

MEETING SUMMARY

Airport Noise Advisory Committee

Date | Time 08/19/2020 4:00 p.m.

Meeting called to order by: Heidi Gantwerk

In Attendance

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>In Attendance</u>
Community Planning Groups Within the 65 dB contour		
Erika Espinosa Araiza	Greater Golden Hill Planning Committee	Yes
Tania Fragomeno	Downtown Community Planning Council	Yes
Anthony Ciulla	Ocean Beach Planning Board	Yes
Chris Cole	Uptown Planners	Yes
Judy Holiday	Midway-Pacific Highway Community Planning Group	Yes
Char-Lou Benedict	Community Resident at Large within 65 dB CNEL - East	Yes
Fred Kosmo	Peninsula Community Planning Board	Yes
Community Planning Groups Outside the 65 dB contour		
Jonathan Cole	Pacific Beach Planning Group	Yes
Michael Herron	Valley De Oro Community Planning Group	No
Matthew Price	La Jolla Community Planning Association	Yes
Deborah Watkins	Mission Beach Precise Planning Board	Yes
Aviation Stakeholders		
Olivier Brackett	San Diego County Airports	Yes
Jorge Rubio	City of San Diego Airports	Yes
Carl "Rick" Huenefeld	MCRD	Yes
Robert Bates	Airline Pilot (Active)	Yes
Kallie Glover	Performance Engineer, Delta Airlines	Yes
Dave Ryan	NBAA	No
Ex-Officio Non-Voting Members		
Justin Cook	Acoustical Engineer	Yes
Maria Bojorquez-Gomez	Congress, 53rd District, for Rep. Susan Davis	No
Joshua Coyne	San Diego City Council, District 2, for Jennifer Campbell	Yes
Anthony Nguyen	Congress, 52nd District for Rep. Scott Peters	No
Genevieve Fong	S.D. County Board of Supervisors, District 1, for Sup. Greg Cox	Yes
Keith Lusk	FAA Representative	Yes
John Nelson	FAA Representative	Yes
Faviola Garcia	FAA Representative	Yes
Presenters		
Heidi Gantwerk	Facilitator	Yes
Ryk Dunkelberg	Mead & Hunt	Yes
Sjohnna Knack	SDCRAA	Yes

Staff Dennis Probst (SDCRAA), McKinna Dartez (SDCRAA), Roman Lanyak (SDCRAA)

15 voting members in attendance

1. Welcome and Introductions

Heidi Gantwerk, facilitator for the Airport Noise Advisory Committee (ANAC), opened the meeting at 4:00 p.m. with introductions. Ms. Gantwerk briefly shared the agenda and read the Executive Order N-29-20.

2. Roll Call

Heidi Gantwerk called the committee member names for attendance.

3. Presentations

Note: A copy of the information in the presentation can be found via our website using the following link:

<http://www.san.org/Airport-Authority/Meetings-Agendas/ANAC>

a. Part 150 Update

Ryk Dunkelberg from Mead & Hunt shared where they are in the overall study process and they're next steps with the FAR Part 150 study. The Noise Exposure Maps (NEMs), one of the two components of a Part 150 study, were recertified in November of 2016. The current updated Part 150 study was initiated in 2018 (toward the end of the Flight Procedures Analysis Study that was started in 2017) following the ANAC recommendations that might impact the 65 CNEL contour. The Part 150 study addresses aircraft noise issues within the 65 or greater Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) noise contour. The Airport Authority completed the Flight Procedure study in 2019 to address concerns from residents outside the 65 CNEL contour.

Mr. Dunkelberg then explained the three categories of alternatives, Operational Alternatives (under control of the FAA), Land Use Alternatives (under the control of the state and local governments), and Administrative Alternatives (under control of the Airport proprietor). In May 2019, the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the Community Advisory Committee (CAC) reviewed aviation activity forecast, aircraft operations and fleet mix. In August 2019, existing and future base case noise contours were generated, representing the full 2018 calendar year. In November 2019, the land use and population analysis within the 65 CNEL contour along with identified preliminary reasonable alternatives (including several resulting from ANAC alternatives) were presented to the TAC and CAC and a public open house. In May 2020, the draft operational alternatives were presented to the TAC and CAC. Additional operational alternatives are now being generating and will be presented in the fall at the next TAC/CAC meeting and public workshop along with draft land use and administrative alternatives.

Questions from ANAC:

Matthew Price asked if there has been a resolution to the timeline concern of the Part 150 study moving too fast.

Ryk Dunkelberg explained with the evaluation and review of the additional alternatives and the chapters from the FAA and the committee, the team will be very close to the requested timeline.

Judy Holiday asked if the meeting and public workshop dates have been finalized.

Ryk Dunkelberg said those dates have not been finalized but they're looking at early October for the next CAC/TAC meeting. Heidi will make sure to notify ANAC members about the dates of those meetings¹.

¹ Part 150 and TAC/CAC meeting October 15, 2020.

Heidi Gantwerk said if any new members would like more background information on the Part 150, information and all documents are available on the study website at sannoisestudy.com and can also reach out to her or the noise team for an orientation.

b. Status of ANAC Recommendations

Sjohnna Knack gave a high level overview on the ANAC recommendations, categorized by whether they could be implemented by staff or required technical analysis. All feasible recommendations under the purview of staff have been completed or are in process. The technical recommendations for outside the 65 CNEL were analyzed through the Flight Procedure Analysis, and within the 65 CNEL through the Part 150. She reviewed the status of all recommendations, which can be found in the meeting materials at: [August 2020 Member Materials](#)

Ms. Knack mentioned there were three recommendations that came out of the Flight Procedures study, two of which have already been submitted to the FAA. One, still pending with the FAA, was to move the noise dots and request to move the ZZ000 departure further out into the ocean. The FAA acknowledged they reviewing but have some delays due to COVID. The final remaining procedure is potentially feasible and is awaiting additional analysis in the Part 150 study before being submitted.

Questions from ANAC:

Deborah Watkins asked where the noise monitoring site was located in Mission Beach.

Sjohnna Knack explained they have not started monitoring. It will be done in the Part 150. The decision on the site location will be made by the consultant in order to get the best results.

Matthew Price asked when ANAC expanded by four community members, was there an increase or change in the number of non-community voting members.

Sjohnna Knack replied yes there were several industry stakeholders, an airline operator, an active airline pilot and several more, but there are 11 more community voting members.

Rob Bates asked for clarity on why the recommendation to increase the curfew violations penalty wasn't being increased.

Sjohnna Knack explained that an internal review concluded that as curfew violations have dramatically dropped off, it is difficult to make a case to increase the penalties when there are so few (only one at the last review panel meeting). They are also concerned about running the risk of losing the ability to impose fines altogether.

c. Airport Authority Updates

Sjohnna Knack indicated the noise office team has been working hard on analyzing unusual or unique things they've seen through noise complaints or just flight tracks. She mentioned an increase in emergency operations, particularly helicopter flights, and reported that the team is looking at operations off course by listening to the ATC transcriptions and reaching out to the FAA. She thanked their FAA partners for providing information on some of those operations.

Dennis Probst, VP of Development at SDCRAA, reported they've been running about 30 percent of their former operation traffic levels and over the weekend they hit about 35 percent. San Diego is doing reasonably well compared to other airports around the country. They're also ahead of what they forecasted on the Authority's budget this year.

Ms. Knack suggested postponing Fly Quiet awards for 2020 given the extremely low flight operations and lack of any international carriers operating.

Questions from ANAC:

Matthew Price asked for the status on getting the analysis on Early Turns from the FAA.

Keith Lusk stated John Nelson had looked into the incidents Sjohnna and Roman sent over. They're still looking into additional data on one.

John Nelson explained one was a result of a pilot deviation. Another was due to controller error and they're dealing with that issue. He said they take Early Turns seriously and look at them anytime they're sent in.

Justin Cook agreed with not scoring or awarding airlines for the Fly Quiet Program. He would like to see the trends, especially in regards to noise levels and fleet as it changes.

Fred Kosmo also agreed with not scoring the airline for the Fly Quiet Program.

Sjohnna Knack mentioned some carriers are phasing out some of their older fleet which they expect to result in some noise reduction.

Kallie Glover confirmed Delta retired their MD80s and MD90s from their fleet.

4. Action Items

a. Approval of February 19, 2020 Meeting Summary

Fred Kosmo made a motion to approve the meeting summary from the February meeting, it was seconded by Rick Huenefeld. The motion was passed.

b. Approval of June 17, 2020 Meeting Summary

Deborah Watkins made a motion to approve the meeting summary from the June meeting, it was seconded by Chris Cole. The motion was passed.

c. Discussion and Possible Direction to Staff Regarding the General Community Member Within 65 dB Contour

Sjohnna Knack reviewed the history of and the purpose behind the General Community Member position within the 65 decibel contour that shifts between the East and the West sides of the airport. She explained it was important when they were revising the policy to not only add the quantity, but also make sure they had a balanced conversation. She pointed out the communities of ANAC and CAC/TAC members were well represented in Point Loma and the beach communities. The Airport Authority felt it important to make sure the General Community Member position was rotated and is at the discretion of the President/CEO.

Heidi Gantwerk reminded the committee that the previous general community member is continuing her involvement as a member of the TAC.

Char-Lou Benedict asked if they need to file more complaints to get more representation on the East side of the airport.

Sjohnna Knack explained there are three City of San Diego Community Planning Groups east of the airport within the 65 decibel contour represented on ANAC, the Greater Golden Hill Planning Committee, Downtown Planning Council, and Uptown Planners. The Board policy rotates the general community member from the west of the airport to east of the airport for a two year term.

5. Public Comment

Tony Russell (SDCRAA staff) reported there was one Public Comment emailed to the Authority Clerk after the deadline posted on the agenda. The Public Comment will be distributed to staff and committee members.

6. Next Meeting/Adjourn

Next meeting is October 21, 2020.

Meeting was adjourned.



Sjohnna Knack (Oct 26, 2020 11:31 PDT)

August 19, 2020 ANAC Meeting

Communications Received from the Public

-----Original Message-----

From: wonacott

Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2020 4:19 PM

To: SDCRAA clerk

Subject: Public Comment

1. Did the consultants assume that the 290 nighttime noise abatement procedure departures were not an approved SID, and therefore these departures were put on the PADRZ SID for the baseline case?
2. For the alternatives, for all of the nighttime departures along with daytime departures moved to the new recommended route?
3. Is the Airport Authority familiar with the flight test program being conducted at JWA by the airlines to optimize the NADP there?