1

ARRIVAL Nov. 08. '15 DEPARTURE Nov. 12. '15

DATE	ROOM No.	EXPLANATION	PSN	ROOM	OTHERS	CREDITS
11/08	431	ROOM PACKAGE	1	22,680	\$185.24	
11/09		ROOM PACKAGE	1	22,680	\$185.24	
11/10		ROOM PACKAGE	1	22,680	\$185.24	
11/11		ROOM PACKAGE	1	22,680	\$185.27	

\$740.99 U.S.

INBOX
[クレジット売上票] ⑨

加盟店名 MERCHANT
Grand Prince Hotel Kyoto
075-712-1111

端末番号 TERM NO 49815-560-42559
ご利用日 DATE 15/11/12 08:57:38
伝票番号 SLIP NO 02470
会員番号 XXXXXXXXXX IC)

ACCT NO
承認番号 APP CODE 000013

取引内容	支払区分	取扱区分
売上	一括	110

カード会社 有効期限
CARD CS EXP DATE
AMEX CARD XX/XX

金額 AMOUNT ¥90,720
合計金額 ¥90,720

BOWENS, THELIA F
ご利用ありがとうございました
またのご来店お待ちしております
A000000025010801

S844551 GOC ADD021

売場: SALES COUNTER 係員: CLERK

お客様控え
CUSTOMERS COPY

	90,720	0	0
DEBIT TOTAL	¥90,720	AMOUNT DUE	¥90,720

SIGNATURE

領 収 書
新 規 領 収 書
承 取 領 収 書
認 取 領 収 書
消 取 領 収 書

Thank you for your patronage. We are looking forward to the pleasure of serving you again.
As individual bills have already been given to the guest(s). They are not available for attachment hereon.

BILL NO. 111201208325 C 1 1 01 ALBA
15/11/12 08:56 4S24

Transaction Details Prepared for
Theila F Bowers
Account Number
XXXX-XXXXXX

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
NOV122015	GRAND PRINCE HOTEL K*JP	\$740.00

Doing business as

GRAND PRINCE HOTEL KYOTO

gÔcnB gÔcnÇ

ÆgÔch jffœœci

SAKYO

606-8505

JAPAN

Foreign Spend Amount: 90,720 Japanese Yen

Additional Information: LODGING

Reference 320153200524663375

Category: Travel - Lodging

RATE = ¥ 122.43

RECEIPT

GUEST MS BOWENS THELLA

ザ・プリンス さくらタワー 東京
 〒108-8612 東京都港区高輪3-13-1
 TEL: 03-5798-1111 FAX: 03-5798-1122
 www.princehotels.co.jp/takanawa-area
 The Prince Sakura Tower Tokyo
 3-13-1, Takanawa, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 108-8612, Japan

ROOM No. 4922 PSN 1
 ARRIVAL Nov. 12.'15 DEPARTURE Nov. 13.'15

DATE	ROOM No.	EXPLANATION	PSN	ROOM	OTHERS	CREDITS
11/12	4922	PACKAGE PLAN ACCOMMODATION TAX CILIEGIO - LUNCH - Receipt Att	1	41,710 200	¥342.32 4,276	¥3492-

TOTAL = ¥377.24

INBOX
 [クレジット売上票] 6

加盟店名 MERCHANT
 ザ・プリンスさくらタワー 東京
 03-5798-1111

端末番号 TERM No 49B15-560-42654
 ご利用日 DATE 15/11/13 11:25:32
 伝票番号 SLIP No 09142
 会員番号 XXXXXXXXXXXX
 ACCT No
 承認番号 APP. CODE 000053

取引内容	支払区分	取扱区分
売上	一括	110

カード会社 有効期限
 CARD CO EXP DATE
 AMEX CARD XX/XX

金額 AMOUNT ¥46,186
 合計金額 ¥46,186

BOWENS THELLA E
 ご利用ありがとうございました
 またのご来店お待ちしております
 A000000025010801

S919096 C00 A00023
 売場: 係員:
 SALES COUNTER CLERK



お客様控え
 CUSTOMERS COPY

	41,910	4,276	
DEBIT TOTAL	¥46,186	AMOUNT DUE	¥46,186

SIGNATURE

印
紙
税
甲
告
書
認
印
紙
税
甲
告
書
認
印

Thank you for your patronage. We are looking forward to the pleasure of serving you again.
 As individual bills have already been given to the guest(s), they are not available for attachment hereto.

BILL NO. 111366104832 P 1 1 66 A AL
 15/11/13 11:24 2414

RECEIPTS FROM TRAVEL TO KYOTO, JAPAN
NOVEMBER 7-13, 2015 - THELLA F. BOWENS

11/12/15

領 収 書

現・チ・ク・割引 No.1940

日付 '15年11月12日

車番 100250 00

基本運賃 ¥4150円

合計 ¥4150円

上記の通り領収致しました
毎度ご乗車ありがとうございます。

(有)無限サービスタクシー

東京都板橋区西台2-17-25

TEL 03-3937-7000

ご要望は

東京タクシーセンター

TEL 03-3648-0300

TAXI

CASH

\$36.50



ザ・プリンス さくらタワー東京
東京都港区高輪3-13-1
TEL. (03) 5798-1111
http://www.princehotels.co.jp

2015/11/12 14:34 人数 1 テーブルNO. 00025

農園サラダ	1	1,030
魚と野菜のグリル香草風味	1	2,450
Ice Tea	1	840

小計	4,320
宿泊割引	432
サービス料	388

(内消費税)	振替	0
315	合計	4,276

宿泊掛 4,276

ルムNo. 04922-0
付込区分 0

64 チリエーショ

Posting No. 000032 ~ 000032
Chits No. 145460 ~ 145460

LUNCH - ON HOTEL BILL
\$34.92

Transaction Details Prepared for
Thella F. Bowens
Account Number
XXXX-XXXXXX

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
NOV132015	THE PRINCE SAKURA TO* JP	\$377.24

Doing business as
THE PRINCE SAKURA TOWER
ncgOcn vonh
jfoa 3-13-1
TAKANAWA
108-8512
JAPAN

Foreign Spend Amount: 45,186 Japanese Yen
Additional Information: LODGING
Reference: 320153200524683376
Category: Travel - Lodging

RATE ¥ 122.43 = \$1.00

RECEIPTS FROM TRAVEL TO KYOTO, JAPAN
NOVEMBER 7-13, 2015 - THELLA F. BOWENS

11/12/15

CREDIT CARD

DINNER

\$65.22

2015年11月12日

領 収 書

様

金額 ¥8,000- (消費税等を含む)

但しご飲食料として

T.Y. HARBOR BREWERY

Phone : 03-5479-4556
Facsimile: 03-5479-1996



印紙税申告納
付につき品川
税務署承認済

(内、現金税抜き分: ¥0)
株式会社 タイソンスアンドカンパニー
東京都品川区東品川二丁目1番6号
RC:000000039964 SEQ:1008825正

INFOX
[クレジット売上票] G

加盟店名 MERCHANT
T.Y. HARBOR BREWERY
03-5479-4556

端末番号 TERM. No. 77334-560-76368
ご利用日 DATE 15/11/12 22:03:15
伝票番号 SLIP No. 06065
会員番号 XXXXXXXXXX (IC)

ACCT. No.
承認番号 APP. CODE 000011

取引内容	支払区分	取扱区分
売上	一括	J10

カード会社 有効期限
CARD CO. EXP. DATE
JCB GROUP XX/XX

金額 AMOUNT ¥8,000
合計金額 ¥8,000

BOWENS THELLA F
ご利用ありがとうございました
またのご来店お待ちしております
AC00000025010801
S776740 C00 A00022

売場: 係員
SALES COUNTER CLERK
お客様控え
CUSTOMERS COPY

Transaction Details Prepared for
Thella F Bowens
Account Number
XXXX-XXXXXX

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
NOV122015	TY HARBOR BREWERY TOKYO	¥85.22

Doing business as:

TY HARBOR BREWERY

HEERA PANNA

134, HEERA PANNA SHOPPING CENTRE

Haji Ali

SHINAGAWA-KU

400026

JAPAN

Foreign Spend Amount: 6,000 Japanese Yen

Additional Information: RESTAURANT

Reference: 320153190496774097

Category: Restaurant - Restaurant

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL REQUEST

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. All travel requests must conform to applicable provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40.
- B. Personnel traveling at Authority expense shall, consistent with the provisions of Policies 3.30 and 3.40, use the most economical means available to affect the travel.

1. TRAVELER:

Travelers Name: Thella F. Bowens Dept: Exec Office BU6
Position: Board Member President/CEO Gen. Counsel Chief Auditor
 All other Authority employees (does not require executive committee administrator approval)

2. DATE OF REQUEST: 8/26/15 PLANNED DATE OF DEPARTURE/RETURN: 11/7/15 / 11/13/15

3. DESTINATIONS/PURPOSE (Provide detailed explanation as to the purpose of the trip- continue on extra sheets of paper as necessary):

Destination: Tokyo, Japan Purpose: Meeting with Japan Airlines and Attend Inamori Foundation Events
Explanation: _____

4. PROJECTED OUT-OF-TOWN TRAVEL EXPENSES

A. TRANSPORTATION COSTS:	
• AIRFARE	\$ 5,000.00
• OTHER TRANSPORTATION (Taxi, Train, Car Rental)	\$ 200.00
B. LODGING	\$ 1500.00
C. MEALS	\$ 400.00
D. SEMINAR AND CONFERENCE FEES	\$
E. ENTERTAINMENT (If applicable)	\$
F. OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES	\$ 100.00
TOTAL PROJECTED TRAVEL EXPENSE	\$ 7200.00

CERTIFICATION BY TRAVELER By my signature below, I certify that the above listed out-of-town travel and associated expenses conform to the Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40 and are reasonable and directly related to the Authority's business.

Travelers Signature: *Thella Bowens* Date: 26 Aug 2015

CERTIFICATION BY ADMINISTRATOR (Where Administrator is the Executive Committee, the Authority Clerk's signature is required).

By my signature below, I certify the following:

1. I have conscientiously reviewed the above out-of-town travel request and the details provided on the reverse.
2. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses are necessary for the advancement of the Authority's business and reasonable in comparison to the anticipated benefit to the Authority.
3. The concerned out-of-town travel and all identified expenses conform to the requirements and intent of Authority's Policies 3.30 and 3.40.

Administrator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

AUTHORITY CLERK CERTIFICATION ON BEHALF OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

I, Lorraine Bennett, Asst. Authority Clerk II, hereby certify that this document was approved
(Please leave blank. Whoever clerk's the meeting will insert their name and title.)
by the Executive Committee at its September 8, 2015 meeting.
(Leave blank and we will insert the meeting date.)



Traveltrust Corporation
 374 North Coast Hwy 101, Suite F
 Encinitas, CA 92024
 Phone: (760) 635-1700

ADD TO OUTLOOK

Friday, 2OCT 2015 05:38 PM EDT

Passengers: THELLA BOWENS (06)

Agency Reference Number: RCSVDR

Click here to view your current itinerary or ETicket receipt on-line: tripcase.com

Japan Airlines Confirmation HZE6K3

Please review your itinerary and report any discrepancies to Traveltrust within 24hrs of receipt
 Be sure to visit www.traveltrust.com for additional travel information

FOR TRAVEL TO JAPAN A US CITIZEN
 MUST HAVE A VALID PASSPORT
 FOR TRAVEL TO JAPAN A US CITIZEN
 MUST HAVE A VALID PASSPORT

 YOU CANNOT TRAVEL OUT OF THE UNITED STATES IF YOUR US
 PASSPORT EXPIRES WITHIN 6 MONTHS OF YOUR DEPARTURE DATE
 YOUR INTERNATIONAL TRAVEL MAY REQUIRE VACCINATIONS
 PLEASE CHECK WWW.CDC.GOV FOR LATEST REQUIREMENTS

CERTAIN FARES MAY REQUIRE REISSUANCE AT TIME OF
 CANCELLATION. PLEASE CONTACT THE AIRLINE OR TRAVELTRUST

FOR TRAVEL TO JAPAN A US CITIZEN
 MUST HAVE A VALID PASSPORT
 FOR TRAVEL TO JAPAN A US CITIZEN
 MUST HAVE A VALID PASSPORT

 YOU CANNOT TRAVEL OUT OF THE UNITED STATES IF YOUR US
 PASSPORT EXPIRES WITHIN 6 MONTHS OF YOUR DEPARTURE DATE
 YOUR INTERNATIONAL TRAVEL MAY REQUIRE VACCINATIONS
 PLEASE CHECK WWW.CDC.GOV FOR LATEST REQUIREMENTS

CERTAIN FARES MAY REQUIRE REISSUANCE AT TIME OF
 CANCELLATION. PLEASE CONTACT THE AIRLINE OR TRAVELTRUST

AIR	Saturday, 7NOV 2015		
-----	---------------------	--	--

Japan Airlines
From: San Diego CA, USA
To: Tokyo Narita, Japan
Stops: Nonstop
Seats: 07G
Equipment: 788/AIR

Flight Number: 0065
Depart: 11:50 AM
Arrive: 04:50 PM 8NOV
Duration: 12 hour(s) 0 minute(s)
Status: CONFIRMED
MEAL: MEALS - MEALS

Class: X-Business Class

Miles: 5554 / 8886 KM

DEPARTS SAN TERMINAL 2 - ARRIVES NRT TERMINAL 2
 Frequent Flyer Number: XXXXXXXXXXXX
 AISLE SEAT CONFIRMED
 Japan Airlines Confirmation number is HZE6K3

AIR	Sunday, 8NOV 2015	
Japan Airlines	Flight Number: 3007	Class: J-Business
From: Tokyo Narita, Japan	Depart: 06:25 PM	
To: Osaka Itami, Japan	Arrive: 07:55 PM	
Stops: Nonstop	Duration: 1 hour(s) 30 minute(s)	
Seats: 11H	Status: CONFIRMED	Miles: 280 / 448 KM
Equipment: Boeing 777 Jet		
DEPARTS NRT TERMINAL 2		
Frequent Flyer Number: [REDACTED]		
AISLE SEAT CONFIRMED		
Japan Airlines Confirmation number is HZE6K3		

AIR	Thursday, 12NOV 2015	
Japan Airlines	Flight Number: 0114	Class: J-Business
From: Osaka Itami, Japan	Depart: 11:30 AM	
To: Tokyo Haneda, Japan	Arrive: 12:35 PM	
Stops: Nonstop	Duration: 1 hour(s) 5 minute(s)	
Seats: 03D	Status: CONFIRMED	Miles: 280 / 448 KM
Equipment: Boeing 767 Jet		
ARRIVES HND DOMESTIC TERMINAL 1		
Frequent Flyer Number: [REDACTED]		
AISLE SEAT CONFIRMED		
Japan Airlines Confirmation number is HZE6K3		

AIR	Friday, 13NOV 2015	
Japan Airlines	Flight Number: 0066	Class: X-Business Class
From: Tokyo Narita, Japan	Depart: 05:05 PM	
To: San Diego CA, USA	Arrive: 10:05 AM	
Stops: Nonstop	Duration: 10 hour(s) 0 minute(s)	
Seats: 03G	Status: CONFIRMED	Miles: 5554 / 8886 KM
Equipment: 788/AIR	MEAL: MEALS - MEALS	
DEPARTS NRT TERMINAL 2 - ARRIVES SAN TERMINAL 2		
Frequent Flyer Number: [REDACTED]		
AISLE SEAT CONFIRMED		
Japan Airlines Confirmation number is HZE6K3		

THIS TICKET IS NON-REFUNDABLE AND MUST BE USED FOR THE FLIGHTS BOOKED. IF THE RESERVATION IS NOT USED OR CANCELLED BEFORE THE DEPARTURE OF YOUR FLIGHTS IT MAY HAVE NO VALUE. CONTACT TRAVELTRUST BEFORE YOUR OUTBOUND FLIGHT IF CHANGE IS NECESSARY.
 JAPAN AIRLINES CONFIRMATION NUMBER - HZE6K3
 FOR EMERGENCY SERVICE FROM UNITED STATES - 888-221-6043
 FOR EMERGENCY SERVICE FROM JAPAN - 010-800-7373-7882

Ticket/Invoice Information

Ticket for: THELLA BOWENS
 Date issued: 10/2/2015 Invoice Nbr: 5357944
 Ticket Nbr: JL7685480011 Electronic Tkt: Yes Amount: 3885.40
 Base: 3600.00 US Tax: 35.40 USD XT Tax: 250.00 USD
 Charged to: [REDACTED]

Service fee: THELLA BOWENS
 Date issued: 10/2/2015

Document Nbr: XD0652887780

Amount: 40.00

Charged to:

Total Tickets: 3885.40
Total Fees: 40.00
Total Amount: 3925.40

Click here 24 hours in advance to obtain boarding passes:
[JAPAN AIRLINES](#)

Click here to review Baggage policies and guidelines:
[JAPAN AIRLINES](#)

TSA Guidance- a government issued photo id is needed for checkin.
Please allow minimum 3 hour check-in for International flights and 2 hours for Domestic.
For Additional security information visit www.tsa.gov.

Thank you for choosing Traveltrust:

Our Business Hours are 1am-5 30pm Pacific Monday - Friday.

Saturday from 9am-1pm Pacific.

For EMERGENCY AFTERHOURS assistance in the US, please call 888-221-6043 and use VIP Code SJE72.

You can also use the Direct Dial Number 682-233-1914 or the collect number 682-647-0061.

Each call is billable at a minimum \$25.00.

*In Honor of the Laureates of
the 2015 Kyoto Prize
Chairman Hiroo Imura and President Kazuo Inamori
of the Inamori Foundation
request the pleasure of your company
at the Prize Presentation Ceremony and Banquet
under the presence of
Her Imperial Highness Princess Takamado
on Tuesday, November 10, 2015
in Kyoto Japan*



BUSINESS EXPENSE

MARK BURCHYETT

SAN DIEGO COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
BUSINESS EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REPORT

November 2015

Period Covered

DATE	G/L Account	Description	AMOUNT
11/24/15	66280.120	Registration and materials for on-line course titled Ethics and Professional Conduct for Missouri CPAs (6 CPE hours) through Professional Education Services (PES) LP.	\$74.50
TOTAL			\$74.50

I acknowledge that I have read, understand and agree to Authority *Policy 3.30 - Business Expense Reimbursement Policy and that any purchases that are not allowed will be my responsibility. I further certify that this report of business expenses were incurred in connection with official Authority business and is true and correct.

* Policy 3.30



NAME Mark A. Burchyett, Chief Auditor

11/24/15

DATE

APPROVED.

NAME

DATE

[Print Invoice](#)

Please print this page for your records.

CONFIRMATION #: 1448384122MB

DATE & TIME: 11/24/2015 8:56 AM PT

BILL TO:
Mark Burchvett

SHIP TO: Not Required

PAYMENT INFO:

Payment: One Time Credit Card Entry
Last 4 digits: xxxxxxxxxxxx

SHIPPING INFORMATION:

Not Required

ITEMS PURCHASED:

Qty: 1 4430

908 CPE

Ethics and Professional Conduct for Missouri CPAs (6 Hr) (CPE)

\$74.50

SUB-TOTAL: \$74.50

TOTAL: \$74.50

Thank you for choosing PES as your CPE provider!

Professional Education Services LP • 4208 Douglas Blvd. #50 • Granite Bay, CA 95746 USA
1-800-990-2731 • FAX (916) 791-4099 • customerservice@mypescpe.com
Hours: Mon-Fri 5:30am-5pm, Sat 8am-4:30pm PT
www.mypescpe.com

